



Influence of Sulphur and Zinc Levels on Growth, Yield and Quality of Soybean (*Glycine max* L.)

Satybhan Singh¹, Virendra Singh^{1*} and Sumit Layek²

¹Department of Agricultural Sciences and Engineering, IFTM University, Moradabad (U.P.), 244 102, India.

²Research Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Aroma College, Roorkee, Haridwar, (U.K.), 249 405, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author SS designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors VS and SL managed the analyses of the study. Author SL managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2017/35590

Editor(s):

- (1) Kursat Korkmaz, Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ordu University, Turkey.
- (2) Muhammad Shehzad, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, The University of Poonch Rawalakot, Pakistan.
- (3) Yong In Kuk, Department of Development in Oriental Medicine Resources, Suncheon National University, South Korea.

Reviewers:

- (1) Rebecca Yegon, University of Embu, Kenya.
 - (2) Hao Wang, China Tobacco Yunan Industrial Co., Ltd., China.
- Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/20614>

Original Research Article

Received 20th July 2017
Accepted 16th August 2017
Published 22nd August 2017

ABSTRACT

Field experiments were conducted during *kharif* 2014 and 2015 to study the influences of sulphur and zinc levels on growth, yield and quality of soybean. The experiment comprised five sulphur levels viz. (S₀- control, S₁- 10 kg S ha⁻¹, S₂- 20 kg S ha⁻¹, S₃- 30 kg S ha⁻¹ and S₄ 40 kg S ha⁻¹); four zinc levels viz. (Zn₀- control, Zn₁- 10 kg Zn ha⁻¹, Zn₂- 20 kg Zn ha⁻¹ and Zn₃- 30 kg Zn ha⁻¹). Application of sulphur and zinc increased all the growth and yield attributes of soybean but significant increase up to 40 kg S ha⁻¹ and 30 kg Zn ha⁻¹ were observed in plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹ at all stages, seed yield and protein content in seed of soybean. The zinc level also had significant influence on the number of pods plant⁻¹, number of grains pod⁻¹, pod length, pod weight plant⁻¹, test weight, grain weight plant⁻¹. Highest level (Zn₃) i.e. 30 kg Zn ha⁻¹ was found at par with (Zn₂) i.e. 20 kg Zn ha⁻¹ during the investigation. Application up to 40 kg S ha⁻¹ and 30 kg

*Corresponding author: E-mail: virendra.singhed@gmail.com;

Zn ha⁻¹ increased the uptake of sulphur and zinc significantly than control. Therefore, it can be concluded that application of 40 kg S ha⁻¹ and 30 kg Zn ha⁻¹ should be applied for better growth, yield and quality of soybean.

Keywords: Soybean; sulphur; zinc; protein; nutrient uptake.

1. INTRODUCTION

Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) belongs to the family *Fabaceae* (*Leguminosae*). It is an important crop worldwide, because it has a wide range of geographical adaption, unique chemical composition, good nutritional value, functional health benefits and variety of end-uses (food, feed and non-edible). It is extremely resilient and performs even under severe water stress conditions. It fits well in cropping systems/rotations including inter/mixed cropping systems. It improves soil fertility by fixing atmospheric N₂ to the extent of 50-300 kg ha⁻¹, depending on the agro-climatic conditions, variety, strains etc. [1] and adds about 1.0-1.5 tons of leaf litter per season ha⁻¹. Soybean is the world's first ranking crop as a source of vegetable oil and in India too [2]. It will continue to play a key role in fighting edible oil deficit in the country [3]. Soybean is well known for its nutritional and health benefits. It contains about 40% good quality protein, 20% oil having about 85% unsaturated fatty acids including 55% polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), 25-30% carbohydrates and almost no starch (useful to diabetic patients), 4-5% minerals, anti-oxidants, viz. ascorbic acid (9-10 mg/100g sprouted soybean) and beta-carotene (0.2 mg/100g sprouted soybean) and about 0.3% is flavones (daidzein and genestein). That's why it is also known as a 'wonder crop', 'Miracle crop' and 'Golden bean'. India ranks fifth after USA, Brazil, Argentina and China in the production of soybean [4]. India must increase indigenous production of vegetables oil and protein to meet its critical deficit. This would make one to think that adequate and balanced application to the soybean is must to increase productivity. The prospects of soybean expanding further into a major crop in India are good. Know-how to cultivate or soybean farming in India is already comparatively advanced and industry is becoming increasingly aware of the varied use of soybean. It appears that the importance of soybean is increasing with the availability of pulses, the natives cheapest source of protein is decreasing. The soybean production in India during 2014-15 has been about 10.528 mt in 11.086 mha area with average productivity of

950 kg ha⁻¹ [5]. In India, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra and Rajasthan are the major soybean producing states, contributing about 95% of the total area and production of soybean in the country, Madhya Pradesh has 54% of the country's area and contributes 59% to the total production of soybean in the country and justify being called 'soya state' [5]. The encouraging results of the new varieties, which take 100-130 days to maturity with the yield potential of 30-45 q ha⁻¹ contributes major role in enhancing soybean production in India. Sulphur plays multiple roles in the nutrition of soybean. It involves in the synthesis of amino acids, the building blocks of the proteins. Several studies [6] have reported relatively high requirement of sulphur for soybean which could be attributed to its high protein and oil content. Sulphur also plays a vital role in chlorophyll formation and produces heavier seed and higher oil content. Use of cheap and effective source of sulphur in appropriate dose is necessary for augmenting the productivity as well as quality returns from the soybean cultivation. The favourable effect of zinc on soybean is also being reported now—days. Soybean is sensitive to zinc deficiency which is needed for protein metabolism and involved in the chlorophyll formation, growth hormone stimulators, enzymatic activity and reproductive processes.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted during the *khari* 2014 and 2015 at the research block of Aroma College Roorkee, Haridwar (U.K.), India. The farm is situated at 29.52° N latitude, 78.53° E longitude and at altitude of 270 meters above the mean sea level. The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam and slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 7.7), organic carbon (0.58% and 0.56%), low in available nitrogen (265 and 268 kg N ha⁻¹), low in available phosphorus (18.4 and 18.3 kg P ha⁻¹) and medium in available potassium (259.4 and 254.6 kg K ha⁻¹) in 2014 and 2015, respectively. The initial sulphur status was 22.5 kg ha⁻¹ and 23.4 kg ha⁻¹ and the available zinc was 0.54 and 0.56 mg kg⁻¹ soil, respectively during 2014 and 2015 cropping seasons. The treatments consisted of five sulphur levels viz. (S₀- control,

S₁- 10 kg S ha⁻¹, S₂- 20 kg S ha⁻¹, S₃- 30 kg S ha⁻¹ and S₄ 40 kg S ha⁻¹; four zinc levels viz. Zn₀- control, Zn₁- 10 kg Zn ha⁻¹, Zn₂- 20 kg Zn ha⁻¹ and Zn₃- 30 kg Zn ha⁻¹). The experiments were laid out in a factorial randomized block design and replicated thrice. The graded levels of sulphur and zinc were applied through elemental sulphur and zinc sulphate and mixed in soil after layout and before sowing. Healthy seeds of soybean cv. PK 1042 were sown @ 80 kg ha⁻¹. The sowing of soybean seed was done using the hand plough at 5 cm depth in last week of June. First thinning was done after full germination and after thinning the first-hand weeding was done at 30 days after sowing to remove the weeds. Five representative plants of soybean from each treatment were selected randomly at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at maturity for recording biometric observations, as well as post-harvest studies on various aspects. The experimental data were statistically analysed by applying "Analysis of variance" technique for factorial randomized block design [7]. The standard error of mean (SEM[±]) and critical difference (CD) at 5% significance level were worked out for each parameter. The sulphur content in seed was determined with di-acid digestion by turbidity method on a spectrophotometer using 420 nm wavelengths [8] and DTPA-extractable Zn [9]. Protein content in soybean grain was estimated by Kjeldhal method. The protein content in grain was obtained by multiplying the nitrogen content with the standard factor by 6.25 [10]. Oil content in grain of soybean was recorded with Nuclear

Magnetic Resonance technique. Protein content in soybean seed was determined by under noted biurete method [11]. Nutrient uptake from each sample S and Zn were determined separately as per standard procedures [12,13].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of Sulphur on Soybean Growth and Yield Attributes

The finding showed that the application of sulphur increased all the growth and yield attributes of soybean. Significant increase up to 40 kg ha⁻¹ was observed in plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹, dry weight plant⁻¹, leaf area index (Table 1), no of pods plant⁻¹, no of grains pod⁻¹, pod weight plant⁻¹, test weight (Table-2). The highest yield components were found with the application of 40 kg S ha⁻¹ and control treatment produced lowest values. This could be function of various external and internal factors, nutrient supply being one of the factor. It might be due to the improvement of sulphur in synthesis of amino acids. Soybean has been reported to be much responsive to sulphur in promoting growth characters [14,15,16].

3.2 Effect of Sulphur on Soybean Quality

This study found that increasing the sulphur levels increased the soybean seed protein content (Table 3) but different researchers have reported varied results on the effect of sulphur

Table 1. Growth attributes of soybean as influenced by different levels of sulphur and zinc

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches plant ⁻¹	No. of nodules	Dry wt. plant ⁻¹	LAI
Sulphur level (kg ha⁻¹)					
S ₀	72.9	5.84	27.2	70.71	3.074
S ₁	75.2	6.19	31.4	73.11	3.179
S ₂	78.4	6.49	33.6	75.61	3.342
S ₃	79.8	6.85	35.2	78.35	3.416
S ₄	80.7	7.42	36.1	79.90	3.434
SEm [±]	1.84	0.39	2.08	2.02	0.046
CD at 5%	5.74	1.29	6.49	6.30	0.152
Zinc level (kg ha⁻¹)					
Zn ₀	73.3	5.81	26.2	70.24	3.105
Zn ₁	75.6	6.22	32.9	74.59	3.211
Zn ₂	78.9	6.67	35.7	76.65	3.309
Zn ₃	81.7	7.48	36.2	79.41	3.411
SEm [±]	1.68	0.39	1.43	1.95	0.041
CD at 5%	4.28	0.99	3.66	4.97	0.107

Table 2. Yield attributes & Yield of soybean as influenced by different levels of sulphur and zinc

Treatments	No. of pods plant ⁻¹	Pod length (cm)	No. of grains pod ⁻¹	Pods wt. Plant ⁻¹	Test wt. (g)	Grain wt. Plant ⁻¹	Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Biological yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index
Sulphur level (kg ha⁻¹)									
S ₀	112.50	11.10	2.24	47.29	93.72	23.14	1782	3680	0.332
S ₁	117.74	11.50	2.27	52.11	94.98	25.49	1842	3889	0.330
S ₂	122.79	11.82	2.34	55.04	97.78	27.32	1917	3943	0.334
S ₃	126.90	12.02	2.39	56.29	98.41	29.31	1952	3974	0.333
S ₄	131.31	12.25	2.42	57.15	99.58	29.49	1983	4031	0.349
SEm [±]	3.17	0.42	0.04	1.79	1.59	0.89	23.01	29.80	0.051
CD at 5%	9.89	NS	0.12	5.58	4.96	2.70	71.76	92.98	NS
Zinc level (kg ha⁻¹)									
Zn ₀	109.6	10.82	2.26	48.25	93.12	23.92	1834	3678	0.331
Zn ₁	119.1	11.72	2.26	51.31	95.37	25.71	1868	3880	0.333
Zn ₂	127.7	12.08	2.39	55.78	98.84	28.44	1918	3972	0.336
Zn ₃	132.4	12.26	2.41	57.59	99.91	29.88	1958	4075	0.339
SEm [±]	5.62	0.32	0.02	2.02	1.47	0.91	11.74	19.49	0.014
CD at 5%	14.33	0.82	0.05	5.17	3.76	2.32	30.05	49.89	NS

Table 3. Quality and uptake of nutrients by soybean as influenced by different levels of sulphur and zinc

Treatments	Quality		Uptake	
	Protein content (%)	Oil content (%)	S-uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Zn-uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)
Sulphur level (kg ha⁻¹)				
S ₀	39.77	20.46	9.44	0.637
S ₁	41.61	20.84	9.92	0.793
S ₂	42.74	21.48	10.56	0.807
S ₃	43.19	21.80	11.09	0.847
S ₄	43.76	22.06	11.51	0.868
SEm [±]	0.42	0.31	0.26	0.033
CD at 5%	1.31	0.96	0.81	0.103
Zinc level (kg ha⁻¹)				
Zn ₀	40.92	20.51	9.54	0.698
Zn ₁	41.24	21.84	9.83	0.773
Zn ₂	42.82	21.51	10.84	0.836
Zn ₃	43.91	21.39	11.84	0.867
SEm [±]	0.19	0.23	0.21	0.035
CD at 5%	0.49	0.59	0.53	0.093

on soybean seed oil content [16,17]. Soybean seed contain Glycine protein, which is relatively rich in sulphur containing amino acid and makes up approximately 50% seed protein [18]. Increasing in sulphur levels increased the protein and oil content in soybean seed [19,20,21].

Besides oil and protein content, sulphur plays an important role in plant metabolism by virtue of

being on essential constituent of diverse types of metabolically active compounds amino acids, proteins and nucleic acids. The biological role of chlorophyll in harvesting solar energy, phosphorylated compounds in energy transformation, nucleic acid in the transfer of genetic information and the relation of cellular metabolism and protein as structural units and biological catalyst is well known.

3.3 Effect of Sulphur on Soybean Seed Yield

Significant variation on sees yield were observed with the application of different sulphur levels (Table 2). Increasing the sulphur levels increased the grain yield of soybean significantly up to 40 kg ha⁻¹ numerically superior to 30 kg ha⁻¹. Similar results were observed in the biological yield. These results were supported by significant increase in the number of pods plant⁻¹ up to 40 kg ha⁻¹, number of grain plant⁻¹, grain weight plant⁻¹ and 1000-grain weight while no significant influences were observed between 30 & 40 kg S ha⁻¹ in the number of grains pod⁻¹ (Table 2). Since, there was differential response to sulphur on the basis of yield attributes and also in the grain yield and straw yield. In the earlier work, also a dose of 30 kg S ha⁻¹ [22,23,24].

3.4 Effect of Zinc on Soybean Growth and Yield Attributes

Application of zinc also have a significant effect on growth and yield attributes. Zinc significantly increased the plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹, dry matter accumulation plant⁻¹, leaf area index (Table 1), no of pods plant⁻¹, pod length, no of grains pod⁻¹, pod wright plant⁻¹, test weight, (Table 2). Similar effect of zinc, particularly up to 10 kg dose was recorded on the yield and yield attributes. The optimum dose 20 kg which is supported [25,26,27].

3.5 Effect of Zinc on Soybean Quality

Zinc also increased the oil and protein content of soybean. The result indicated that the application of 30 kg Zn ha⁻¹ was recorded significantly higher seed oil (43.91%) and protein content (21.39%) in soybean. The increase in seed oil and protein content on addition of zinc has also been reported [28,29,30].

3.6 Effect of Zinc on Soybean Seed Yield

The zinc levels also increased the biological yield with their highest level with 30 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (Zn₃). Likewise, application of zinc @ 30 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (Zn₃) recorded the highest harvest index as compared to their lower levels viz. Control (Zn₀), 10 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (Zn₁) and 20 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (Zn₂) but the differences were found non-significant (Table 2). Same findings also reported [26,15,27,30].

3.7 Uptake of Sulphur and Zinc by Soybean

Increase in the uptake of sulphur and zinc significantly up to 40 kg S ha⁻¹ and 30 kg Zn ha⁻¹ was observed (Table 3). It is well known that uptake of nutrients by a crop is associated with the crop vigour and productivity. Sulphur particularly at the desirable level of 40 kg S ha⁻¹ improved the growth characters accompanied by yield attributes and yield. Therefore, finally increasing the uptake of not only sulphur but also zinc, effect of sulphur on increased uptake of sulphur by pulses and oilseed crops has been reported [31,22,27,20,16].

4. CONCLUSION

Based on our two years of study, it may be concluded that the combined application of sulphur 40 kg ha⁻¹ and zinc 30 kg ha⁻¹ increased the growth, yield attributes, yield, quality and uptake of sulphur and zinc in soybean compared with the other levels. Application of sulphur 40 kg ha⁻¹ and zinc 20-30 kg ha⁻¹ is sufficient to sustain the productivity of soybean in Indo-gangatic plains.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Keyser Harold H, Li Fudi. Potential for increasing biological nitrogen fixation in soybean. *Plant and Soil*. 1992;141:119-135.
2. Oil World. Annual Report, Hamburg: ISTA Mielke Gmbh. PROLEA. 2011. De la productionà la consommation, France - Europe - Monde, Statistique des Oléagineux and Protéagineux 2011-2012. Paris: PROLEA-Dokumentation.
3. Damodaran T, Hegade DM. Oilseed situation: A statistical compendium. Directorate of Oilseed Research, Hyderabad. 2010;486.
4. FAOSTAT. Available:http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#rankings/countries_by_commodity2017
5. Anonymous. *Agricultural Statics at a Glance*. 2015;123-122.
6. Lakshman K, Vyas AK, Shivkumar BG, Rana DS, Layek J, Munda S. Direct and

- residual effect of sulphur fertilization on growth, yield and quality of mustard in a soybean – Mustard cropping system. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 2017;6(5):1500-1512.
7. Cochran WG, Cox GM. *Experimental design*. 2nd Edition Published by John Willey and Sons. U.S.A; 1992.
 8. Jackson ML. *Soil chemical analysis*. New Delhi, Prentice Hall. 1973;48–302.
 9. Lindsay WI, Norvell WA. Development of DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. *Soil Sci Soc Am J.* 1978;42:421–448.
 10. AOAC. Association of official Agricultural chemists. *Official method of analysis*. Washington D.C. 9th edition. 1960;15-16.
 11. Williams PC. The determination of protein in whole wheat meal and flour by the biurate method. *Journal of Science Food and Agriculture.* 1961;12:58-61.
 12. Jackson ML. *Soil chemical analysis, advanced course*. Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A; 1965.
 13. Tabatabai MA, Bremner JM. A simple turbidometric method of determination of total sulphur in plant material. *Agronomy Journal.* 1970;62:805-806.
 14. Jayapaul P, Ganesharaja V. Studies on response of soybean varieties to nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur. *Indian Journal of Agronomy.* 1990;35(3):329-330.
 15. Dabhi BM, Gabasavlag SS, Polara JV. Effect of potash, sulphur and zinc on yield and economics of soybean (*Glycine max*). In: National symposium on “New Paradigms in Agronomic Research”, Nov. 19-21. Navsari, Gujarat. 2008;100-101
 16. Ravikumar C, Ganapathy M, Vaiyapuri V. Effect of sulphur fertilization on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of sunflower in north cauvery deltaic region. *Int J Cur Res Rev.* 2016;8(22):13-17.
 17. Legha PK, Gajendra Giri. Influence of nitrogen and sulphur on growth, yield and oil content of sunflower grown in spring season. *Indian J. Agron.* 1999;44(2):408–412.
 18. Coates JB, Medeiros JS, Thanh VH, Nielsen NC. Characterization of the subunits of β -conglycinin. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 1985;243:184-194.
 19. Gill, Gurpreet, Sharma Sucheta. Effect of sulphur supplementation on micro-nutrients, fatty acids and sulphur use efficiency of soybean seeds. *International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology.* 2017;2(4):1476-1484.
 20. Singh SB, Thenua OVS. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur fertilization on yield and NPS uptake by mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Progressive Research- An International Journal.* 2016;11(1):80-83.
 21. Kesare VK, Sakore GD, Pharande AL. Effect of different levels of sulphur on yield and quality of soybean in inceptisol. *Trends in Biosciences.* 2015;8(10):2513-2516
 22. Sonune BA, Naphade PS, Kankal DS. Effect of zinc and sulphur on protein and oil content of soybean. *Agriculture Science Digest.* 2011;21(4):259-260.
 23. Longkumar LT, Singh AK, Jamir Z, Kumar Manoj. Effect of sulfur and boron nutrition on yield and quality of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) grown in an acid soil. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis.* 2017;48(4):405-411.
 24. Kumar Sandeep, WaniJaveed Ahmad, Lone Bilal Ahmad, Singh Purshotam, Dar Zahoor Ahmad, Qayoom Sameera, Fayaz Asma. Effect of different levels of phosphorus and sulphur on seed & stover yield of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) under ‘Eutrochrepts’. *Asian Research Journal of Agriculture.* 2017;5(1):1-7.
 25. Tripathi SK, Patra AK, Samui SC. Effect of micronutrient on nodulation, growth, yield and nutrient uptake by groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*). *Indian Journal of Plant Physiology.* 1999;4:207-209.
 26. Huger AB, Kurdikeri MB. Effect of application methods and levels of zinc and molybdenum of field performance and seed yield in soybean. *Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Science.* 2000;13(2):439-441.
 27. Jyothi Ch. Naga, Ravichandra K, Babu K Sudhakara. Effect of foliar supplementation of nitrogen and zinc on soybean yield, quality and uptake. *Indian J. of Dryland Agriculture Research and Development.* 2013;28(2):46-48.
 28. Pable D, Paul DB, Deshmukh PW. Effect of sulphur and zinc on yield and quality of soybean. *Asian J. Soil Sci.* 2010;5(2):315-317.

29. Husain MF, Kumar R. Influence of sowing dates and application of zinc on the performance of mustard in south-west semi-arid zone of Uttar Pradesh. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 2006;2(2):601-604.
30. Chauhan S, Titov A, Tomar DS. Yield and oil content in soybean (*Glycine max* L) in Vertisols of India. Indian Journal of Applied Research. 2013;3:489-491.
31. Tomar US, Badaya AK, Tomar IS, Vani DK, Ambavatia GR. Influence of different levels and sources of sulphur on growth and productivity of soybean. Crop Research Hisar. 2000;19(1):142-143.

© 2017 Singh et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/20614>*