



Genetic Analysis for Quantitative Characters of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

Vasre Medhavi ^{a++*} and G. R. Lavanya ^{a#}

^a *Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj-211007, Uttar Pradesh, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i232592

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94437>

Original Research Article

Received 01 October 2022
Accepted 06 December 2022
Published 09 December 2022

ABSTRACT

The aim of present study was to estimate the genetic variability, genetic advance and correlation between yield and yield contributing traits along with direct and indirect effect of yield component on yield through path analysis from data collected on 20 chickpea genotypes. Seed index, seed yield per plant, harvest index, number of seeds per plant showed high genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation. Seed index, days to 50% pod setting, plant height, days to 50% flowering, seed yield per plant, days to maturity expressed high heritability. Whereas Harvest index showed high genetic advance. Seed index, seed yield per plant, harvest index, number of seeds per pod and plant height expressed high genetic advance as percent of mean. Seed yield per plant significantly positive correlated with harvest index and number of secondary branches per plant at genotypic and phenotypic levels. Harvest index, biological yield, days to 50% pod initiation showed high positive direct effect with seed yield per plant at genotypic and phenotypic levels. Thus, these traits are identified as the efficient and potential for the improvement of chickpea productivity in the present experimental materials.

Keywords: *Chickpea; genetic variability; heritability; genetic advance; correlation; path analysis.*

⁺⁺M.Sc. Scholar;

[#]Associate Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: vasremedhavihom@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

“Chickpea [*Cicer arietinum* (L.) $2n = 2x = 16$] is a self pollinated crop belongs to family Fabaceae, and subfamily Papilionaceae. It is commonly known as gram, bengal gram, which is the most important cool season food grain legume in the world after common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and pea (*Pisum sativum* L.)” [1] Chickpea is the world’s second most important food legume crop grown as rainfed in cool and dry climate in semi-arid regions. It is also a major and cheap source of protein compared to animal protein. Chickpea with protein (25-29%), carbohydrates (41-50.8%) and high percent of other mineral nutrients and unsaturated oleic and linoleic acid is one of the important crop for human consumption [2-4]. Two types of chickpea, one namely Kabuli is grown in temperate regions while the Desi type chickpea is grown in the semi-arid tropics [5].

In India, chickpea is cultivated in an area of 10.573 million tonnes/ha with production of 11.158 million tonnes/ha and productivity of 1056 kg/ha. Where, UP is covering an area of 0.16 million tonnes/ha with production of 0.684 million tonnes/ha and productivity of 930 kg/ha. India is the largest chickpea producer with 65% of global production (Thakur *et al.*, 2018).

Chickpea has high variation for different quantitative and qualitative characters which helps breeders to select excellent genotypes [6]. Genetic variability and heritability for various quantitative traits play an important role in selection of desirable genotypes [7-9]. On the other hand, heritability also provides information about heritable portion of different traits [10].

The present study has the goals of estimating genetic variability, correlation and path analysis.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present experiment was carried out at Field Experimentation Centre, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during Rabi-2022. The experiment was carried out in Randomized Block Design with three replications.

Data for 12 quantitative characters were collected from five randomly selected plants for each genotype in all three replications *viz.*, days to 50% flowering, days to 50% pod setting, plant height (cm), number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, days to maturity, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per plant, biological yield per plant (g), seed index, harvest index (%) and seed yield per plant (g) (Table 1).

The data were subjected to the analysis of variance [11] and further, biometrical procedures were followed to estimate genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation [12], heritability in broad sense [13], genetic advance [14], correlation analysis was performed by using the formula published by [15] and path coefficient analysis was performed using the approach proposed by [16].

Firstly measure of variability is figured with the aid of Coefficient of variation, which is the ratio of standard deviation of a sample to its mean and expressed in percentage. In the present investigation two types of coefficient of variations were estimated *viz.*, phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV).

Table 1. List of 20 chickpea genotypes

S. No	Genotypes	S. No	Genotypes
1	ICC -1882	11	ICC-8058
2	AVT-1G2	12	ICC-14199
3	ICC-11019	13	ICC-283
4	ICC-4958	14	ICC-8261
5	ICC-14799	15	ICC-3325
6	ICC-7272	16	ICC-14778
7	ICC-7373	17	ICC-5680
8	ICC-10448	18	ICC-3776
9	C-203	19	ICC-94194
10	ICC-16796	20	ICC-867

2.1 Coefficient of Variation (CV)

It is the measure of variability evolved. Coefficient of variation is the ratio of standard deviation of a sample to its mean and expressed in percentage.

$$CV (\%) = \text{Standard deviation} / \text{Mean} \times 100$$

The formulae used to calculate PCV and GCV were stated by (Burton, 1952)

$$PCV (\%) = \text{Phenotypic standard deviation} / \text{Grand mean} \times 100$$

$$GCV (\%) = \text{Genotypic standard deviation} / \text{Grand mean} \times 100$$

Heritability calculated by the formula given by Lush (1949) and [6]. Correlation Coefficient was calculated according to the formula suggested by Miller et al., (1958). Path coefficient analysis is normally to measures the direct and indirect effects of independent variables on the dependent variables. This technique was firstly used by [9].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance revealed that genotypes were significantly different for all the studied

characters except number of pods per plant and biological yield studied at 1% level of significance. This indicates that there is ample scope for selection of genotypes from the present gene pool for yield and its component traits (Table 2).

High genotypic coefficient of variation were observed for traits seed index (46.68%), seed yield per plant (30.64%), harvest index (26.78%) and number of seeds per plant (21.33%) while the low genotypic coefficient of variation was found for biological yield, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of pods per plant, days to 50% flowering, days to 50% pod setting, days to maturity (Table 3). High phenotypic coefficient of variation were observed for traits seed index (46.84%), harvest index (35.38%), seed yield per plant (34.11%), number of seeds per plant (28.75), number of pods per plant (24.75%) while the low phenotypic coefficient of variation was found for number of secondary branches per plant, days to 50% flowering, days to 50% pod setting, days to maturity indicates the presence of exploitable genetic variability for these traits (Table 4; Fig. 1). Similar findings were reported by [17] for seed yield per plant, [18] for harvest index and number of seeds per plant, [19] for days to maturity and number of pods per plant.

Table 2. Genetic parameters for 12 quantitative characters in 20 chickpea genotypes

Characters	GCV	PCV	h ² (Broad sense)	Genetic advance (%)	Genetic advance as % of mean
DF50	4.77	5.25	82.60	6.66	8.94
DPI50	4.35	4.62	88.50	8.95	8.43
DM	2.70	3.10	75.80	6.06	4.85
PH	12.69	13.59	87.20	17.15	24.40
NPBP	7.42	11.49	41.70	0.22	9.86
NSBP	6.31	9.22	46.90	0.43	8.90
NSPP	21.33	28.75	55.00	10.89	32.59
NPPP	5.98	24.75	5.80	0.90	2.98
BY	8.34	17.44	22.90	1.06	8.23
SI	46.68	46.84	99.30	18.39	95.85
HI	26.78	35.38	57.30	21.88	41.77
SYPP	30.64	34.11	80.70	3.61	56.69

PH: Plant Height, NPBP: Number of Primary Branches per Plant, NSBP: Number of Secondary Branches per Plant, NPPP: Number of Pods per Plant, BYP: Biological Yield per Plant, DF50: Days to 50% Flowering, DM: Days to Maturity, DP50% Days to 50% Pod Initiation, NSPP: Number of Seeds per Plant, SI: Seed Index, HI: Harvest Index, SYPP: Seed Yield per Plant

Table 3. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient for yield and yield contributing characters of chickpea

Traits		DPI50	DM	PH	NPBP	NSBP	NSPP	NPPP	BY	SI	HI	SYPP
DF50	r _g	0.526**	0.082	-0.003	-0.096	-0.285*	0.168	-0.152	0.279*	-0.047	-0.330*	-0.171
	r _p	0.435**	0.063	-0.033	-0.083	-0.112	0.16	0.07	0.1	-0.041	-0.145	-0.078
DPI50	r _g	1	0.312*	0.272*	0.11	0	0.163	-1.154**	0.058	0.145	-0.249	-0.145
	r _p	1	0.211	0.212	0.091	0.003	0.093	-0.324*	-0.13	0.14	-0.11	-0.144
DM	r _g		1	0.172	-0.226	0.249	-0.145	0.318*	-0.394**	0.04	0.242	0.107
	r _p		1	0.151	-0.145	0.1	0.016	0.132	0.087	0.047	0.036	0.117
PH	r _g			1	0.336**	0.069	-0.359**	-1.414**	0.717**	0.714**	0.002	0.206
	r _p			1	0.214	0.057	-0.260*	-0.341**	0.403**	0.663**	-0.05	0.21
NPBP	r _g				1	-0.199	-0.315*	-1.500**	0.036	0.386**	0.295*	0.219
	r _p				1	-0.119	-0.11	-0.107	-0.026	0.259*	0.183	0.136
NSBP	r _g					1	0.172	-0.145	-0.107	-0.015	0.495**	0.451**
	r _p					1	0.023	0.105	-0.033	-0.002	0.293*	0.273*
NSPP	r _g						1	-0.639**	-0.604**	-0.670**	0.267*	0.007
	r _p						1	0.345**	-0.095	-0.493**	0.094	0.038
NPPP	r _g							1	-0.540**	-1.296**	0.166	0.044
	r _p							1	-0.004	-0.305*	0.065	0.075
BY	r _g								1	0.948**	0.196	0.456**
	r _p								1	0.470**	-0.357**	0.229
SI	r _g									1	-0.18	0.14
	r _p									1	-0.144	0.125
HI	r _g										1	0.965**
	r _p										1	0.796**

** and * significant at 1 and 5 percent probability respectively

PH: Plant Height, NPBP: Number of Primary Branches per Plant, NSBP: Number of Secondary Branches per Plant, NPPP: Number of Pods per Plant, BYP: Biological Yield per Plant, DF50: Days to 50% Flowering, DM: Days to Maturity, DP50% Days to 50% Pod Initiation, NSPP: Number of Seeds per Plant, SI: Seed Index, HI: Harvest Index, SYPP: Seed Yield per Plant

Table 4. Genotypic and phenotypic path coefficient for yield and yield contributing characters of chickpea

Traits		DF50	DPI50	DM	PH	NPBP	NSBP	NSPP	NPPP	BY	SI	HI	SYPP
DF50	r _g	-0.033	0.064	0.001	0	-0.002	-0.023	-0.013	-0.003	0.115	0.005	-0.282	-0.171
	r _p	-0.031	0.037	0	-0.002	0.004	0.003	-0.006	0.004	0.061	0.002	-0.149	-0.078
DPI50	r _g	-0.017	0.121	0.003	-0.018	0.002	0	-0.013	-0.019	0.024	-0.015	-0.213	-0.145
	r _p	-0.014	0.085	-0.001	0.011	-0.004	0	-0.004	-0.017	-0.08	-0.007	-0.113	-0.144
DM	r _g	-0.003	0.038	0.01	-0.011	-0.004	0.021	0.011	0.005	-0.162	-0.004	0.207	0.107
	r _p	-0.002	0.018	-0.004	0.008	0.007	-0.003	-0.001	0.007	0.053	-0.002	0.037	0.117
PH	r _g	0.001	0.033	0.002	-0.065	0.006	0.006	0.028	-0.024	0.295	-0.076	0.001	0.206
	r _p	0.001	0.018	-0.001	0.05	-0.01	-0.002	0.01	-0.018	0.246	-0.034	-0.052	0.21
NPBP	r _g	0.003	0.013	-0.002	-0.022	0.018	-0.016	0.024	-0.025	0.015	-0.041	0.252	0.219
	r _p	0.003	0.008	0.001	0.011	-0.046	0.003	0.004	-0.006	-0.016	-0.014	0.189	0.136
NSBP	r _g	0.009	0	0.002	-0.005	-0.004	0.082	-0.013	-0.002	-0.044	0.002	0.423	0.451**
	r _p	0.004	0	0	0.003	0.006	-0.026	-0.001	0.006	-0.02	0	0.302	0.273*
NSPP	r _g	-0.006	0.02	-0.001	0.023	-0.006	0.014	-0.077	-0.011	-0.249	0.071	0.228	0.007
	r _p	-0.005	0.008	0	-0.013	0.005	-0.001	-0.04	0.019	-0.058	0.026	0.097	0.038
NPPP	r _g	0.005	-0.14	0.003	0.092	-0.027	-0.012	0.049	0.017	-0.223	0.137	0.142	0.044
	r _p	-0.002	-0.028	-0.001	-0.017	0.005	-0.003	-0.014	0.054	-0.003	0.016	0.067	0.075
BY	r _g	-0.009	0.007	-0.004	-0.046	0.001	-0.009	0.047	-0.009	0.412	-0.101	0.168	0.456**
	r _p	-0.003	-0.011	0	0.02	0.001	0.001	0.004	0	0.611	-0.024	-0.368	0.229
SI	r _g	0.002	0.018	0	-0.046	0.007	-0.001	0.052	-0.022	0.391	-0.106	-0.154	0.14
	r _p	0.001	0.012	0	0.033	-0.012	0	0.02	-0.016	0.287	-0.052	-0.148	0.125
HI	r _g	0.011	-0.03	0.002	0	0.005	0.041	-0.021	0.003	0.081	0.019	0.854	0.965**
	r _p	0.005	-0.009	0	-0.003	-0.009	-0.008	-0.004	0.004	-0.218	0.008	1.031	0.796**

** and * significant at 1 and 5 percent probability respectively

PH: Plant Height, NPBP: Number of Primary Branches per Plant, NSBP: Number of Secondary Branches per Plant, NPPP: Number of Pods per Plant, BYP: Biological Yield per Plant, DF50: Days to 50% Flowering, DM: Days to Maturity, DP50% Days to 50% Pod Initiation, NSPP: Number of Seeds per Plant, SI: Seed Index, HI: Harvest Index, SYPP: Seed Yield per Plant




Fig. 1. Histogram depicting GCV, PCV, Heritability, Genetic advance and Genetic advance Mean for 12 quantitative characters of chickpea genotypes

4. CONCLUSION

The results revealed that there was considerable variability among genotypes for all the characters except of number of pods per plant, seed index, harvest index and seed yield per plant. Biological yield per plant was highly heritable and high genotypic coefficient of variation and phenotypic coefficient of variation were recorded for seed index, higher heritability coupled with genetic advance recorded for seed index. Number of secondary branches per plant and harvest index showing positive correlation with seed yield at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. Days to 50% pod initiation, biological yield and harvest index had direct positive effect on seed yield at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. Selection based on these characters can be helpful for improving the seed yield per plant.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Johnson PL, Sharma RN, Nanda HC. Genetic variability for yield and quality characters in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under rice based cropping system. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018; 2(6):1172-1182.
- Jain Niyati, Anita Babbar, Sarla Kumawat, Rakesh Kumar Yadav, Ruchi Asati. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in the promising advance chickpea lines; 2022.
- Kumar Sanjay, Suresh BG, Anand Kumar, Lavanya GR. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) for yield and its component traits. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019;8(12):2341-2352.
- Kumar Amit, Mukesh Kumar, Pooran Chand Singh SK, Puspendra Kumar, Gangwar LK. Studies on genetic variability and inter relationship among yield and related traits of parents and F1 population in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2020;9(3):1434-1438.
- Nishant Bhanu A, Singh MN, Rajendra Tharu, Saroj SK. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for quantitative traits in chickpea genotypes. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2017;A-4687:1-6.
- Hagos Assefa Amare, Tadesse Desalegn, Tesfay Belay. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for quantitative traits of seed yield, and yield components in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) at Maichew, Northern Ethiopia. African Journal of Plant Science. 2018;12(3):58-64.
- Makarand Bijjamwar, Lal GM, Alle Naga, Sarath Chandra Reddy. Estimation of genetic variability and heritability for quantitative traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Journal of

- Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(6):2159-2161.
8. Nikita Gandam, Lal GM. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and its components traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Research Journal of Science and Technology. 2022;14(1):59-65.
 9. Singh Brindaban, Kumar V, Mishra SP. Genetic variability, path analysis and relationship among quantitative traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021; 10(5):1564-1568.
 10. Lakmes Abdulkarim, Abdullah Jhar, Varma Penmetsa R, Wenbin Wei, Adrian Brennan C, Abdullah Kahriman. The quantitative genetics of flowering traits in wide crosses of chickpea. Agriculture. 2022;12(4):486.
 11. Panse VG, Sukhatme PG. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. 2nd Edn. ICAR, New Delhi. 1967;381.
 12. Burton GW. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proceedings of 6th International Grassland Congress. 1952;1:227-283.
 13. Burton GW, Devane. Estimating heritability in tall fescus from replicated clonal material. Journal of Agron. 1953;45(3): 473-481.
 14. Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Genotypic and phenotypic correlations in soybeans and their implication in selection. Agron. J. 1955;47:477-483.
 15. Al-Jibouri HA, Mullar PA, Rabinson HF. Genetic and environmental variances and covariances in an upland cotton cross of inter-specific origin. Agronomy Journal. 1958;50:633-636
 16. Dewey DR, Lu KH. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of component of crested wheat grass seed production. Agronomy Journal. 1959;51:515-518.
 17. Mohibullah, Muhammad, Sundas Batool, Muhammad Amin, Muhammad Ilyas, Abdur Rehman, Sardar Ali. Genetic divergence and heritability studies for yield and yield attributes in various accessions of desi chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2020;36(3): 12-28.
 18. Pramila, Yadav, Dinesh Kumar Tripathi, Khalid Kafeel Khan, Ashok Kumar Yadav. Determination of genetic variation and heritability estimates for morphological and yield traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under late sown conditions. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2015;85(7):877-882.
 19. Rathod VL, Toprope VN, Godade LP. Assessment of genetic variability, character association and path analysis in F2 segregating population for quantitative traits in chickpea. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9(8):2485-2489.

© 2022 Medhavi and Lavanya; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94437>