



# Impact of Irrigation Scheduling, Moisture Conservation Practices and Nutrient Management on Performance of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Ram Niwas <sup>a\*</sup>, V. K. Verma <sup>a</sup>, Kishan Kumar <sup>a</sup>,  
Kamal Tiwari <sup>a</sup> and Ravindra Sachan <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, U.P.-208002, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, U.P.-208002, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i242631

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94651>

Original Research Article

Received: 15/10/2022

Accepted: 20/12/2022

Published: 22/12/2022

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during two consecutive *Rabi* seasons 2020-21 and 2021-22 at Students' Instructional Farm of Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India, situated at 125.9 meter altitude, 26.49122<sup>o</sup> North latitude, 80.307012<sup>o</sup> East longitude, to study "Impact of irrigation scheduling, moisture conservation practices and nutrient management on performance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)". The experiment consisted three irrigation scheduling *viz*: I<sub>1</sub>: two irrigations atCRI and Jointing stage, I<sub>2</sub>: three irrigations atCRI, Jointing and Booting stage and I<sub>3</sub>: Four irrigations atCRI, Tillering, Booting and Milking stage,

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: ramniwas9161@gmail.com;

two moisture conservation practices viz: M<sub>0</sub>: without mulching and M<sub>1</sub>: Organic mulch @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Kaolin 6% spray and three nutrient management practices viz: N<sub>1</sub>: 100% RDF (150:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> NPK), N<sub>2</sub>: 85% RDF + FYM @ 5.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and N<sub>3</sub>: 75% RDF + FYM @ 10.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The experiment was laid out in double split plot design with three replications. On pooled basis of two years experimentation the results indicated that, four irrigations at CRI, Tillering, Booting and Milking stage (I<sub>3</sub>) recorded highest value of grain yield (4381.60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), straw yield (6651.15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (39.70 %) with profitability in terms of net return (Rs. 83908.79 ha<sup>-1</sup>), under moisture conservation practices, organic mulch @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Kaolin 6% spray (M<sub>1</sub>) recorded significantly highest grain yield (4230.40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw yield (6578.57 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Among the nutrient management treatments, 85% RDF + FYM @ 5.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (N<sub>2</sub>) also recorded maximum grain yield (4117.60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw yield (6338.71 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and net return (Rs. 77770.83 ha<sup>-1</sup>) compared to other treatments.

**Keywords:** FYM; irrigation scheduling; kaolin; moisture conservation practices; nutrient management; organic mulch; wheat.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the first important and strategic cereal crop for the majority of world's population. Globally, wheat cultivated in 222.21 million hectares area with the production of 779.03 million metric tonnes and productivity of 3.51 metric tonnes per hectare during the year of 2021-22 [1]. In India total area under wheat is 316.15 lakh hectare (31.61 million hectares), with the production of 109.52 million tonnes and productivity of 3464.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during the year of 2020-21 [2]. India has 18% of world population, having 4% of world's fresh water, out of which 80% is used in agriculture. The judicious application of water calls for immediate attention and this is possible only by following some scientific basis for water application to the crop. One such scientific approach particularly in water scarcity area is critical crop growth stage approach for scheduling of irrigation. To increase the duration of moisture availability with the existing available moisture, the losses of it from plants (transpiration) and soil (evaporation) have to be reduced. For this antitranspirants and mulches, respectively are being tried under many occasions. Irrigation scheduling is important to maximize crop yields and ensure sustainable water use.

Moisture conservation with organic mulch helps to mitigate the drought stress, increase water productivity and reduce the soil degradation, resulting in enhancing the productivity of crops. The spray of kaolin on wheat crop resulted in better growth parameters. They attributed the favourable effect of kaolin spray on plant growth which might be due to reduction in transpirational losses of water due to reflection of part of solar radiation incident on leaf surface, thus making

the soil moisture available for better growth over longer period [3]. Wheat is generally grown in intensive cropping system with higher use of inorganic NPK fertilizers. Optimal fertilizer management is necessary to maintain sustainable yields, improve nutrient use efficiency of fertilizers and save fertilizer resources. The inclusion of organic manure with inorganic fertilizers may serve as a chelating and complexing agent which prevents the nutrients from precipitation, fixation, oxidation and leaching. Application of organic manures may also improve availability of native nutrients in soil as well as the efficiency of applied fertilizers. FYM is a good source of nutrients and contributed towards build-up of organic matter in soil.

The aim of present investigation is that to observe the impact of irrigation scheduling, moisture conservation practices and nutrient management on performance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)”

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during two consecutive *Rabi* seasons 2020-21 and 2021-22 at Students' Instructional Farm of Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India, situated at 125.9 meter altitude, 26.49122° North latitude, 80.307012° East longitude, to study “Impact of irrigation scheduling, moisture conservation practices and nutrient management on performance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)”. The experiment consisted three irrigation scheduling viz: I<sub>1</sub>: two irrigations at CRI and Jointing stage, I<sub>2</sub>: three irrigations at CRI, Jointing and Booting stage and I<sub>3</sub>: Four

irrigations at CRI, Tillering, Booting and Milking stage, two moisture conservation practices viz: M<sub>0</sub>: without mulching and M<sub>1</sub>: Organic mulch @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Kaolin 6% spray and three nutrient management practices viz: N<sub>1</sub>: 100% RDF (150:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> NPK), N<sub>2</sub>: 85% RDF + FYM @ 5.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and N<sub>3</sub>: 75% RDF + FYM @ 10.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The experiment was laid out in double split plot design with three replications. The variety, HD-2967 was used for sowing in experiment. The soil was moist, well drained with uniform plane topography. The soil of the experimental field was alluvial in origin, sandy loam in texture and slightly alkaline in reaction having pH 7.69 and 7.67 (1:2.5 soil: water suspension method given by [4], Organic carbon percentage in soil is 0.30 and 0.29 per cent (Walkley and Black's rapid titration method given by [5], with available nitrogen 181.40 and 179.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Alkaline permanganate method given by [6], available phosphorus as sodium bicarbonate-extractable P was 12.50 and 11.28 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Olsen's calorimetrically method [7], available potassium was 170.0 and 168.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Flame photometer method given by [8], respectively in 2020-21 and 2021-22. Mulching was done in respective treatment with paddy straw @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Respective treatments were treated with two spray of kaolin @ 6% solution on 49 DAS (at maximum Tillering stage) and 69 DAS (20 days, after first spray). FYM @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in N<sub>2</sub> and 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in N<sub>3</sub> treatments were applied before sowing at the time of field preparation. The crop availed sun radiation in terms of daily minimum temperature and maximum temperature during the study period varied between 6.0°C to 21.3°C and 4.9°C to 21.1°C and 17.0°C to 39.6°C and 15.7°C to 40.9°C during 2020-21 and 2021-22, respectively. During the crop period, a total rainfall of 16.5 mm and 63.9 mm was received during the year of 2020-21 and 2021-22, respectively. Over all the climatic condition during both year found congenial for crop growth and development except higher temperature at dough stage during 2021-22 creates hot climatic condition which causes slight shrinkage of grain ultimately reduce yield upto 8.6% compared to 2020-21.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Growth Parameters

Four irrigations at CRI, Tillering, Booting and Milking stage reported maximum plant height, more number of tillers and number of effective

tillers at harvest than that rest of irrigation schedules (Table 1). The better growth under organic mulch @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Kaolin 6% spray was might be due to properly distribution of adequate available soil moisture and also conserve the soil moisture in the root zone of crop results in increase the nutritional supply throughout the crop duration. Similar findings of present investigation were also reported by Mishra [9], Jana et al. [10] and Saren et al. [11]. Maximum plant height (89.94 cm) at harvest, number of tillers (390.29 m<sup>-2</sup>) and number of effective tillers (378.18 m<sup>-2</sup>) are found under the treatment with four irrigations at CRI, Tillering, Booting and Milking stage. Maximum plant height (85.51 cm) at harvest, number of tillers (378.27 m<sup>-2</sup>) and number of effective tillers (365.70 m<sup>-2</sup>) are found under the treatment with organic mulch @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Kaolin 6% spray. Maximum plant height (86.93 cm) at harvest, number of tillers (381.60 m<sup>-2</sup>) and number of effective tillers (368.86 m<sup>-2</sup>) are associated with the integration of 85% RDF + FYM @ 5.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> on pooled basis. Integration of 85% RDF + FYM @ 5.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> being statistically superior compared to other treatments and recorded significantly maximum growth parameters (Table 1). The increment in growth with increase in fertility was due to rapidly transformation of synthesized photosynthates into protein to form more protoplasm, thus increasing the number as well as size of cell which might have increased the plant height. The beneficial effect of FYM might be attributed to increased activities of heterotrophic bacteria and fungi in soil, which in turn increased the activity of soil enzymes responsible for the conversion of unavailable form of nutrients to available form. The similar beneficial effect of nutrient management in wheat are also reported by several scientist Menka et al., [12], Sheoran et al., [13] and Choudhary et al. [14].

#### 3.2 Yield Attributes and Yield

The increases in number of irrigations, provided adequate supply of moisture in soil profile, which play an important role in nutrient uptake resulting in improving yield attributes and ultimately yield of crop. Application of four irrigations at CRI, Tillering, Booting and Milking stage reported an increment of 21.42% in length of ear, 8.66% in weight of ear, 3.45% in test weight and similarly, 22.30% in grain yield, 14.74% in straw yield and 3.98% in harvest index over two irrigations at CRI and Jointing stage (Tables 2 & 3) [10] and Meena et al., [15].

**Table 1. Effect of treatments on growth parameters of wheat**

Treatments	Plant height (cm) at harvest			No. of tillers (m <sup>-2</sup> ) at 90 DAS			No. of effective tillers (m <sup>-2</sup> ) at harvest		
	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled
<b>A. Irrigation scheduling</b>									
Two irrigations at CRI and Jointing stage	78.65	80.16	79.40	358.43	360.45	359.44	344.63	347.03	345.83
Three irrigations at CRI, Jointing and Booting stage	82.46	84.00	83.23	376.63	378.75	377.69	362.33	364.65	363.49
Four irrigations at CRI, Tillering, Booting and Milking stage	89.26	90.61	89.94	389.21	391.36	390.29	377.08	379.28	378.18
SEd±	1.23	1.39	0.93	3.88	3.37	2.57	2.61	2.86	1.95
CD at 5%	3.43	3.86	2.14	10.77	9.37	5.93	7.25	7.95	4.51
<b>B. Moisture conservation practices</b>									
Without mulching	82.14	83.60	82.87	372.30	374.38	373.34	358.14	360.46	359.30
Organic mulch @ 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Kaolin 6% spray	84.77	86.25	85.51	377.22	379.32	378.27	364.55	366.84	365.70
SEd±	1.02	1.05	0.73	1.87	1.89	1.33	2.23	2.45	1.66
CD at 5%	2.50	2.58	1.60	4.58	4.64	2.90	5.46	6.01	3.61
<b>C. Nutrient management</b>									
100% RDF (150:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> NPK)	83.20	84.33	83.76	373.51	375.60	374.55	360.78	362.68	361.73
85% RDF + FYM @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	85.86	88.00	86.93	380.53	382.66	381.60	367.33	370.40	368.86
75% RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	81.31	82.45	81.88	370.23	372.30	371.26	355.93	357.88	356.90
SEd±	1.30	1.33	0.93	3.56	3.56	2.51	2.73	3.00	2.03
CD at 5%	2.69	2.75	1.87	7.35	7.34	5.06	5.65	6.21	4.09
<b>D. Interactions (A×B×C)</b>									
SEd±	3.20	3.26	2.28	9.81	8.72	6.17	6.71	7.37	4.98
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

**Table 2. Effect of treatments on yield attributes of wheat**

Treatments	Length of ear (cm)			Weight of ear (g m <sup>-2</sup> )			Test weight (g)		
	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled
<b>A. Irrigation scheduling</b>									
Two irrigations at CRI and Jointing stage	9.41	9.44	9.43	799.44	808.00	803.72	38.56	38.41	38.48
Three irrigations at CRI, Jointing and Booting stage	10.35	10.55	10.45	836.66	850.33	843.50	38.88	38.66	38.77
Four irrigations at CRI, Tillering, Booting and Milking stage	11.44	11.47	11.45	870.00	876.72	873.36	39.88	39.73	39.81
SEd±	0.13	0.13	0.08	3.85	5.08	3.19	0.26	0.29	0.19
CD at 5%	0.38	0.38	0.19	10.71	14.12	7.36	0.72	0.83	0.45
<b>B. Moisture conservation practices</b>									
Without mulching	10.28	10.32	10.30	828.51	835.33	831.92	38.89	38.73	38.81
Organic mulch @ 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Kaolin 6% spray	10.51	10.66	10.58	842.22	854.70	848.46	39.32	39.14	39.23
SEd±	0.09	0.12	0.07	4.38	5.07	3.35	0.29	0.34	0.22
CD at 5%	0.22	0.29	0.16	10.72	12.42	7.30	NS	NS	NS
<b>C. Nutrient management</b>									
100% RDF (150:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> NPK)	10.42	10.45	10.43	714.44	732.33	723.38	39.02	38.88	38.95
85% RDF + FYM @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	10.61	10.82	10.72	1086.66	1094.38	1099.38	39.40	39.20	39.30
75% RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	10.16	10.19	10.18	705.00	708.33	706.66	38.90	38.73	38.81
SEd±	0.10	0.14	0.09	4.49	6.26	3.85	0.32	0.42	0.26
CD at 5%	0.22	0.30	0.18	9.28	12.94	7.75	NS	NS	NS
<b>D. Interactions (A×B×C)</b>									
SEd±	0.26	0.36	0.22	11.01	15.35	9.45	0.78	1.02	0.64
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

**Table 3. Effect of treatments on yield of wheat**

Treatments	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Straw yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Harvest index (%)		
	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled
<b>A. Irrigation scheduling</b>									
Two irrigations at CRI and Jointing stage	3731.66	3433.13	3582.40	6021.50	5571.90	5796.70	38.25	38.11	38.18
Three irrigations at CRI, Jointing and Booting stage	4175.83	3841.76	4008.80	6503.66	6019.54	6261.60	39.08	38.94	39.01
Four irrigations at CRI, Tillering, Booting and Milking stage	4564.16	4199.03	4381.60	6907.50	6394.81	6651.15	39.77	39.62	39.70
SEd±	57.46	45.15	36.54	74.37	68.16	50.44	0.11	0.39	0.20
CD at 5%	159.52	125.34	84.26	206.45	189.21	116.31	0.31	1.08	0.46
<b>B. Moisture conservation practices</b>									
Without mulching	3907.77	3595.15	3751.46	6122.00	5666.79	5894.39	38.91	38.76	38.84
Organic mulch @ 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Kaolin 6% spray	4406.66	4054.13	4230.40	6833.11	6324.04	6578.57	39.16	39.02	39.09
SEd±	43.49	34.52	27.76	59.46	55.15	40.55	0.32	0.19	0.18
CD at 5%	106.41	84.48	60.49	145.50	134.95	88.35	NS	NS	NS
<b>C. Nutrient management</b>									
100% RDF (150:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> NPK)	4180.00	3845.60	4012.80	6541.33	6054.60	6297.97	38.94	38.79	38.86
85% RDF + FYM @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	4289.16	3946.03	4117.60	6583.83	6093.58	6338.71	39.39	39.25	39.32
75% RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	4002.50	3682.30	3842.40	6307.50	5838.06	6072.78	38.77	38.63	38.70
SEd±	57.47	46.47	36.94	85.16	79.80	58.35	0.28	0.32	0.21
CD at 5%	118.61	95.92	74.32	124.31	164.70	117.41	NS	NS	NS
<b>D. Interactions (A×B×C)</b>									
SEd±	140.76	113.83	90.48	208.60	195.47	142.93	0.70	0.78	0.52
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 4. Effect of treatments on economics of wheat

Treatments	Gross return (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Net return (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )			B:C Ratio		
	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled
<b>A. Irrigation scheduling</b>									
Two irrigations at CRI and Jointing stage	112840.20	108181.00	110510.60	66819.50	62083.95	64451.72	1.45	1.34	1.39
Three irrigations at CRI, Jointing and Booting stage	124746.60	119548.40	122147.50	77605.88	72331.38	74968.63	1.64	1.53	1.58
Four irrigations at CRI, Tillering, Booting and Milking stage	135041.10	129374.20	132207.60	86780.38	81037.22	83908.79	1.79	1.67	1.73
SEd±	1454.28	690.39	671.89	795.16	630.95	640.45	0.01	0.009	0.008
CD at 5%	4014.98	1906.03	1854.97	2195.28	1741.94	1768.17	0.04	0.02	0.01
<b>B. Moisture conservation practices</b>									
Without mulching	116971.60	112109.90	114540.80	72220.95	67282.91	65751.93	1.61	1.49	1.55
Organic mulch @ 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Kaolin 6% spray	131446.90	125959.10	128703.00	81916.22	76352.12	79134.16	1.65	1.53	1.59
SEd±	689.25	747.62	871.29	371.09	296.09	328.77	0.009	0.01	0.007
CD at 5%	1682.10	1824.56	2126.37	905.65	722.62	802.36	0.02	0.02	0.01
<b>C. Nutrient management</b>									
100% RDF (150:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> NPK)	125073.70	119871.10	122472.40	77029.66	71747.09	74388.38	1.60	1.48	1.54
85% RDF + FYM @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	127506.00	122167.70	124836.80	80477.96	75063.70	77770.83	1.70	1.59	1.65
75% RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	120048.10	115064.80	117556.40	73698.13	68641.77	71169.95	1.58	1.47	1.53
SEd±	1199.04	986.53	1012.40	715.16	750.03	819.08	0.01	0.01	0.009
CD at 5%	2475.36	2036.64	2090.06	1476.42	1548.41	1690.96	0.03	0.02	0.01
<b>D. Interactions</b>									
AxBxC									
SEd±	2937.03	2416.50	2479.88	1751.79	1837.21	2006.33	0.03	0.02	0.02
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Maximum ear length (11.45 cm), weight of ear (873.36 g), test weight (39.81 g), grain yield (4381.60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), straw yield (6651.15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (39.70 %) are found under the treatment with four irrigations at CRI, Tillering, Booting and Milking stage. Maximum ear length (10.58 cm), weight of ear (848.46 g), test weight (39.23 g), grain yield (4230.40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), straw yield (6578.57 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (39.09 %) are found under the treatment with organic mulch @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Kaolin 6% spray. Maximum ear length (10.72 cm), weight of ear (1099.38 g), test weight (39.30 g), grain yield (4117.60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), straw yield (6338.71 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (39.32 %) are associated with the integration of 85% RDF + FYM @ 5.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> on pooled basis. Application of organic mulch @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Kaolin 6% spray resulted in 12.76% and 11.60% higher grain and straw yield, respectively over without mulching. It may be due to mulching increases moisture retention in soil [16] and kaolin spray reduce evaporation losses of water from plant by reflecting solar radiation from plant leaf surface [9]. Integration of inorganic source of nutrients with organic manure (FYM) significantly improved yield attributes and yield of wheat and under 85% RDF + FYM @ 5.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> increased length of spike, weight of ear and test weight by 5.30%, 55.57% and 1.26%, respectively, over 75% RDF + FYM @ 10.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tables 2 & 3 above). The respective treatment also increases to the tune of 7.16% in grain yield and 4.37% in straw yield. It might be due to integration of FYM with fertilizer doses improve nutrient use efficiency in soil which ultimately improve plant growth and development in terms of better yield attributing characters and improvement in yield Singh et al. [17] and Thakur et al. [18].

### 3.3 Economics

The benefit: cost ratio are realised from four irrigations i.e. 24.46% higher than that two irrigations treatment (Table 4). The profitability was minimum under two irrigations, due to lower yield on account of extremely moisture stress in root zone. Similar findings were also reported by Thakur et al. [19], Gora et al., [20] and Niwas et al. [21]. Organic mulch @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Kaolin 6% spray significantly increment evaluated 12.36% in gross return, 20.35 % in net return and fetched about 1.59 times benefit over investment of rupee 1.0 compare to without mulching treatment (Table 4). Similarly, integration of 85% RDF + FYM @ 5.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> also evaluated significantly increment upto 6.19% in gross return, 9.27% in net return and 7.84% in B:C ratio compared to

75% RDF + FYM @ 10.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> which exhibited lowest B:C ratio (1.53). The above finding are in similar trend with the finding of Narolia et al., [22] and Kumar et al., [23].

## 4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of above findings of result, it is concluded that, four irrigations at cri, tillering, booting and milking stage, organic mulch @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + kaolin 6% spray and integration of 85% rdf + fym @ 5.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> were found significantly superior for increasing growth, yield and also b:c ratio of wheat.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Anonymous. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). World agriculture production, 22. Indian Journal of Hill Farming. 2022b;28(2):144-153.
2. Anonymous. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture Cooperation & Farmers Welfare (DES, DAC&FW), Agricultural Situation in India. 2022a;6-18.
3. Thakuria RK, Singh H, Singh TEJ. Effect of irrigation and antitranspirant on biometric components, seed yield and plant water-use of spring sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2004;49(2):121-123.
4. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi; 1973.
5. Walkley A, Black CSA. Old piper, S.S. soil and plant analysis. Soil Sci. 1934;37:29-38.
6. Subbiah BV, Asija CL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available N in Soil. Curr. Sci. 1956;25:259-260.
7. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanable FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorous in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA. Cric. 1954; 930:19-23.
8. Hanway JJ, Heidel H. Soil analysis methods as used in Iowa State College, Soil Testing Laboratory. Iowa Agriculture. 1952;54:1-31.

9. Mishra OR. Influence of mulching and antitranspirants on water/consumption, yield and yield contributing characters of different rainfed wheat varieties. *Crop Research*. 1996;11(1):1-8.
10. Jana PK, Bandyopadhyay P, Ray D, Bhowmick MK. Response of wheat to irrigation regimes in new alluvial zone of West Bengal. *Annals of Agricultural Research*. 2001;22:498-502.
11. Saren BK, Dey S, Mandal D. (). Effect of irrigation and sulphur on yield attributes, productivity, consumptive use, consumptive use efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences (India)*; 2004.
12. Menka M, Sobaran S. Effect of INM on the growth, yield and nutrient uptake by wheat under Brahmi-Wheat cropping system. *Pantnagar Journal of Research*. 2007;5(2): 105-107.
13. Sheoran S, Raj D, Antil RS, Mor VS, Dahiya DS. Productivity, seed quality and nutrient use efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under organic, inorganic and integrated nutrient management practices after twenty years of fertilization. *Cereal Research Communications*. 2017;45(2): 315-325.
14. Choudhary L., Singh KN, Gangwar K, Sachan R. (). Effect of FYM and Inorganic fertilizers on growth performance, yield components and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under indo-gangetic plain of Uttar Pradesh. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2022;11(4):1476-1479.
15. Meena RP, Sharma RK, Tripathi SC, Chander S, Chhokar RS, Meena A, Sharma I. Influence of hydrogel, irrigation and nutrient levels on wheat productivity. *Journal of Wheat Research*. 2015;7(2):19-22.
16. Sarwar MA, Akbar N, Javeed HMR, Shehzad MA, Mehmood A, Abbas HT. Response of zero tilled wheat crop to different mulching techniques in a semiarid environment. *International Journal of Advanced Research*. 2013;1(9):768-776.
17. Singh AP, Yadav DD, Pyare R, Kumar A, Naresh R, Sachan R, Kumar J. Impact of methods of sowing, FYM and seed treatment on growth, yield attributes, grain yield and quality of late sown wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2021;10(11):373-376.
18. Thakur M. To study the influence of integrated nutrient management on seed yield of wheat and to study the seed quality of wheat as effected by integrated nutrient management treatments. M.Sc. (Ag.), Seed Science & Technology Thesis, CSK Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, Palampur, HP; 2021.
19. Thakur R, Pal SK, Singh MK, Verma UN, Upasani RR. Response of late-sown wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) to irrigation schedules. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2000;45(3): 586-589.
20. Gora MK, Siddiqui MZ, Choudhary SL. Growth behavior of wheat cultivars under different irrigation levels. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*. 2017;6(9):195-199.
21. Niwas R, Verma VK, Tiwari K, Singh BN. Effect of moisture regimes on water use efficiency (WUE), water productivity (WP) and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2019;7(6):2468-2470.
22. Narolia RS, Meena H, Singh P, Meena BS, Ram B. Effect of irrigation scheduling and nutrient management on productivity, profitability and nutrient uptake of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) grown under zero-tilled condition in south-eastern Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2016;61(1): 53-58.
23. Kumar D, Singh RP, Awasthi UD, Uttam SK, Kumar R, Kumar M. Effect of bio-fertilizer and moisture conservation practices on growth and yield behavior of mustard under rainfed condition. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2018;7(1):2038-2041.

© 2022 Niwas et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94651>