



# Influence of Nano Nitrogen and Phosphorus Fertilizer on Yield and Nutrient Content of Maize (*Zea mays* L.)

Aishwarya Maheta <sup>a\*</sup>, Divya Gaur <sup>a</sup>,  
A. S. Bhanvadia <sup>a</sup>, V. P. Ramani <sup>a</sup>,  
Swati Patel <sup>a</sup> and Parth Amin <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Regional Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i32770

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91789>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 17/10/2022**  
**Accepted: 23/12/2022**  
**Published: 28/02/2023**

## ABSTRACT

The study entitled, "Influence of nano nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer on yield and nutrient content of maize (*Zea mays* L.)" was undertaken by conducting a laboratory experiment of synthesis and characterization of nitrogen and phosphorus nano-fertilizer and a field experiment at Regional Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand (Gujarat) during summer and kharif seasons of the year 2021. The field experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design comprising fourteen treatments of T1[Control(No NPK)], T2[100% RDF (150 N-60 P-0 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)], T3[75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)], T4[75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)], T5[75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)], T6[50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)], T7[50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)], T8[50 % RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)], T9 [25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)] and T10[25% RDF].

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: aishwaryamaheta.bayad@gmail.com;

+ Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)], T11[25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)], T12[ Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)], T13[ Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)], T14[ Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)]. In case of seed treatment with nitrogen and phosphorus NPs, seeds were soaked in nitrogen and phosphorus NPs suspension of 1000 ppm for 2 hours. The foliar application was made during crop growth period with three sprays at 15, 30 and 60 DAS of the crop. The treatments were tested against the control. Significantly higher grain yield, straw yield, nitrogen and phosphorus content in maize was recorded was achieved under application of T4- 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm) during both seasons.

**Keywords:** Nano-fertilizer; yield; nutrient content.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of nanotechnology is attributed to Nobel laureate Richard Feynman who gave a very famous visionary speech in 1959 during one of his lectures. He said "there is plenty of room at the bottom". He is known as father of nanotechnology. The term nanotechnology was coined in 1974 by Norio Taniguchi at the University of Tokyo. The word "Nanotechnology" has originated from a Greek word 'nanos' which means "dwarf". During the 1950s, Arthur von Hippel proposed the term "molecular engineering" and predicted the feasibility of constructing nano molecular devices [1]. In the 1980s, the idea of nanotechnology as a deterministic, rather than stochastic, handling of individual atoms and molecules was conceptually explored in depth by K. Eric Drexler, who promoted the technological significance of nano-scale phenomena. The new variant of fertilizer was developed by Dr. J. C. Tarafdar of the Central Arid Zone Research Institute under the Indian Agriculture Research Institute (IARI). The fertilizer was prepared by developing a methodology to use microbial enzymes for breakdown of the respective salts into nano-form. Dr. Tarafdar told Indian Science Journal that the newly developed fertilizer is 2-4 times less expensive compared to chemical fertilizers. The newly developed nano fertilizer will bring down the use of chemical fertilizers by 80-100 times [2].

Nanotechnology can reduce the rate of fertilizer nutrients loss through leaching and increase their availability to plants which ultimately leads to reduced water and soil pollution [3-5]. Present days nano fertilizers are emerging as an alternative to conventional fertilizers, build-up of nutrients in soils and thereby eutrophication and drinking water contamination may be eliminated. Nitrogen stimulates chloroplast in plants, which is

responsible for photosynthesis. The development of nitrogen fertilizer began in 1905 with German Chemist Fritz Haber. Haber discovered a way to fix nitrogen from air. He received a noble prize for his work in 1918. Fertilizers have an axial role in enhancing the food production in developing countries especially after the introduction of high yielding and fertilizer responsive crop varieties. Fertilizer N-recovery efficiency by the first crop is 30 to 50%.

This form of P shows low diffusion and high fixation rates in soils through ligand exchange by 1:1 clay minerals, Fe and Al oxides and hydroxides and is thus precipitated as Fe, Al, and Ca phosphates. In addition, application of solid phosphates in agriculture is hindered by the large size of the particles which limits phosphate mobility in the soil and thus prevents phosphate from reaching the root zone and nurturing the crops in a timely fashion.

Maize which is botanically known as *Zea mays* L. is an American Indian term for corn. The term corn comes after wheat and rice which means "to sustain life" that provides nutrients for human and animals worldwide [6]. It is cultivated in tropical, temperate and subtropical regions of the world. The nutritional value of maize is high as it contains 72% starch, 10%, 8.5% fiber, 4.8% oil, 3.0% sugar and 1.7%. It is known as a "queen of cereals" because of its maximum yield potential (22 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) among the cereals.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental field had an even topography with a gentle slope having good drainage. The texture of the soil is loamy sand soils derived from basaltic trap parent materials with medium depth of 30-60 cm which was very deep and fairly moisture retentive. The maize variety GAYMH 3 (Gujarat Anand Yellow Maize Hybrid 3) was used in the present investigation as a test

crop which was released by Main Maize Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Godhra during the year 2018 for middle Gujarat Agro- climatic zone. The minimum temperature ranged from 14.70 to 26.30 C, while mean maximum temperature ranged from 31.80 to 39.50 C during the crop summer season 2021 and in kharif season 2021 minimum temperature ranged from 29.0 to 35.90 C, while mean maximum temperature ranged from 31.80 to 39.50 C. Full dose of phosphorus (60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 50% of nitrogen in each plot (75 kg nitrogen ha<sup>-1</sup>) in the form of di-ammonium phosphate and urea were applied in furrows before sowing. Remaining 50% nitrogen (75 kg nitrogen ha<sup>-1</sup>) was applied in the form of urea in equal three split application at an interval of 15, 30 and 60 days after sowing as per treatment.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Grain Yield

The data on grain yield of maize as influenced by different nitrogen and phosphorus nano-fertilizer treatments during both summer and kharif 2021 seasons as well as in pooled analysis are given in Table 1a.

Data mentioned in Table 1a showed that different treatments significantly influenced the grain yield of maize during both the seasons (summer and kharif 2021) and in pooled analysis. Among all the treatments tested, treatment T4 (75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm) at 15, 30 and 60 DAS after sowing of maize) gave significantly higher grain yield of 6037, 6456 and 6247 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during summer, kharif and on pooled basis, respectively as compared to all the treatments, except treatments T2 and T3. The treatment T4 produced 66.46, 76.86 and 71.70% higher grain yield compared to control (T1) during the summer, kharif seasons and in pooled analysis, respectively. The increase over recommended dose (T4) was 3.2, 3.5 and 3.1 per cent, during summer, kharif and on pooled basis, respectively. The increase in grain yield is mainly due to increase in plant height and cob length in the treatment T4 over rest of the treatments.

#### 3.2 Straw Yield

Straw yield directly represents the total biological yield (biomass) of the plant excluding economic yield. In Indian condition straw is very useful as

livestock feed, as a mulch and composting material. Nutritional value of maize straw is also a good and is a very good source of organic manure. The data pertaining to straw yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as influenced by different treatments during the experimentation during both the seasons as well as in pooled analysis are presented in Table 1b.

During both the seasons viz. summer and kharif, the treatment T4 (75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm) at 15, 30 and 60 DAS after sowing of maize) gave significantly higher straw yield of 8009 and 7948 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively than rest of the treatments except T2 and T3 treatments. The straw yield of maize was almost 42.88 per cent and 62.96 per cent higher over control during summer and kharif seasons, respectively.

In pooled analysis also treatment T4 registered significantly higher straw yield of 7978 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of maize as compared to other treatments, but it remained at par with treatments T2 and T3. Treatment T4 produced 52.22% higher straw yield than control on pooled basis.

#### 3.3 Nitrogen Content in Grain

Results presented in the Table 1c show significant influence of different treatments of nitrogen and phosphorus on nitrogen content in the grain of maize during both the seasons and on pooled basis. Results revealed that application of 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm) (T4) had significantly higher N content (1.94, 1.95 and 1.95 % N content) during summer, kharif and in pooled, respectively. However, it was found at par with all the treatments barring T2, T3, T5, T6 and T7 during summer. In kharif and pooled analysis, it was found to be at par with T2 and T3 treatments.

#### 3.4 Nitrogen Content in Straw

Data given in Table 1d revealed that various treatments showed significant effect on nitrogen content in straw during both the seasons as well as on pooled basis. Treatment T4 (75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)) significantly resulted in higher nitrogen content of 0.779, 0.831 and 0.805 % in summer, kharif and on pooled results, respectively than rest of the treatments, but it remained at par with T2, T3, T5, T6, T7 and T8 in summer, in kharif and on pooled basis it remained statistical comparable with T2 and T3 treatments.

**Table 1a. Effect of different treatments on grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of maize**

Treatments	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
	Summer	Kharif	Pooled
T1: Control	3627	3651	3639
T2: 100 % RDF (150 N-60 P-0 K kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	5848	6273	6060
T3: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	5789	6010	5899
T4: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	6037	6456	6247
T5: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	5228	5648	5438
T6: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	5211	5458	5335
T7: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	5235	5525	5380
T8: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	5040	5208	5124
T9: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	5128	5071	5100
T10: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	5252	5406	5329
T11: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	4965	5285	5125
T12: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	4687	5103	4895
T13: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	4969	5265	5117
T14: Seed treatment Nano N and P Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	4918	5036	4977
<b>Mean</b>	5138	5385	5261
<b>S.Em. ±</b>			59.36
	<b>S</b>		
	<b>T</b>	223.42	157.05
	<b>S X T</b>		222.1
<b>C. D. at 5%</b>	<b>S</b>		168.4
	<b>T</b>	649.48	445.70
	<b>S X T</b>		NS
<b>C.V. %</b>	7.53	7.10	7.31

### 3.5 Phosphorus Content in Grain

Data pertaining to the influence of different treatments on phosphorus content in grain during both the seasons and on pooled basis are presented in Table 1e.

An appraisal of data revealed that phosphorus content in grain was significantly affected in summer, kharif and pooled analysis. Application of 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm) at 15, 30 and 60 DAS of maize (T4) recorded significantly higher P

content in grain (0.295, 0.308 and 0.302%, respectively) in summer, kharif and pooled basis than the rest of the treatments, but it remained at par with T2 and T5 in summer and pooled results. In kharif season T2, T3, T5, T6, T7 and T8 remained at par with T4.

### 3.6 Phosphorus Content in Straw

Data on influence by different treatments on phosphorus content in straw during both the seasons along with pooled results are presented in Table 1f.

**Table 1b. Effect of different treatments on straw yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of maize**

Treatments	Straw yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
	Summer	Kharif	Pooled
T1: Control	5606	4877	5241
T2: 100 % RDF (150 N-60 P-0 K kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	7922	7860	7891
T3: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	7780	7261	7521
T4: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	8009	7948	7978
T5: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	7548	6829	7188
T6: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	6607	6674	6641
T7: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	6931	6915	6923
T8: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	6739	6780	6759
T9: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	6488	6271	6380
T10: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	7001	6387	6694
T11: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	6967	6338	6653
T12: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	6847	6132	6490
T13: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	7005	6214	6609
T14: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	6942	6126	6534
<b>Mean</b>	7028	6615	6821
<b>S.Em. ±</b>			61.13
	<b>S</b>		
	<b>T</b>	214.3	161.75
	<b>S X T</b>		228.75
<b>C. D. at 5 %</b>	<b>S</b>		173.49
	<b>T</b>	623.10	459.03
	<b>S X T</b>		NS
<b>C.V. %</b>		5.28	5.80

**Table 1c. Effect of different treatments on N content in grain (%) of maize**

Treatment	N content in grain (%)		
	Summer	Kharif	Pooled
T1: Control	1.44	1.46	1.45
T2: 100 % RDF (150 N-60 P-0 K kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.86	1.89	1.87
T3: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	1.84	1.82	1.83
T4: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	1.94	1.95	1.95
T5: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	1.80	1.75	1.77
T6: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	1.77	1.73	1.75
T7: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application			

Treatment	N content in grain (%)		
	Summer	Kharif	Pooled
(2000 ppm) T8: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application	1.78	1.76	1.77
(3000 ppm) T9: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application	1.73	1.70	1.72
(1000 ppm) T10: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application	1.70	1.66	1.68
(2000 ppm) T11: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application	1.72	1.67	1.70
(3000 ppm) T12: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	1.69	1.64	1.67
T13: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	1.54	1.53	1.54
T14: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	1.58	1.56	1.57
<b>Mean</b>	1.53	1.55	1.54
<b>S.Em. ±</b>			
	<b>S</b>		0.015
	<b>T</b>		0.042
	<b>S X T</b>		0.059
<b>C. D. at 5 %</b>			NS
	<b>S</b>		0.119
	<b>T</b>		NS
	<b>S X T</b>		NS
<b>C.V. %</b>	6.32	5.79	6.06

Table 1d. Effect of different treatments on N content in straw (%) of maize

Treatments	N content in straw (%)		
	Summer	Kharif	Pooled
T1: Control	0.531	0.593	0.562
T2: 100 % RDF (150 N-60 P-0 K kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.755	0.820	0.788
T3: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	0.733	0.798	0.766
T4: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	0.779	0.831	0.805
T5: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	0.741	0.736	0.739
T6: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	0.717	0.747	0.732
T7: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	0.727	0.762	0.745
T8: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	0.703	0.746	0.725
T9: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	0.671	0.714	0.693
T10: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	0.682	0.728	0.705
T11: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	0.648	0.720	0.684
T12: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	0.558	0.645	0.602
T13: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through			

Treatments	N content in straw (%)		
	Summer	Kharif	Pooled
foliar application (2000 ppm)	0.596	0.683	0.640
T14: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	0.549	0.674	0.611
<b>Mean</b>	0.670	0.728	0.699
<b>S.Em. ±</b>			
	<b>S</b>		0.0063
	<b>T</b>	0.027	0.0169
	<b>S X T</b>		0.0239
<b>C. D. at 5%</b>	<b>S</b>		0.0181
	<b>T</b>	0.078	0.048
	<b>S X T</b>		NS
<b>C.V. %</b>	7.01	4.80	5.92

Table 1e. Effect of different treatments on P2O5 content in grain (%) of maize

Treatments	P2O5 content in grain (%)		
	Summer	Kharif	Pooled
T1: Control	0.184	0.187	0.186
T2: 100 % RDF (150 N-60 P-0 K kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.284	0.303	0.293
T3: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	0.264	0.284	0.274
T4: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	0.295	0.308	0.302
T5: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	0.268	0.294	0.281
T6: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	0.255	0.287	0.271
T7: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	0.258	0.286	0.272
T8: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	0.249	0.280	0.265
T9: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	0.246	0.259	0.253
T10: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	0.249	0.271	0.260
T11: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	0.238	0.252	0.245
T12: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	0.230	0.236	0.233
T13: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	0.223	0.258	0.240
T14: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	0.224	0.229	0.227
<b>Mean</b>	0.247	0.266	0.257
<b>S.Em. ±</b>			
	<b>S</b>		0.0022
	<b>T</b>	0.0063	0.0058
	<b>S X T</b>		0.0081
<b>C. D. at 5%</b>	<b>S</b>		0.00624
	<b>T</b>	0.0184	0.0165
	<b>S X T</b>		NS
<b>C.V. %</b>	4.44	6.32	5.539

**Table 1f. Effect of different treatments on P2O5 content in straw (%) of maize**

Treatments	P2O5 content in straw (%)		
	Summer	Kharif	Pooled
T1: Control	0.133	0.136	0.135
T2: 100 % RDF (150 N-60 P-0 K kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.171	0.172	0.172
T3: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	0.169	0.170	0.170
T4: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	0.174	0.180	0.177
T5: 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	0.168	0.177	0.173
T6: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	0.160	0.166	0.163
T7: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	0.164	0.168	0.166
T8: 50% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	0.159	0.157	0.158
T9: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	0.150	0.146	0.148
T10: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	0.157	0.157	0.157
T11: 25% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	0.147	0.147	0.147
T12: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (1000 ppm)	0.139	0.152	0.146
T13: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm)	0.145	0.156	0.151
T14: Seed treatment Nano N and P + Nano N and P through foliar application (3000 ppm)	0.142	0.157	0.149
<b>Mean</b>	0.155	0.160	0.158
<b>S.Em. ±</b>	<b>S</b>		0.0011
	<b>T</b>	0.0039	0.0029
	<b>S x T</b>		0.004
<b>C. D. at 5%</b>	<b>S</b>		0.0031
	<b>T</b>	0.011	0.008
	<b>S x T</b>		NS
<b>C.V. %</b>		4.35	4.51

An appraisal of data showed that phosphorus content in straw was significantly affected during both the seasons and on pooled basis. Higher P2O5 content in straw (0.174 and 0.180% in summer and kharif, respectively) was observed with the treatment-4.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Based on two seasons research finding it is concluded that application of 75% RDF + Nano N and P through foliar application (2000 ppm) at 15, 30 and 60 days after sowing gave significantly higher yield and nitrogen,

phosphorus content of maize. 25% saving of conventional fertilizers.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- Goel A. Agricultural applications of nanotechnology in the maturing seeds of legumes. *International Journal of Life Science and Chemistry*. 2015;32(1):260-266.

2. Patra AK, Clays A, Degrange V, Grayston SJ, Guillaumaud N, Loiseau P, Louault F, Mahmood S, Nazaret S, Phillippot L, Poly F, Prosser JL, LeRoux X. Effects of management regime and plant species on the enzyme activity and genetic structure of N fixing, denitrifying and nitrifying bacterial communities in grassland soils. *Environmental Microbiology*; 2006.
3. Rop K, Karuku GN, Mbui D, Njomo N, Michira I. Evaluating the effects of formulated nano-NPK slow release fertilizer composite on the performance and yield of maize, kale and capsicum. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*. 2019;64 (1):9-19.
4. El-Naggar ME, Abdelsalam NR, Fouda MM, Mackled MI, Al-Jaddadi MA, Ali HM, Siddiqui MH, Kandil EE. Soil application of nano silica on maize yield and its insecticidal activity against some stored insects after the post-harvest. *Nanomaterials*. 2020;10(4): 739.
5. Azam M, Bhatti HN, Khan A, Zafar L, Iqbal M. Zinc oxide nano-fertilizer application (foliar and soil) effect on the growth, photosynthetic pigments and antioxidant system of maize cultivar. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*. 2022;42: 102343.
6. Elamin AE, Elagib MA. Comparative study of organic and inorganic fertilizers on forage corn (*Zea mays* L.) grown on two soil types. *Qatar University Science Journal*. 2001;1(21):47.

© 2023 Aishwarya et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91789>