



Character Association and Path Coefficient Studies in Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.): Experimental Investigation

Usha Rani Veera^{a,b*}, Gouri Shankar Sahu^a,
Pradyumna Tripathy^a, Swarnalata Das^{c++}, Manasi Dash^{d#}
and Gargi Gautami Padhiary^a

^a Department of Vegetable Science, College of Agriculture, Odisha University of Agriculture and
Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India.

^b Faculty of Agriculture, GIET University, Gunupur, Odisha, India.

^c AICRP on Vegetable Crops, OUAT, Bhubaneswar-751003, India.

^d Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, College of Agriculture, OUAT,
Bhubaneswar, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i232896

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/98419>

Received: 23 September 2022

Accepted: 27 November 2022

Published: 30 November 2022

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Thirty two cucumber genotypes were collected from different states of India and were evaluated for yield and quality characters at Bhubaneswar, Odisha during Rabi season of 2019. The relationships between fruit yield and yield components in cucumber genotypes were investigated and correlation studies revealed that yield per plant had positive and significant association with vine length, number of fruits per plant, fruit length, fruit diameter and average fruit weight, while significant negative correlations were observed with node at which first female flower appears,

⁺⁺ Seed Production Officer;

[#] Assistant Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: veera.usarani35@gmail.com;

number of days to first female flower production, days to first harvest and severity of downy mildew percentage both at phenotypic and genotypic levels. Path coefficient analysis revealed that fruit diameter had maximum positive direct effect on yield per plant followed by fruit length, number of fruits per plant, days to first harvest, average fruit weight while, negative direct effect of number of days to first female flower production and severity of downy mildew percentage was observed on yield per plant. Future breeding should focus on selecting the characters having direct effects to improve yield per plant.

Keywords: *Cucumber; genotypic correlation; phenotypic correlation; path analysis.*

1. INTRODUCTION

“Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) is an important member of the family Cucurbitaceae, with a chromosome number $2n = 14$, which comprises 117 genera and 825 species in warmer parts of the world. *Cucumis sativus* var. *hardwickii* is the progenitor of cultivated cucumber” [1]. It is considered to have originated from India and China is believed to be the secondary center of diversity. Generally, tender fruits are used as a salad ingredient, as a dessert and pickles, cooked as vegetables and eaten raw with salt and pepper. It is grown primarily for fresh markets (slicing) as well as for processing (pickling). It provides a cooling effect prevents constipation and is also used as an antipyretic and astringent. The seeds are rich in protein and edible oil which are helpful for the development of brain and body smoothness [2]. “Cucumber juice is important medicinal food in the treatment of hyperacidity, gastric and duodenum ulcers and is also useful in curing jaundice. In addition to this, cucumber has soothing and softening properties of fruits that are important for the cosmetic and soap industries” [3]. In India cucumber occupies 116.26 lakh hectares with a production of 1608.29 million tonnes [4]. In spite of the extensive cultivation and consumption cucumbers have not been taken up for systematic research work to understand the genetic architecture and endeavour in crop improvement programs in India. In crop improvement it is mainly concentrated on increasing yield and yield attributing characters. A study of the correlation between different quantitative characters provides an idea of association. It could be effectively exploited to formulate selection strategies for improving yield and quality. Correlation study does not reveal the direct and indirect contribution of individual character towards yield. To have a clear picture of yield components for an effective selection programme, it would be desirable to consider the relative magnitude of various characters contributing towards yield. Therefore, the present

study was undertaken to assess the nature and magnitude of association among yield and its contributing traits for selecting high yielding genotypes of cucumber under Odisha conditions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the experimental field of Department of Vegetable Science, OUAT, Bhubaneswar, Odisha. Bhubaneswar is located at $20^{\circ} 15'$ N latitude and $85^{\circ} 52'$ E longitude. It is about 60 kms away from the Bay of Bengal with an altitude of 25.5 meters higher than mean sea level (MSL). It was observed that soil of the experimental plot was sandy loam having pH 5.2. The chemical analysis of soil indicated, nitrogen content of 108 kg/ha, phosphorous content of 38.6 kg/ha and potassium content of 423.4 kg/ha. The organic carbon content of soil was 0.42%. The experimental site comes under the eighteenth agro-climatic region of the country *i.e.*, eastern coastal plain and is termed as sub-humid characterized by a warm moist climate with mild winter. The average annual rainfall of Bhubaneswar is 1552 mm. Most of the rainfall *i.e.*, 85% is received from July to September. The average temperature varies from 14° C in winter to 40° C in summer. The experimental materials comprised of 32 released varieties of Cucumber *viz.*, Special Macharsasa, Tejas, Nandini, Khirasagar, Varshamangalam, Sachin, Barsamongal, Barsha, Bharatmata, Pant khira – 1, Rain special, Duranta, Barsha mangalsasha, Gautam-910, Saptarishi, Green long S-82, 12 pata sasa, Shubra, Rajamata, Barsha Rani, Swarn Sheetal, Sagar, Banki local, Kalpataru Sasa improve, Gangothri, Green long, Adimata, Kheera haralamba, Basumathi, Barshalaxmi, Mahaprasad and Priya collected from different parts of India. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design. All required cultural practices were followed to maintain uniform crop growth. Observations on 10 quantitative traits, *viz.*, node at which first female flower appears,

number of days to first female flower production, days to first harvest, fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (cm), average fruit weight (g), number of fruits per plant, vine length (m), severity of downy mildew (%) and fruit yield per vine (kg) were recorded during the experiment.

“The genotypic and phenotypic correlations were calculated as per Al-Jibouri et al. [5] by using analysis of variance and covariance matrix”. “The genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients were used to find out their direct and indirect contributions towards yield per plant. The direct and indirect paths were obtained according to the method” given by Dewey and Lu [6].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Correlation Studies

“The correlation coefficients among the different characters were worked out at phenotypic and genotypic levels (Table 1). In general, the genotypic correlation coefficients were higher in magnitude than the phenotypic correlation coefficients. The knowledge of the degree of association of yield with the various yield contributing and horticultural traits is of great importance because, yield is not an independent character and it is the result of the interaction of number of component traits among themselves as well as environmental interactions. The phenotypic expression of each trait is due to the genotype, the environment and the interaction of both. Further each character is likely to be modified by the action of genes present in the genotypes of plants and also by the environment and it becomes difficult to evaluate this complex character directly. Therefore, correlation analysis of yield with various characters has been executed to find out the yield contributing factors” [7].

Correlation studies revealed that yield per plant was positively correlated with fruit length (0.619, 0.541), fruit diameter (0.599, 0.523), average fruit weight (0.593, 0.561), number of fruits per plant (0.671, 0.568), vine length (0.695, 0.660); Singh and Dhillon in cucumber, while it recorded negative association at both levels for node at which first female flower appears (-0.242, 0.215), number of days to first female flower production (-0.385, -0.312), days to first harvest (-0.458, -0.310) and severity of downy mildew (%)

(-0.800, -0.728) [8]. Similar results of negative association of fruit yield with days to first female flower were also reported by Kumar et al. [9]; Pal et al. [10] and Sharma et al. [11] in cucumber. This indicates that, as delay in female flowering and days to first harvest, there is reduction in yield per vine.

The present study revealed that the traits viz., fruit length, fruit diameter, average fruit weight, number of fruits per plant, and vine length exhibited high positive association with fruit yield at genotypic and phenotypic levels respectively. These findings are in accordance with Reddy et al. [12] in musk melon; Karthick et al. [13], in cucumber. Node at which first female flower appears was positively correlated with number of days to first female flower production (0.855, 0.703), days to first harvest (0.911, 0.654) and average fruit weight (0.273, 0.263) at both genotypic and phenotypic levels respectively. Fruit length showed significant positive associations with number of fruits per plant (0.778, 0.506) and vine length (0.546, 0.416) but had negative non - significant association with fruit diameter (-0.138, -0.067) and non- significant positive association with fruit weight (0.047, 0.031) at both the levels. Fruit diameter showed significant positive associations with fruit weight (0.858, 0.725) and vine length (0.396, 0.317) at both the levels. Number of fruits per plant showed significant positive association with vine length (0.594, 0.508) and significant negative association with severity of downy mildew percentage (-0.577, 0.489) at both the levels. Vine length exhibited significant positive association with fruit length (0.546, 0.416), fruit diameter (0.396, 0.317), average fruit weight (0.321, 0.307) and number of fruits per plant (0.594, 0.508) at both genotypic and phenotypic levels and significant negative association with number of days to first female flower production (-0.1925) and days to first harvest (-0.292) at the genotypic level. These results indicate the inverse relationship between earliness and growth parameters. These results were also reported earlier by Arun kumar et al. (2011), Ene et al. [14] and Kumari et al. [15] in cucumber. Severity of downy mildew exhibited significant negative correlation with fruit length (-0.541, -0.447), fruit diameter (-0.321, -0.232), average fruit weight (0.349,-0.327), number of fruits per plant (-0.577, -0.489) and vine length (-0.546, -0.487) at both genotypic and phenotypic levels.

3.2 Path Coefficient Analysis

Path coefficient analysis is simply a standardized partial regression coefficient, which splits the correlation into direct and indirect effects. In other words, it measures the direct and indirect contribution of various independent characters on a dependent character. The concept of path analysis was developed by Wright [16] and the technique was first used by Dewey and Lu [6] that helps in determining yield contributing characters thus, useful in indirect selection.

To fulfill the requirement, path coefficient analysis was undertaken and the direct and indirect effects of different characters on yield per vine in cucumber are presented in Table 2.

3.3 Direct Effects

The data presented revealed that the fruit diameter had the highest positive direct effect (0.4476) on fruit yield per vine followed by fruit length (0.2833), number of fruits per plant (0.2707), days to first harvest (0.1805), average fruit weight (0.0851)). Further, positive direct effects were also recorded for node at which first female flower production (0.0794) and vine length (0.0011). Other characters showed negative direct effect being highest in number of days to first female flower production (-0.3320), and severity of downy mildew percentage (-0.3166) at genotypic level. These findings are in line with the findings of Hossain et al. [17]. At the phenotypic level, the average fruit weight had the highest positive direct effect (0.2920) on fruit yield per vine followed by fruit diameter (0.2293), number of fruits per plant (0.2005), fruit length (0.1949), vine length (0.1433) and number of days to first female flower production (0.0003). Other characters showed negative direct effect being highest in severity of downy mildew percentage (-0.2945) followed by node at which first female flower appears (-0.0894) and days to first harvest (-0.0477) at the genotypic level. Hence, from the above finding, it may be concluded that selection for the fruit diameter, fruit length, number of fruits per plant and average fruit weight should be given importance in the breeding programme to increase fruit yield.

3.4 Indirect Effects

Node at which the first female flower appears exhibited positive indirect effect on yield per plant through days to first fruit harvest (0.0723) followed by days to first female flower appearance (0.0679), average fruit weight (0.0216). This trait exhibited negative indirect effect on number of fruits per plant (-0.0416) followed by fruit length (-0.0305), vine length (-0.0105) at genotypic level. Number of days to first female flower production exhibited positive indirect effect on yield per plant through number of fruits per plant (0.1996) followed by fruit length (0.1476), vine length (0.0639). This trait exhibited negative indirect effect on yield per plant through severity of downy mildew percentage (-0.0664) followed by fruit weight (-0.0398), days first harvest (-0.3493) at genotypic level.

Days to first harvest exhibited positive indirect effect on yield per plant through number of days to first female flower production (0.1899) followed by node at which first female flower appears (0.1645) and severity of downy mildew percentage (0.0520). This trait exhibited negative indirect effect on yield per plant through number of fruits per plant (-0.1378), fruit length (-0.1060) and vine length (-0.0527) at genotypic level. Fruit length exhibited positive indirect effect on yield per plant through number of fruits per plant (0.2203) followed by vine length (0.1546), fruit weight (0.0134). This trait exhibited negative indirect effect on yield per plant through days to first harvest (-0.1664) followed by severity of downy mildew percentage (-0.1534) and days to first female flower production (-0.1259) at genotypic level.

Fruit diameter exhibited positive indirect effect on yield per plant through average fruit weight (0.3840) followed by vine length (0.1773) and days to first harvest (0.0842). This trait exhibited negative indirect effect on yield per plant through severity of downy mildew percentage (-0.1435) followed by fruit length (-0.0618) and number of fruits per plant (-0.0166). Fruit weight exhibited positive indirect effect on yield per plant through fruit diameter (0.0730) followed by vine length (0.0273) and node at which first female flower appears (0.0232). This trait exhibited negative indirect effect

Table 1. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation co-efficient (rp and rg) between all pairs of ten characters of genotypes in cucumber

Character		Node at which first female flower appears	Number of days to first female flower production	Days to first harvest	Fruit Length(cm)	Fruit Diameter(cm)	Average fruit weight (g)	Number of fruits per plant	Vine length (m)	Severity of downy mildew (%)	Fruit yield per vine (kg)
Node at which first female flower appears	G	1.0000	0.855**	0.911**	-0.384**	0.1828	0.273*	-0.524**	-0.1317	0.1765	-0.242*
	P	1.0000	0.703**	0.654**	-0.300*	0.1679	0.263*	-0.430**	-0.1017	0.1691	-0.215*
Number of days to first female flower production	G		1.0000	1.0520	-0.445**	0.0697	0.1198	-0.601**	-0.1925*	0.1999	-0.385**
	P		1.0000	0.736**	-0.347**	0.0376	0.0838	-0.498**	-0.1649	0.1840	-0.312*
Days to first harvest	G			1.0000	-0.587**	0.1880	0.221*	-0.764**	-0.292*	0.288*	-0.458**
	P			1.0000	-0.384**	0.0752	0.1554	-0.507**	-0.1828	0.212*	-0.310*
Fruit length (cm)	G				1.0000	-0.1381	0.0473	0.778	0.546**	-0.541**	0.619**
	P				1.0000	-0.0679	0.0317	0.560**	0.416**	-0.447**	0.541**
Fruit diameter (cm)	G					1.0000	0.858**	-0.0370	0.396**	-0.321*	0.599**
	P					1.0000	0.725**	-0.0172	0.317*	-0.232*	0.523**
Average fruit weight (g)	G						1.0000	-0.0829	0.321**	-0.349**	0.593**
	P						1.0000	0.0763	0.307*	-0.327*	0.561**
Number of fruits per plant	G							1.0000	0.594**	-0.577**	0.671**
	P							1.0000	0.508**	-0.489**	0.568**
Vine length (m)	G								1.0000	-0.546**	0.695**
	P								1.0000	-0.487**	0.660**
Severity of downy mildew (%)	G									1.0000	-0.800**
	P									1.0000	-0.728**
Fruit yield per vine (kg)	G										1.0000
	P										1.0000

* Significant at 5 % and ** Significant at 1 % level
P Critical value at 0.05 and 0.001 is -0.187 and 0.309 for phenotypic and genotypic correlation

Table 2. Estimates of direct (diagonal) and indirect effects of component characters on yield of genotypes in cucumber

Character		Node at which first female flower appears	Number of days to first female flower production	Days to first harvest	Fruit Length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)	Average fruit weight (g)	Number of fruits per plant	Vine length (m)	Severity of downy mildew (%)	Fruit yield per vine (kg)
Node at which first female flower appears	G	0.0794	0.0679	0.0723	-0.0305	0.0145	0.0216	-0.0416	-0.0105	0.0140	-0.242
	P	-0.0894	-0.0628	-0.0585	0.0268	-0.0150	-0.0235	0.0385	0.0091	-0.0151	-0.215*
Number of days to first female flower production	G	-0.2839	-0.3320	-0.3493	0.1476	-0.0232	-0.0398	0.1996	0.0639	-0.0664	-0.385**
	P	0.0002	0.0003	0.0002	-0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	-0.312*
Days to first harvest	G	0.1645	0.1899	0.1805	-0.1060	0.0339	0.0399	-0.1378	-0.0527	0.0520	-0.458**
	P	-0.0312	-0.0351	-0.0477	0.0183	-0.0036	-0.0074	0.0242	0.0087	-0.0101	-0.310*
Fruit length (cm)	G	-0.1088	-0.1259	-0.1664	0.2833	-0.0391	0.0134	0.2203	0.1546	-0.1534	0.619**
	P	-0.0585	-0.0676	-0.0748	0.1949	-0.0132	0.0062	0.1091	0.0728	-0.0533	0.523**
Fruit diameter (cm)	G	0.0818	0.0312	0.0842	-0.0618	0.4476	0.3840	-0.0166	0.1773	-0.1435	0.599**
	P	0.0385	0.0086	0.0172	-0.0156	0.2293	0.1662	-0.0039	0.0897	-0.0955	0.561**
Average fruit weight (g)	G	0.0232	0.0102	0.0188	0.0040	0.0730	0.0851	-0.0071	0.0273	-0.0296	0.593**
	P	0.0767	0.0245	0.0454	0.0092	0.2115	0.2920	-0.0223	0.1019	-0.0981	0.568**
Number of fruits per plant	G	-0.1418	-0.1627	-0.2067	0.2105	-0.0100	-0.0224	0.2707	0.1608	-0.1561	0.671**
	P	0.0863	-0.0999	-0.1016	0.1122	-0.0035	-0.0153	0.2005	0.1530	-0.0745	0.660**
Vine length (m)	G	-0.0001	-0.0002	-0.0003	0.0006	0.0004	0.0003	0.0006	0.0011	-0.0006	0.695**
	P	0.0156	-0.0252	-0.0280	0.0637	0.0486	0.0470	0.0778	0.1433	-0.2945	-0.728**
Severity of downy mildew (%)	G	-0.0559	-0.0633	-0.0913	0.1714	0.1015	0.1103	0.1826	0.1729	-0.3166	-0.800**
	P	-0.0498	-0.0542	-0.0623	0.1316	0.0684	0.0963	0.1441	0.1433	-0.2945	-0.728**
Fruit yield per vine (kg)	G	-0.242*	-0.385**	-0.458**	0.619**	0.599**	0.593**	0.671**	0.695**	-0.800**	1.0000
	P	-0.215*	-0.312*	-0.310*	0.541**	0.523**	0.561**	0.568**	0.660*	-0.728**	1.0000

Residual effect= 0.30900 Direct effects: diagonal elements

on yield per plant through severity of downy mildew percentage (-0.0296) followed by number of fruits per plant (-0.0071) at genotypic level.

Number of fruits per plant exhibited positive indirect effect on yield per plant through fruit length (0.2105) and vine length (0.1608). This trait exhibited negative indirect effect on yield per plant through days to first harvest (-0.2067) followed by days to first female flower production (-0.1627) and severity of downy mildew percentage (-0.1561). Vine length (m) exhibited positive indirect effect on yield per plant through fruit length (0.0006) followed by number of fruits per plant (0.0006) and fruit diameter (0.0004). This trait exhibited negative indirect effect on yield per plant through severity of downy mildew percentage (-0.0006) followed by days to first harvest (-0.0003) and days to first female flower appearance (-0.0002). Severity of downy mildew percentage exhibited positive indirect effect on yield per plant through number of fruits per plant (0.1826) followed by vine length (0.1729), fruit length (0.1714). This trait exhibited negative indirect effect on yield per plant through severity of downy mildew percentage (-0.3166) followed by days to first harvest (-0.0913) and days to first female flower appearance (-0.0633). These findings are resembled with the findings of Sharma et al. [18], Khan et al. [19] in pointed gourd and Hossain et al. [17].

4. CONCLUSION

The correlation coefficients among the different characters were worked out at both phenotypic and genotypic levels. The results indicated that yield per plant had significant positive association with vine length, number of fruits per plant, fruit length, fruit diameter and average fruit weight. Thus, from the correlation studies it is concluded that selection should be made based on higher vine length, number of fruits per plant and fruit length with minimum susceptibility to downy mildew disease to bring desired improvement in the yield of cucumber [20]. The path coefficient analysis revealed that the high positive direct effects towards fruit yield per plant contributed by fruit diameter followed by fruit length, number of fruits per plant, days to first harvest, average fruit weight, node at which first female flower production and vine length, thus indicating that direct selection for yield improvement in cucumber can be performed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, Odisha, India for the facilities provided during the experiment.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENECES

1. Gopalakrishnan TR. Vegetable crops. New India Publishing Agency, Pitampura, New Delhi; 2007.
2. Bhagat A, Srinivasa V, Bhammanakati S, Shubha AS. Evaluation of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) genotypes under hill zone of Karnataka, India. International Journal of Current Microbiol Applied Sciences. 2018;7(9):837-842.
3. Wang J, Xu Q, Miao MM, Liang GH, Zhang MZ, Chen XH. Analysis of genetic relationship of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) germplasm by ISSR markers. Molecular Plant Breeding. 2007;5: 677–682.
4. Indiastat. Selected State-wise area, production and yield of cucumber in India; 2021-22. Available:<http://indiastat.com>
5. Al- Jibouri HA, Miller PA, Robinson HF. Genotypic and environmental variances and co-variances in an upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. Agronomy Journal. 1958;50:633-636.
6. Dewey DR, Lu KH. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. Agronomy Journal. 1959;51:512-515.
7. Shweta Sharma, Ramesh Kumar, Subhrajyoti Chatterjee and Hem Raj Sharma. Correlation and path analysis studies for yield and its attributes in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(2):2045-2048
8. Kumar A, Kumar S, Pal AK. Genetic variability and characters association for fruit yield and yield traits in cucumber. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2008;65: 423- 428.
9. Kumar S, Kumar R, Gupta RK, Sephia R. Studies on correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and its contributing traits in cucumber, Crop Improvement. 2011; 38(1):18-23.

10. Pal S, Sharma HR, Gupta M. Screening of cucumber germplasm against economically important diseases under open field and mid-hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh. *Environment and Ecology*. 2017;35(2):1484-1486.
11. Sharma S, Kumar R, Sharma HR. Studies on Variability, Heritability and Genetic Gain in Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Indian Journal of Ecology*. 2017; 44(6):829- 833.
12. Reddy KPB, Begum H, Sunil N, Reddy T. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in muskmelon (*Cucumis melo* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology & Applied Science*. 2017;6 (6):2261- 2276.
13. Karthick K, Arumugam T, Rajasree V, Ganesan KN, Karthikeyan M. Evaluation and assessment of genetic variability of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) genotypes. *Journal of Pharma Innovation*. 2019;8(11):156-160.
14. Ene CO, Ogbonna PE, Agbo CU and Chukwudi UP. Evaluation of Sixteen cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) genotypes in derived Savannah Environment using Path Coefficient analysis. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*. 2016;8(1):85-92.
15. Kumari A, Singh AK, Moharana DP, Kumar A. and Kumar N. Character relationship and Path coefficient analysis for yield and yield components diverse genotypes of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2018;7(5): 33-38.
16. Wright S. Correlation and causation. *Journal of Agricultural Research*. 1921;20: 557-587.
17. Hossain F, Rabbani MG, Hakim MA, Amanullah ASM, Ahsanullah ASM. Study On variability character association and yield performance of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Bangladesh Research Publications Journal*. 2010;4(3):297-311.
18. Sharma S, Kumar R, Sharm HR, Sharma A and Gautam N. Divergence studies for different horticultural traits in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2018; 7(2):1733-1741.
19. Khan ASMMR, Kabir MY, Alam MM. Variability, correlation path analysis of yield and components of pointed gourd. *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development*. 2009;7(1-2):93-98.
20. Singh G and Dhillon NS. Genetic variability studies in parthenocarpic cucumber. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2022;11(3): 2142-2147.

© 2022 Veera et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/98419>