



Storability of the Entomopathogenic Fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae*

N. V. Parjane^{a++*}, G. B. Kabre^{b#}
and S. A. Kharde^{c++}

^a Department of Agricultural Entomology, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, District Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India.

^b College of Agriculture, Dhule, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, District Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India.

^c Department of Horticulture, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, District Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i153066

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99786>

Original Research Article

Received: 12/03/2023
Accepted: 16/05/2023
Published: 08/06/2023

ABSTRACT

The present investigation on storability of the entomopathogenic fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae* was carried out at Biocontrol Laboratory, Agricultural Entomology Section, College of Agriculture, Dhule during Jan 2018 to Dec 2018. The pure culture of the entomopathogenic fungus *M. anisopliae* was maintained at Agricultural Entomology Section, College of Agriculture, Dhule on PDA slants. The storability of the fungus *M. anisopliae* was studied at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 105, 120, 135, 150, 165 and 180 days after storage kept at ambient and freeze temperature. The CFU count was taken by serial dilution technique at 10^4 , 10^5 , 10^6 , 10^7 , 10^8 and 10^9 dilution 3 days after inoculation. At 15 day's interval 1 gm sample was taken from both room and freeze temperature prepared samples of the fungus and the viability of the product was studied by serial dilution

⁺⁺ Ph.D. Scholar;

[#] Professor of Agricultural Entomology;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: nvparjanepatil5001@gmail.com;

technique. The results clearly indicated that the viability of the product kept in ambient temperature was 120 days, while the viability of the product kept in freeze temperature was 165 days. Initial one month, the difference in CFU count among the samples kept at room and freeze temperature was less as compared to later stage of storage. The entomopathogenic fungus *M. anisopliae* can be stored safely up to 4 months at ambient temperature and maximum up to 5.5 months at freeze temperature.

Keywords: *Metarhizium anisopliae*; serial dilution technique; storability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fungi which control the insect pest population associated with agricultural crops are considered as entomopathogenic fungi. There are several naturally occurring organisms, such as bacteria, viruses and fungi for the control of crop pest, weeds and plant diseases. *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschnikoff) Sorokin, initially known under the name *Entomophthora anisopliae*, was first described near Odessa in Ukraine from infected larvae of the wheat cockchafer *Anisopliae austriaca* in 1879, and later, *Cleonus punctiventis* by Metschnikoff. It was later renamed as *M. anisopliae* by Sorokin in 1883 [1]. *M. anisopliae* causes a disease known as 'green muscardine' in insect hosts because of the green colour of its conidial cells. In 1883, Metschnikoff commenced mass culturing of the fungus and carried out the first experiment with two beetle pests. *M. anisopliae* is the second most widely exploited entomopathogenic fungus in biocontrol trials. Species within the genus *Metarhizium* are pathogenic fungi with broad ranges of insect hosts. *M. anisopliae* was found to be a species complex composed of nine species based on multilocus phylogeny [2]. It is known to attack over 200 species of insects belonging to orders Coleoptera, Dermaptera, Homoptera, Lepidoptera and Orthoptera [3]. Many entomopathogenic fungi especially *M. anisopliae* are used as biological control agents of insects including gregarious insect pests. But field application of fungi cannot give satisfactory results as pesticides due to many abiotic and biotic factors [4-8].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted under laboratory condition at Agricultural Entomology Section, College of Agriculture, Dhule. The fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae* was inoculated in sterilized potato dextrose broth (PDB) in a saline bottle. After 15 days of inoculation, 11.50 g of the fully grown mat of the fungus *M. anisopliae* was mixed in 1 kg of talcum powder and 1.15%

wettable powder formulation was prepared. One kg sample of the fungus *M. anisopliae* sample plus 1.15% WP were kept at ambient temperature (25 to 40°C) and another 1 kg sample of the fungus plus *M. anisopliae* 1.15% WP were kept in a refrigerator at 10 to 12°C. After every 15 days interval 1 gm was taken from each of ambient and freeze temperature product to make serial dilution to test the viability of the prepared product of the test fungus. The CFU count was recorded at 3rd day of inoculation on PDA.

Statistical analysis was carried out by analyzing the available data in Factorial Completely Randomized Design (FCRD). The data was subjected to square root transformation prior to analysis.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Storability of *M. anisopliae* 3 Days After Inoculation

3.1.1 After 15 days of storage

The data revealed that the mean CFU count (60.92) was significantly more in sample kept at freeze temperature than the samples kept at ambient temperature (59.13).

The maximum mean of CFU count (114.13) was observed in dilution of 10⁴, while the minimum mean of CFU count (21.50) was observed in dilution of 10⁹. The dilution of 10⁸ showed (27.13) mean CFU count.

The interaction between temperature factor and dilution factor was non-significant at 15 days after storage.

3.1.2 After 30 days of storage

The maximum mean of CFU count (58.83) was observed in the samples kept at freeze temperature and was significantly superior over the sample kept at ambient temperature (55.83).

The maximum mean of CFU count (112.88) was observed in a dilution of 10^4 , and minimum mean of CFU count (18.63) was observed in dilution of 10^9 . The dilution of 10^8 showed (26.25) mean CFU count.

The maximum mean of CFU count (114.00) was observed in a interaction between the sample kept in freeze temperature and dilution of 10^4 and was significantly superior over rest of the interactions.

3.1.3 After 45 days of storage

The maximum mean of CFU count (57.08) was observed in the samples kept at freeze temperature and was significantly superior over the sample kept at ambient temperature (52.67).

The maximum mean of CFU count (111.00) was observed in dilution of 10^4 and minimum mean of CFU count (15.25) was observed in dilution of 10^9 . The dilution of 10^8 showed (22.13) mean CFU count.

The maximum mean of CFU count (112.50) was observed in interaction between the sample kept in freeze temperature and dilution of 10^4 and was significantly superior over rest of the interactions.

3.1.4 After 60 days of storage

The maximum mean of CFU count (52.50) was observed in the samples kept at freeze temperature and was significantly superior over the sample kept at ambient temperature (46.42).

The maximum mean of CFU count (97.25) was observed in a dilution of 10^4 and minimum mean of CFU count (12.88) was observed in dilution of 10^9 . The dilution of 10^8 showed (18.25) mean CFU count.

The maximum mean of CFU count (104.00) was observed in interaction between the sample kept at freeze temperature and dilution of 10^4 and was significantly superior over rest of the interactions.

3.1.5 After 75 days of storage

The maximum mean of CFU count (50.58) was observed in the samples kept at freeze temperature and was significantly superior over the sample kept at ambient temperature (41.71).

The maximum mean of CFU count (91.63) was observed in dilution of 10^4 and minimum mean of

CFU count (12.00) was observed in dilution of 10^9 . The dilution of 10^8 showed (16.00) mean CFU count.

The maximum mean of CFU count (99.25) was observed in interaction between the sample kept at freeze temperature and dilution of 10^4 and was significantly superior over rest of the interactions.

3.1.6 After 90 days of storage

The maximum mean of CFU count (48.63) was observed in the samples kept at freeze temperature and was significantly superior over the sample kept at ambient temperature (37.25).

The maximum mean of CFU count (87.13) was observed in a dilution of 10^4 and minimum mean of CFU count (11.13) was observed in 10^9 . The dilution of 10^8 showed (14.38) mean CFU count. The maximum mean of CFU count (94.50) was observed in interaction between the sample kept at freeze temperature and dilution of 10^4 and was significantly superior over rest of the interactions.

3.1.7 After 105 days of storage

The maximum mean of CFU count (43.46) was observed in the samples kept at freeze temperature and was significantly superior over the sample kept in ambient temperature (33.67).

The maximum mean of CFU count (78.38) was observed in a dilution of 10^4 and minimum mean of CFU count (9.38) was observed in 10^9 . The dilution of 10^8 showed (12.88) mean CFU count.

The maximum mean of CFU count (85.25) was observed in interaction between the sample kept at freeze temperature and dilution of 10^4 and was significantly superior over rest of the interactions.

3.1.8 After 120 days of storage

The maximum mean of CFU count (36.88) was observed in the samples kept at freeze temperature and was significantly superior over the sample kept in ambient temperature (25.92).

The maximum mean of CFU count (66.75) was observed in a dilution of 10^4 and minimum mean of CFU count (6.13) was observed in 10^9 . The dilution of 10^8 showed (10.38) mean CFU count.

The maximum mean of CFU count (77.00) was observed in interaction between the sample kept

at freeze temperature and dilution of 10^4 and was significantly superior over rest of the interactions.

3.1.9 After 135 days of storage

The maximum mean of CFU count (28.38) was observed in the samples kept at freeze temperature and was significantly superior over the sample kept at ambient temperature (6.50).

The maximum mean of CFU count (45.25) was observed in a dilution of 10^4 and minimum mean of CFU count (3.00) was observed in 10^9 . The dilution of 10^8 showed (6.00) mean CFU count.

The maximum mean of CFU count (64.25) was observed in a interaction between the sample kept at freeze temperature and dilution of 10^4 and was significantly superior over rest of the interactions.




Plate 1. CFU Count of *M. anisopliae* 105 days after storage at dilution of 10^8 at room (A) and freeze temperature (B).




Plate 2. CFU Count of *M. anisopliae* 135 days after storage at dilution of 10^8 at room (A) and freeze temperature (B)




Fig. 1. CFU count of *M. anisopliae* at ambient and freeze temperature after 3rd day of inoculation

Table 1. Storability of *Metarhizium anisopliae* at room and freeze temperature 3 days after inoculation

Factors	CFU count of <i>M. anisopliae</i> after finished product preparation in days											
	15 days	30 days	45 days	60 days	75 days	90 days	105 days	120 days	135 days	150 days	165 Days	180 Days
(T ₁) Room temperature	59.13 (7.42) *	55.83 (7.12)	52.67 (6.90)	46.42 (6.47)	41.71 (6.11)	37.25 (5.77)	33.67 (5.49)	25.92 (4.76)	6.50 (1.94)	1.58 (1.12)	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)
(T ₂) Freeze temperature	60.92 (7.52)	58.83 (7.36)	57.08 (7.21)	52.50 (6.89)	50.58 (6.77)	48.63 (6.62)	43.46 (6.24)	36.88 (5.72)	28.38 (5.06)	14.00 (3.60)	8.96 (2.87)	0.00 (0.71)
S. E. *	0.027	0.026	0.026	0.025	0.030	0.032	0.028	0.036	0.034	0.038	0.025	0.00
C. D. at 5%	0.078	0.075	0.074	0.074	0.087	0.094	0.081	0.103	0.097	0.109	0.072	NS
Factor B (D)												
Dilution factor												
(D ₁) 10 ⁻⁴	114.13 (10.71)	112.88 (10.65)	111.00 (10.56)	97.25 (9.88)	91.63 (9.59)	87.13 (9.35)	78.38 (8.87)	66.75 (8.18)	45.25 (6.61)	21.63 (4.50)	10.63 (2.68)	0.00 (0.71)
(D ₂) 10 ⁻⁵	88.63 (9.44)	86.75 (9.34)	86.63 (9.33)	80.00 (8.97)	75.75 (8.72)	70.00 (8.36)	63.88 (7.99)	54.88 (7.41)	26.50 (5.01)	9.38 (2.54)	6.75 (2.22)	0.00 (0.71)
(D ₃) 10 ⁻⁶	64.63 (8.07)	61.13 (7.85)	57.25 (7.60)	54.13 (7.39)	48.88 (7.02)	44.88 (6.71)	39.38 (6.28)	30.38 (5.53)	13.38 (2.96)	6.00 (2.12)	4.25 (1.85)	0.00 (0.71)
(D ₄) 10 ⁻⁷	44.13 (6.68)	38.00 (6.20)	37.00 (6.12)	34.25 (5.89)	32.63 (5.75)	30.13 (5.53)	27.50 (5.29)	19.88 (4.51)	10.50 (2.67)	4.25 (1.85)	3.00 (1.63)	0.00 (0.71)
(D ₅) 10 ⁻⁸	27.13 (5.26)	26.25 (5.17)	22.13 (4.75)	18.25 (4.33)	16.00 (4.05)	14.38 (3.85)	12.88 (3.65)	10.38 (3.27)	6.00 (2.12)	3.25 (1.67)	1.50 (1.28)	0.00 (0.71)
(D ₆) 10 ⁻⁹	21.50 (4.69)	18.63 (4.67)	15.25 (3.96)	12.88 (3.64)	12.00 (3.51)	11.13 (3.38)	9.38 (3.12)	6.13 (5.53)	3.00 (1.63)	2.25 (1.47)	0.75 (1.06)	0.00 (0.71)
S. E. *	0.016	0.016	0.015	0.015	0.018	0.020	0.017	0.022	0.020	0.023	0.015	0.00
C. D. at 5%	0.048	0.046	0.045	0.045	0.052	0.057	0.050	0.063	0.059	0.067	0.044	NS
Interaction												
T ₁ D ₁	113.25 (10.67)	111.75 (10.59)	109.50 (10.49)	90.50 (9.54)	84.00 (9.19)	79.75 (8.95)	71.50 (8.49)	56.50 (7.55)	26.25 (5.17)	9.50 (3.16)	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)
T ₁ D ₂	86.50 (9.33)	83.25 (9.15)	82.00 (9.08)	74.50 (8.66)	67.50 (8.25)	57.25 (7.60)	53.00 (7.31)	44.50 (6.71)	12.75 (3.63)	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)
T ₁ D ₃	62.50 (7.94)	57.50 (7.62)	54.00 (7.38)	52.50 (7.28)	44.50 (6.70)	37.25 (6.14)	31.50 (5.65)	25.25 (5.07)	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)
T ₁ D ₄	44.00 (6.67)	37.25 (6.14)	35.75 (6.02)	32.50 (5.74)	31.25 (5.63)	28.50 (5.38)	27.25 (5.27)	17.50 (4.24)	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)
T ₁ D ₅	27.00 (5.24)	26.00 (5.15)	21.00 (4.63)	17.75 (4.27)	13.75 (3.77)	12.50 (3.60)	11.25 (3.43)	7.75 (2.87)	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)
T ₁ D ₆	21.50	18.50	13.75	10.75	9.25	8.25	7.50	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Factors		CFU count of <i>M. anisopliae</i> after finished product preparation in days										
Factor A (T) (Temperature)	15 days	30 days	45 days	60 days	75 days	90 days	105 days	120 days	135 days	150 days	165 Days	180 Days
T ₂ D ₁	(4.69) 115.00 (10.75)	(4.36) 114.0 (10.70)	(3.77) 112.50 (10.63)	(3.35) 104.00 (10.22)	(3.12) 99.25 (9.99)	(2.95) 94.50 (9.75)	(2.82) 85.25 (9.26)	(2.11) 77.00 (8.80)	(0.71) 64.25 (8.04)	(0.71) 33.55 (5.85)	(0.71) 21.25 (4.66)	(0.71) 0.00 (0.71)
T ₂ D ₂	90.75 (9.55)	90.25 (9.53)	91.25 (9.58)	85.50 (9.27)	84.00 (9.19)	82.75 (9.12)	74.75 (8.66)	65.25 (8.11)	40.25 (6.38)	18.75 (4.38)	13.50 (3.74)	0.00 (0.71)
T ₂ D ₃	66.75 (8.20)	64.75 (8.08)	60.50 (7.81)	55.75 (7.50)	53.25 (7.33)	52.50 (7.28)	47.25 (6.91)	35.50 (6.00)	26.75 (5.22)	12.00 (3.53)	8.50 (3.00)	0.00 (0.71)
T ₂ D ₄	44.25 (6.69)	38.75 (6.26)	38.25 (6.22)	36.00 (6.04)	34.00 (5.87)	31.75 (5.68)	27.75 (5.29)	22.25 (4.77)	21.00 (4.64)	8.50 (3.00)	6.00 (2.55)	0.00 (0.71)
T ₂ D ₅	27.25 (5.27)	26.50 (5.19)	23.25 (4.87)	18.75 (4.39)	18.25 (4.33)	16.25 (4.09)	14.50 (3.87)	13.00 (3.67)	12.00 (3.53)	6.50 (2.64)	3.00 (1.86)	0.00 (0.71)
T ₂ D ₆	21.50 (4.69)	18.75 (4.39)	16.75 (4.15)	15.00 (3.94)	14.75 (3.90)	14.00 (3.81)	11.25 (3.43)	8.25 (2.95)	6.00 (2.55)	4.50 (2.23)	1.50 (1.40)	0.00 (0.71)
S. E. †	0.23	0.064	0.06	0.063	0.074	0.080	0.06	0.08	0.083	0.093	0.061	0.00
C. D. at 5%	N.S.	0.18	NS	0.181	0.214	0.23	0.20	0.25	0.0239	0.269	0.176	NS

Note*: Figures in parenthesis are $\sqrt{x+0.5}$ transformed value

3.1.10 After 150 days of storage

The maximum mean of CFU count (14.00) was observed in the samples kept at freeze temperature and was significantly superior over the sample kept in ambient temperature (1.58).

The maximum mean of CFU count (21.63) was observed in a dilution of 10^4 and minimum mean of CFU count (2.25) was observed in 10^9 . The dilution of 10^8 showed (3.25) mean CFU count.

The maximum mean of CFU count (33.55) was observed in interaction between the sample kept at freeze temperature and dilution of 10^4 and was significantly superior over rest of the interactions.

3.1.11 After 165 days of storage

The maximum mean of CFU count (8.96) was observed in the samples kept at freeze temperature and was significantly superior over the sample kept in ambient temperature (00.00).

The maximum mean of CFU count (10.63) was observed in a dilution of 10^4 and minimum mean of CFU count (0.75) was observed in 10^9 . The dilution of 10^8 showed (1.50) mean CFU count.

The maximum mean of CFU count (21.25) was observed in interaction between the sample kept at freeze temperature and dilution of 10^4 and was significantly superior over rest of the interactions.

3.1.12 After 180 days of storage

The CFU count of freeze temperature was (0.00) which indicates storability of freeze product was up to 165 days.

The overall results on storability of *M. anisopliae* at ambient and freeze temperature clearly indicate that at room temperature up to 120 days i.e., 4 months the viability of *M. anisopliae* is good but later on at 135 days after storage it drastically reduced and at 150 days i.e., 5 months after preparation of the finished product lost its viability. While in refrigerator storage it may last up to 165 days also.

Bell and Hamalle [9] reported that the fungi *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae* and *Spicaria rilevi* retained their pathogenicity to insects after 3 years of storage also. In present investigation the storability of the fungus *M. anisopliae* was up to 4 months at ambient temperature.

Muller-Kogler et al. [10] reported that storage at 18°C proved the most satisfactory for fungus imperfecti (including *M. anisopliae*, *B. bassiana* and *N. rileyi*) and some of the groups were still alive after a year. Sporulation and growth of subculturing after storage were comparable to those of the original cultures.

Muller-Kogler and Zimmermann [11] evaluated 12 isolates for each of the fungi *M. anisopliae*, *B. bassiana*, *E. brongniartii* and *Hirsutella spp.* were stored at 4°C and found that all the isolates survived for 3 years..

Alves et al. [12] reported that the time for which the fungus *M. anisopliae* would be stored was increased by up to 33 per cent at room temperature and up to 52 per cent in refrigerator (at 2-3°C), depending on formulation and formulation kept in the freezer were viable up to 660 days (70 % viability). In present investigation, when the samples were kept at room temperature, they remained viable up to 135 days only, while the sample kept in the refrigerator the viability of the fungus *M. anisopliae* remained up to 165 days, which are in confirmation with present findings.

The findings reported by the previous workers are in agreement with our study.

4. CONCLUSION

From the present investigation on storability studies of the fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae*, it is concluded that the storage ability of sample of *M. anisopliae* preparations kept in both room and freeze temperature starts to decline with respect to time. Storage ability of *M. anisopliae* sample kept in freeze temperature is found greater than that of *M. anisopliae* sample kept in room temperature. Storage ability of sample of the fungus *M. anisopliae* sample kept in freeze temperature was about 165 days from production of finished product, whereas storage ability of *M. anisopliae* sample kept in room temperature was 120 days only.

CONFERENCE DISCLAIMER

Some part of this manuscript was previously presented in the conference: 3rd International Conference IAAHAS-2023 "Innovative Approaches in Agriculture, Horticulture & Allied Sciences" on March 29-31, 2023 in SGT University, Gurugram, India. Web Link of the proceeding: <https://wikifarmer.com/event/iaahas->

2023-innovative-approaches-in-agriculture-
horticulture-allied-sciences/

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to thank the Agricultural Entomology Section, College of Agriculture, Dhule, for their great suggestions, continuous assistance, and moral support during the project.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Tulloch M. The genus *Metarhizium anisopliae*. Trans. of the Brit. Mycol. Soc. 1976;66:407-411.
2. Bischoff JF, Rehner SA, Humber RA. A multilocus phylogeny of *Metarhizium anisopliae* lineage. Mycologia. 2009;101: 512-530.
3. Sahayaraj K, Borgio JF. Tri-tropic interaction of cotton, red cotton bug and green muscardine fungi under in-vitro condition. J. of Biopesti. 2008;1(1):41-46.
4. Ferron P. Biological control of insect pests by entomogenous fungi. Annual Review Entomology. 1978;23:409-442.
5. Villani MG, Krueger SR, Nyrop JP. A case study of the impact of the soil environment on insect/pathogen interactions: Scarabs in turfgrass. In: use of pathogens in Scarab pest management. (Eds.): T.R. Glare and T.A. Jackson. Intercept, Hampshire. 1992;111-126.
6. Anderson TE, Roberts DW. Compatibility of *Beauveria bassiana* isolate with insecticide formulations used in Colorado potato beetle (*Coleoptera: Crysolmelidae*) control. J. of Economic Entomol. 1983;76: 1437–1441.
7. Loria R, Galaini S, Roberts DW. Survival of inoculum of the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* influenced by fungicides. Environ. Entomol. 1983;12.
8. Alves SB, Lecuona RE. *Epizootiologia aplicada* controle microbiano Applied epizootiology microbial control. In: Alves, S.B., ed. Controle microbiano de insetos Microbial control of insects. Fealq, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil. 1998;97–157.
9. Bell JV, Hamalle RJ. Viability and pathogenicity of entomogenous fungi after prolonged storage on silica gel at –20°C. Can. J. of Microbiol. 1974;20(5): 639-642.
10. Müller-Kögler E, Zimmermann G, Mortin PA. On the storage of cultures of entomopathogenic fungi. Entomophaga. 1980;25:3:301-311, 2 ref.
11. Müller-Kögler E, Zimmermann G. Zur aufbewahrung entomogener pilzkulturen. Entomophaga. 1980;25(3):301-311.
12. Alves RT, Prior C, Leather SR. Evasion of host defence by *in vivo*-produced protoplast like cell of the insect mycopathogen *Beauveria bassiana*. J. Biocontrol. 1987;175(18):5962-5969.

© 2023 Parjane et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99786>