



Response of Sulphur and Zinc on Yield and Economics of Pearl Millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.)

K. Bhargavi^{a++*}, Rajesh Singh^{a#} and Thakur Indu^{a†}

^a Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj- 211007, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i183367

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99742>

Original Research Article

Received: 23/05/2023

Accepted: 25/07/2023

Published: 26/07/2023

ABSTRACT

The present study aimed to highlight the response of Sulphur and Zinc on Yield and Economics of Pearl Millet. (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). Pearl millet and lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus) are very important crops in the Agra region of Uttar Pradesh for their contribution to human and animal nutrition as components of cropping system and as restorers of soil fertility. A field experiment was conducted during *Zaid* season of 2022 at the Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.) India. The treatments consist of Sulphur 20,30,40 kg/ha and zinc 5, 10, 15 kg/ha. The soil of experimental plot was sandy loamy in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.8), low in organic carbon (0.35%) available N (163.42 kg/ha), available P (21.96 kg/ha) and available K (256.48 kg/ha). Results revealed, that the higher grain yield (40.95 q/ha) and higher stover yield (40.95 q/ha) were significantly influenced with application of Sulphur 40 kg/ha +Zinc 15 kg/ha.

⁺⁺ M. Sc Scholar;

[#] Associate Professor;

[†] Ph.D Scholar;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: bhargavikanaparthi06@gmail.com;

Higher gross returns (INR 92145.00/ha), higher net returns (INR 62365.00/ha) and higher B:C ratio (2.09) were also recorded in treatment-9 (Sulphur 40 kg/ha +Zinc 15 kg/ha). The findings are based on one season therefore; further trials may be required for further confirmation.

Keywords: Pearl millet; zaid; sulphur; zinc; yield attributes; economics.

1. INTRODUCTION

A staple crop of the dry, arid, and semiarid regions is pearl millet. In addition to being a basic diet, it has importance as dry fodder in agricultural method centred on animals. The category of nutrient-dense grains includes sorghum, pear millet, finger millet, and maize. These wholesome cereals are grown in tough conditions with low soil and water quality. Their production in drought-prone areas is effectively ensuring food and fodder security through risk management on a sustainable basis because of their extreme drought tolerance. This category of cereals is comparable to high-quality cereals like rice in terms of nutrients and, in some ways, even outperforms them. The protein composition of pearl millet grain is equivalent to that of wheat, and it includes between 63 and 71 percent starch [1].

Pearl millet is also known as *Bajri* or *Bajra* in India. Pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.), often known as "bullrush millet," evolved in tropical western Africa, where both wild ancestors and cultivated varieties are found in abundance. It is a member of the Gramineae (Poaceae) family. The cultivated species are *Pennisetum glaucum* L. (2n=14) used for grain and fodder and *Pennisetum purpureum* (2n=28) used for green and dry fodder [2]. India's food grain demand would rise to almost 291 million tons by 2025 and 377 million tons by 2050 [3]. Given the restricted capacity for increasing net cultivated land (142 million ha), enhanced per unit area productivity could result in an overall rise in food grain production [4]. Pearl millet is a high nutrient feeder that causes a large withdrawal of plant nutrients from the soil. This depletion will reduce agricultural yield.

Among various nutrients, Sulphur is crucial for the synthesis of amino acids that include sulphur, which serve as building blocks. Protein synthesis building components. It has a part to play in boosting the production of chlorophyll and promoting photosynthesis [5,6]. In light of the aforementioned fact, the current study was carried out to ascertain the impact of sulphur and phosphorus levels on pearl millet production and nutritional characteristics [7,8].

Zinc, is an essential micronutrient, it is crucial for the growth and development of this crop. In addition to controlling the plant growth hormone indole acetic acid, zinc plays a critical function in the metabolism of proteins and carbohydrates (IAA). It is a crucial part of dehydrogenase and proteinase and encourages the development of starch, seed maturity, and production. Vinay singh and mamta pandey [9]. Zinc deficiency is a major problem in cereals, particularly in coarse treatment, semi-arid soils. Balanced fertilization is essential for increasing productivity and nutrient efficiency [10]. Keeping these points in view, the present investigation entitled "Effect of Sulphur and Zinc on Yield and Economics of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.)" was conducted during *Zaid-2022*, at crop research farm, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during *Rabi* season of 2021-22 at the Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P.) India. The soil of experimental plot was sandy loamy in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.8), low in organic carbon (0.35%), The treatments consist of Sulphur 20 kg/ha + zinc 5 kg/ha, Sulphur 20 kg/ha + zinc 10 kg/ha, Sulphur 20 kg/ha + zinc 15 kg/ha, Sulphur 30 kg/ha + zinc 5 kg/ha, Sulphur 30 kg/ha + zinc 10 kg/ha, Sulphur 30 kg/ha + zinc 15 kg/ha, Sulphur 40 kg/ha + zinc 5 kg/ha, Sulphur 40 kg/ha + zinc 10 kg/ha, Sulphur 40 kg/ha + zinc 15 kg/ha. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design, with 9 treatments replicated thrice. The observations were recorded for Ear head length (cm), Grains/ear head (g), Test weight (g), Grain yield (kg/ha) and Stover yield(kg/ha). The data were subjected to statistical analysis by analysis of variance method [11].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Yield Attributes

Ear head length (cm): The significantly maximum ear head length (25.2 cm) [Table-2] was observed in treatment-9 with (Sulphur 40

kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha), which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. However, treatment-8 (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + Zinc 10 kg/ha), was found to be statistically at par with treatment-9 (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha). The significantly maximum ear head length was observed with the application of zinc 15 kg/ha. Zn are involved in cell division, enzyme activation and with their increased supply, their availability, acquisition, mobilization and influx into the plant tissue increased and thus improved growth attributes and yield components. Similar findings have been reported by Vinay Singh and Mamta Pandey [9]. Furthermore, the use of Sulphur in that application increases plant metabolic activity, which may contribute to an increase in photosynthesis. The similar results were observed by Lunde et al., [12].

No. of Grains/ear head: The significantly higher Grains/ear head (2006.0) [Table-2] was observed in treatment-9 with (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha), which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. However, treatment-8 (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + Zinc 10 kg/ha), was found to be statistically at par with treatment-9 (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha). The significantly higher Grains/ear head was observed might be due to Sulphur application. Since Sulphur is an amino acid (Cystine), it aids in the production of chlorophyll, photosynthetic processes, enzyme activation, and seed formation. Similar results were observed by Degra et al. [13].

Test Weight (g): The significantly higher Test weight (8.57 gm) [Table-2] was observed in treatment-9 with (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha), which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. However, treatment-8 (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + Zinc 10 kg/ha), was found to be statistically at par with treatment-9 (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha). The significantly higher test weight was recorded with the application of Zinc (15kg/ha), might be due to the application of zinc might have increased the photosynthetic efficiency due to improved enzymatic activity and thus might have increased thousand grains weight. similar results are in conformity with the findings of Arshad et al. [14].

Grain yield(q/ha): The significantly higher Grain yield (40.95 q/ha) [Table-2] was observed in treatment-9 with (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha), which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. However, treatment-8 (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + Zinc 10 kg/ha), was found to be statistically at par with treatment-9 (Sulphur 40

kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha). The significantly higher Grain yield was observed with increasing the Sulphur application level. Furthermore, it serves crucial purposes in pearl millet. It is best known for its part in pearl millet's synthesis of proteins, oils, and vitamins. According to Chaudhary et al. [15], sulphur is connected to crop production for higher nutritional and market-quality products. Furthermore, the application of Zinc (15 kg/ha) may be due to increased photosynthesis efficiency, increased nutrient availability due to increased organic matter decomposition rate, or improved individual plant performance as possible reasons for higher grain yield in zinc applied plots compared to other plots. These results are in conformity with the findings of Arshad et al. [14], Norwood (1992) and Jan et al. (2013).

Stover yield(q/ha): The significantly higher stover yield (65.33 q/ha) [Table-2] was observed in treatment-9 with (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha), which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. However, treatment-8 (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + Zinc 10 kg/ha), was found to be statistically at par with treatment-9 (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + Zinc 15 kg/ha). The significantly higher stover yield was observed by the application of Sulphur 40 kg/ha. Sulphur is critical in providing vegetative structure for nutrient absorption, boosting sink strength through the establishment of reproductive structures, and filling economically important sinks [15]. Further increase of stover yield may be due to application of zinc 15 kg/ha. Zinc is essential for the growth and development of tryptophane, an amino acid required for plant growth and development. Similar results were conformity with Reddy et al. [4].

3.2 Economic Analysis

Gross Returns: Observations regarding the economics of treatments are given in Table 2. Highest gross return (92145.00 INR/ha) was obtained in treatment-9 (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + zinc 15 kg/ha) as compared to other treatments.

Net Returns: Net return (62365.00 INR /ha) was found to be highest in treatment-9 (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + zinc 15 kg/ha) as compared to other treatments.

Benefit Cost Ration: Benefit Cost ratio (2.09) was found to be highest in treatment-9 with (Sulphur 40 kg/ha + zinc 15 kg/ha) as compared to other treatments.

Table 1. Effect of Sulphur and zinc on yield attributes of pearl millet

S. No.	Treatment combinations	Ear head length (cm)	No. of Grains/ ear head	Test weight(g)	Grain yield(q/ha)	Stover yield(q/ha)	Harvest index (%)
1.	Sulphur 20 kg/ha +Zinc 5 kg/ha	19.8	1837.1	6.75	32.29	47.55	40.44
2.	Sulphur 20 kg/ha +Zinc 10 kg/ha	20.8	1876.0	6.81	33.94	48.20	41.32
3.	Sulphur 20 kg/ha +Zinc 15 kg/ha	21.5	1902.4	7.19	34.61	49.11	41.34
4.	Sulphur 30 kg/ha +Zinc 5 kg/ha	21.3	1911.1	7.45	35.24	50.99	40.87
5.	Sulphur 30 kg/ha +Zinc 10 kg/ha	22.4	1929.6	7.81	36.16	54.03	40.10
6.	Sulphur 30 kg/ha +Zinc 15 kg/ha	22.7	1938.4	7.99	37.03	58.36	38.83
7.	Sulphur 40 kg/ha +Zinc 5 kg/ha	23.5	1965.3	8.10	37.82	59.33	38.93
8.	Sulphur 40 kg/ha +Zinc 10 kg/ha	24.5	1981.1	8.31	39.03	63.32	38.14
9.	Sulphur 40 kg/ha +Zinc 15 kg/ha	25.2	2006.0	8.57	40.95	65.33	38.53
	F test	S	S	S	S	S	S
	SEm (\pm)	0.27	6.07	0.13	0.40	0.65	0.41
	CD (p=0.05)	0.81	18.19	0.40	1.21	1.94	1.23

Table 2. Economic analysis of different treatment combinations of pearl millet

S. No.	Treatment combinations	Cost of cultivation	Gross returns	Net returns	B:C ratio
1.	Sulphur 20 kg/ha +Zinc 5 kg/ha	27780	72645	44865	1.62
2.	Sulphur 20 kg/ha +Zinc 10 kg/ha	28280	76365	48085	1.70
3.	Sulphur 20 kg/ha +Zinc 15 kg/ha	28780	77873	49093	1.71
4.	Sulphur 30 kg/ha +Zinc 5 kg/ha	28280	79298	51018	1.80
5.	Sulphur 30 kg/ha +Zinc 10 kg/ha	28780	81353	52573	1.83
6.	Sulphur 30 kg/ha +Zinc 15 kg/ha	29280	83325	54045	1.85
7.	Sulphur 40 kg/ha +Zinc 5 kg/ha	28780	85103	56323	1.96
8.	Sulphur 40 kg/ha +Zinc 10 kg/ha	29280	87825	58545	2.00
9.	Sulphur 40 kg/ha +Zinc 15 kg/ha	29780	92145	62365	2.09

4. CONCLUSION

The study concluded that with the application of Sulphur 40 kg/ha along with the zinc 15 kg/ha (Treatment-9), has performs positively and improves growth and yield parameters. Maximum ear head length, higher number of grains/ear head, test weight, grain yield and stover yield were also recorded and proven economically viable with application of Sulphur 40 kg/ha along with the zinc 15 kg/ha (Treatment-9). Given that these results are based on a single season, further investigations may be necessary for further validation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Abdalla AA, Eltinay AH, Mohamed BE, Abdalla AH. Proximate composition, starch, phytate and mineral content of ten pearl millet genotypes. *Food Chemistry*. 1998;63(2):243-246.
2. Anonymous. Area and production of pearl millet in India. *Agricultural Statistics, Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Govt. of India*; 2011.
3. Amarasinghe UA, Shah T, Singh OP. Changing consumption patterns: Implications on food and water demand in India, *International Water Management Institute, Colombo, Sri Lanka. IWMI Research Report*. 2010;119:43.
4. Reddy VSN, Rajesh Singh and Chandu Lakshmi Deepika. Effect of phosphorus and zinc on growth and yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2022; 11(4):542-545.
5. Arya V, Tomar PS, Singh M, Trivedi SK, Verma SK. Impact of integrated nutrient management on production and economics under pearl millet-mustard cropping sequence in Inceptisol. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2022;11:3313-9.
6. Rathore SS, Babu S, Singh VK, Shekhawat K, Singh RK, Upadhyay PK, Hashim M, Sharma KC, Jangir R, Singh R. Sulfur Sources Mediated the Growth, Productivity, and Nutrient Acquisition Ability of Pearl millet–Mustard Cropping Systems. *Sustainability*. 2022;14(22):14857.
7. Pandey, Abidi AB, Singh RP. Effect of sulphur and phosphorus on yield and quality of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*). *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*. 2018;20(3):272–275.
8. Debbarma V, Banti. Influence of potassium and zinc on growth, yield and economics of cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.). *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. 2023;35(9):77-84.
9. Vinay Singh and Mamta Pandey. Direct effect of sulphur and zinc on productivity, quality and nutrient uptake by pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) and their residual effect on succeeding wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in pearl millet – wheat crop sequence. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*. 2018;20(3):233–238.
10. Vinay Singh, Harvendra Singh, Seema, Javed Ali, Jagpal Singh. Balanced use of nutrients for sustaining higher production of pearl millet in alluvial soil. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*. 2015;17(4):346-349.
11. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. *Statistical procedures for agricultural research with emphasis on rice*. Statistical procedures for agricultural research with emphasis on rice; 1976.
12. Lunde C, Zygadlo A, Simonsen HT, Nielsen PL, Blennow A, Haldrup A. Sulphur starvation in rice: the effect on photosynthesis, carbohydrate metabolism, and oxidative stress protective pathways. *Physiologia Plantarum*. 2008;134:508-521.
13. Degra ML, Pareek BL, Shivran RK. Effect of sulphur and integrated weed management on productivity and quality of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) and succeeding fodder pearl millet. *Res. on Crops*. 2008;9(3):573-577.
14. Arshad, Muhammad, Adnan, Muhammad, Ahmed, Sher, Khan, Abdul, Ali, Irshad, Ali, Muhammad, Ali, Azaz, Khan, Azam, Kamal, Muhammad, Gul, Farhana, Khan, Muhammad. Integrated Effect of Phosphorus and Zinc on Wheat Crop. *American- Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci*. 2016;16:455-459.

15. Chaudhary NN, Khaif HR, Raj AD, Yadav V, Yadav P. Effect of nutrients (K and S) on growth, yield and economics of pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.)]. International Journal of Forestry and Crop Improvements. 2014;5(1):9-12.

© 2023 Bhargavi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99742>