



Effect of Biofertilizers on Growth and Yield of Field Pea (*Pisum sativum*) Varieties

K. Lalmawiberi ^{a++*} and Biswarup Mehera ^{a#}

^a Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i183377

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103767>

Original Research Article

Received: 23/05/2023

Accepted: 24/07/2023

Published: 28/07/2023

ABSTRACT

The field experiment was conducted during *rabi* season of 2022 on Field Pea crop at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj, (U.P.), India. The soil of the experimental plot was Sandy loam in texture, with a pH 7.2, that was neutral with EC- 0.26 (dS/m), organic carbon (0.72%), available N (178.48kg/ha), available P (27.80kg/ha) and available K (233.24kg/ha). The treatments consisted of 3 varieties (Rachana, Aparna and Pant C-5) and Biofertilizers (*Rhizobium sp.* and PSB) and a control. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 10 treatments and replicated thrice. Application of Rachana + PSB + *Rhizobium sp.* produced higher plant height (98.89 cm), No. of pods/plant (24.04), No. of seeds/pod (5.39), seed yield (1.73 kg/ha) and stover yield (3.80 kg/ha).

Keywords: Biofertilizers; field pea; PSB; *Rhizobium*; growth; yield attribute; *Rabi*.

⁺⁺ M.Sc. Scholar;

[#] Associate Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: lalayeekhawlhing@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

Pea (*Pisum sativum*) is an important vegetable crop grown throughout the world. In India, it is grown mainly as a winter vegetable in the plains of North India and as a summer vegetable in the hills. It belongs to the family Fabaceae. Pulses are wonderful gift of nature as they, in combination with cereals, constitute a balanced diet for a predominantly large number of vegetarian Indians. Field pea (*Pisum Sativum*) is an important popular pulse crop of India. Among pulses, pea is one of the important pulses having high nutritional quality. Field pea is a cool season legume crop belongs to the family fabaceae (Leguminosae). Peas are native to the Middle East Region, and have been cultivated in Europe several thousands of years. Field pea is an annual herbaceous plant and the stem grows to a length of 2 to 4 ft. A leaf consists of one to three pairs of leaflets with a terminal, branched tendril. Leaves are pale green with a whitish bloom on the surface. At maturity, the plant is a prostrate vine.

“India is the second largest producer of pea in the world after Russia. Field occupies an area of 73 lakh hectares with production of 62 lakh tonnes and productivity of 849 kg/ha in India” (FAOSTAT, 2013) [1]. “Pea is rich in protein, carbohydrates, vitamin A and C, calcium and phosphorus. Phosphorus is known to play an important role in growth and development of the crop and has direct relation with root proliferation, straw strength, grain formation, crop maturation and crop quality. Enhancing P availability to crop through phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) holds promise in the present scenario of escalating prices of phosphatic fertilizers in the country and a general deficiency of P in Indian soils. It is well established that *Rhizobium* and PSB have capacity in augmenting the productivity of pulses through N and P needs considerably” [2]. Stagnant growth in pulses production as against population growth rate of 1.44% has led to progressive decline in per capita availability of pulses in 2010 [3].

“Biofertilizers are the source of microbial inoculation, which have brought hopes for many countries both economically and environmentally. Therefore, in developing countries like India, biofertilizers can solve problems of high cost of fertilizers and thus can save the economy of the country” [4]. “Application of organic manures and biofertilizers is frequently recommended firstly for

improving biological, physical and chemical properties of soil and secondary to get high and clean agricultural yield products free from undesirable high doses of heavy metals and other pollutants” [4].

“The *Rhizobium* as fertilizer in pulses could fix 50-200 kg of N ha/season and is able to meet 80- 90 % of the crop requirement for nitrogen. Inoculation in these crops was found to increase the yield by about 10-15% under on farm conditions” [5].

“Several Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (PSB) have the consistent capacity to increase the availability of phosphate to plant, not only by mineralizing organic phosphorus compound, but also by rendering inorganic phosphorus compound, more available to plant which increase overall plant growth resulting in 10 to 15 per cent increase in yield” [6]. “Several bacteria, particularly, those belonging to genera *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* possess the ability to bring insoluble phosphate in soil into soluble form by secreting organic acids which lower the pH and bring about dissolution of bound phosphate” [7]. “Adesemoye and Kloepper reported that biofertilizers can be used in conjunction with chemical fertilizers as an economic input to increase crop productivity” [8].

“The seed inoculation with *Rhizobium* increases nodulation, influences seed yield and economies the input cost of fertilizers to some extent and protects against chances of soil deterioration and environmental pollution caused by heavy use of chemical fertilizers. The efficient strains of *Rhizobium* can fix about 90 kg of nitrogen per hectare in one season and enrich soil nitrogen. Introduction of efficient strains of *Rhizobium* in soils with low nitrogen may help augment nitrogen fixation and thereby boost production of crops. *Rhizobium* inoculation increased the root nodulation through better root development and more nutrient availability, resulting in vigorous plant growth and dry matter production which resulted in better flowering, fruiting and pod formation and ultimately there was beneficial effect on seed yield” [9].

“PSB in agricultural practice would not only offset the high cost of manufacturing phosphate fertilizers but would also mobilize insoluble in the fertilizers and soils to which they are applied. Among the whole microbial population in soil, phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) constitute 1 to 50 per cent, while phosphorus solubilizing

fungi (PSF) are only 0.1 to 0.5 per cent in P solubilization potential. Positive effects of phosphorein (PSB or PDB) and chemical phosphorus fertilizer on growth, yield, seed yield and quality were found on fiber bean" [9].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out during *Rabi* season of 2022 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P) which is located at 25.39°42' N latitude, 81.50°56' E longitude, and 98m altitude above the mean sea level. This area is situated on the right side of the river Yamuna and by the opposite side of Prayagraj City. All the facilities required for crop cultivation were available. The soil of the experimental field constituting a part of central Gangetic alluvial is neutral and deep. The soil was sandy loam in texture, low in organic carbon and medium in available nitrogen, phosphorus, and low in potassium. Nutrient sources were urea, and Muriate of Potash to fulfil the requirement of Nitrogen and Potassium. The phosphorus was applied in 40, 50, and 60 kg/ha through Single Super Phosphate nutrient source. The crop was sown on 5th November 2022. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with ten treatments each replicated thrice viz., T1- Rachana + PSB, T2- Rachana + *Rhizobium sp.*, T3- Rachana + PSB + *Rhizobium sp.*, T4- Aparna + PSB, T5- Aparna + *Rhizobium sp.*, T6- Aparna + PSB + *Rhizobium sp.*, T7- Pant C-5 + PSB, T8- Pant C-5 + *Rhizobium sp.*, T9- Pant C-5 + PSB + *Rhizobium sp.*, T10- Control (40-40-50 NPK kg/ha). "Biofertilizers PSB at 20g/kg seed, *Rhizobium sp.* 20g/kg seed and PSB + *Rhizobium sp.* at 10:10g/kg seed was applied as soil application along with blanket application of fertilizers before sowing. The growth parameters reading such as plant height

(cm), plant dry weight (g) and also, yield parameters such as number of pods/plant, number of seeds/pod, seed yield (kg/ha), and stover yield (kg/ha). The growth parameters were recorded at an intervals of 20, 40, 60, 80, 100 DAS and at harvest stage, from the randomly selected five plants in each treatment. Statistically analysis was done using all the parameters in one way anova and the means were compared at 0.05 probability level of significant results" [10].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Influence of Biofertilizers on Growth Attributes of Field Pea Varieties

3.1.1 Plant height (cm)

Significantly highest plant height (98.89cm) was observed in treatment 3 (Rachana + PSB + *Rhizobium sp.*). However, treatment 2 (Rachana + *Rhizobium sp.*) was statistically at par with treatment 3. The application of *Rhizobium sp.* + PSB resulted in significantly superior plant height to the rest of the treatments. The increase in plant height may be owing to the improvement in vigour of plants possibly by balanced supply and higher uptake of nitrogen and phosphorus [11].

3.1.2 Dry weight (g/plant)

Significantly maximum dry weight (31.0g) was observed in treatment 3 (Rachana + PSB + *Rhizobium sp.*) However, treatment 2 (Rachana + *Rhizobium sp.*) was statistically at par with treatment 3. The seed inoculation with PSB + *Rhizobium sp.* improved the dry matter accumulation as compared to uninoculated treatment [12].

Table 1. Influence of biofertilizers on growth attributes of field pea

S.No.	Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Dry weight (g/plant)
1.	Rachana + PSB	95.25	30.1
2.	Rachana + <i>Rhizobium sp.</i>	97.63	30.6
3.	Rachana + PSB + <i>Rhizobium sp.</i>	98.89	31.0
4.	Aparna + PSB	97.98	23.5
5.	Aparna + <i>Rhizobium sp.</i>	79.91	23.5
6.	Aparna + PSB + <i>Rhizobium sp.</i>	79.69	23.8
7.	Pant C-5 + PSB	79.15	22.7
8.	Pant C-5 + <i>Rhizobium sp.</i>	77.21	22.8
9.	Pant C-5 + PSB + <i>Rhizobium sp.</i>	77.21	22.9
10.	Control (40-40-50 NPK kg/ha)	76.77	22.6
	F test	S	S
	SEm(±)	0.51	0.25
	CD (p=0.05)	1.52	0.76

Table 2. Influence of biofertilizers on yield attributes and yield of field pea varieties

S.No.	Treatments	No. of pods/plant	No. of seeds/pod	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Stover yield (kg/ha)
1.	Rachana + PSB	22.33	4.79	1380	3490
2.	Rachana + <i>Rhizobium sp.</i>	23.29	5.19	1590	3690
3.	Rachana + PSB + <i>Rhizobium sp.</i>	24.04	5.39	1730	3800
4.	Aparna + PSB	17.33	4.66	1040	3670
5.	Aparna + <i>Rhizobium sp.</i>	17.96	4.74	1110	3470
6.	Aparna + PSB + <i>Rhizobium sp.</i>	17.92	4.92	1130	3370
7.	Pant C-5 + PSB	18.06	4.71	1080	3340
8.	Pant C-5 + <i>Rhizobium sp.</i>	17.42	4.82	1080	3260
9.	Pant C-5 + PSB + <i>Rhizobium sp.</i>	17.44	4.70	1040	3150
10.	Control (40-40-50 NPK kg/ha)	17.30	4.63	1020	3140
	F test	S	S	S	S
	SEm(±)	1.18	0.19	0.05	0.07
	CD (p=0.05)	3.50	0.56	0.14	0.20

3.2 Influence of Biofertilizers on Yield Attributes and Yield of Field Pea Varieties

3.2.1 Number of pods/plant

Significantly highest number of pods/plant (24.04) was observed in treatment 3 (Rachana + PSB + *Rhizobium sp.*). However, treatment 2 (Rachana + *Rhizobium sp.*) was statistically at par with treatment 3. Different levels of biofertilizers executed their significant influence on number of pods/plant at harvest. Inoculation with *Rhizobium sp.* + PSB recorded significantly the highest number of pods/plant [13].

3.2.2 Number of seeds/pod

Significantly highest number of seeds/pod (5.39) was observed in treatment 3 (Rachana + PSB + *Rhizobium sp.*). However, treatment 2 (Rachana + *Rhizobium sp.*) was statistically at par with treatment 3. Different levels of biofertilizers executed their significant influence on number of seeds per pod at harvest. Inoculation with *Rhizobium sp.* + PSB recorded significantly the highest number of seed pods [13].

3.2.3 Seed yield (kg/ha)

Significantly highest seed yield (1730kg/ha) was observed in treatment 3 (Rachana + PSB + *Rhizobium sp.*) However, treatment 2 (Rachana + *Rhizobium sp.*) was statistically at par with treatment 3. "Seed inoculation treatments, dual inoculation of *Rhizobium* + PSB produced significantly higher seed yield than inoculation of

Rhizobium and PSB alone, but significantly superior over control" [12].

3.2.4 Stover yield (kg/ha)

Significantly highest stover yield (3800kg/ha) was observed in treatment 3 (Rachana + PSB + *Rhizobium sp.*). However, treatment 2 (Rachana + *Rhizobium sp.*) was statistically at par with treatment 3. Different levels of biofertilizers did cause their significant effect on stover yield. Treatment with *Rhizobium sp.* + PSB gave significantly the maximum stover yield [14].

4. CONCLUSION

It is concluded that application of Rachana + PSB + *Rhizobium sp.* recorded highest growth attributes and seed yield in field pea crop.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my gratitude to Dr. Biswarup Mehera and all the faculty members of Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj, U.P., India for providing necessary facilities to undertake the studies.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. FAOSTAT; 2013. Available: <http://www.stat.fao.org/index.htm>

2. Singh B, Pareek RG. Studies on phosphorus and bioinoculants on biological nitrogen fixation, concentration, uptake, quality and productivity of mung bean. *Annals of Agriculture Research*. 2003;24(3):537-541.
3. Nadarajan N. Vision 2030, Indian Institute of Pulses Research (ICAR), Kanpur. 2011;vi-vii.
4. Gupta RK, Kaushik S, Sharma P, Jain VK. Bio-fertilizers: An eco-friendly alternative to chemical fertilizers. *Environmental challenges of 21st century*, APH publish corporation, New Delhi. 2003;275-287.
5. Khurana AL, Dudeja SS. Biological nitrogen fixation technology for pulses production in India. *Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur, India*; 1997.
6. Arora D, Gaur AC. Microbial solubilizing of inorganic phosphate. *Indian J. Expt Biol*. 1979;17(11):1258-1261.
7. Gaur AC, Ostwal KP. Influence of sulphate dissolving bacilli on yield phosphate uptake of wheat crop. *Indian J. Expt Biol*. 1972;10(5):393-394.
8. Adesemoye AO, Kloepper JW. Plant microbes interactions in enhanced fertilizer- use efficiency. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol*. 2009;85:1-12.
9. Sundara B, Natarajan V, Hari K. Influence of phosphorus solubilizing bacteria on the changes in soil available phosphorus and sugarcane and sugar yields. *Field Crop Res*. 2002;77:43-49.
10. Effect of Phosphorus and Biofertilizers on Growth and Yield of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Lalrinzualiet al.; *Int.J. Plant Soil Sci*. 2023;35(17):273-279, Article no.IJPSS.102470.
11. Chauhan SVS, Bhoopendra Singh Raghav. Effect of phosphorus and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on growth, yield and quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*. 2017;19(3):303-306, 2005;139-146.
12. Bhat TA, Gupta M, Mahdi SS, Ganai MA, Bhat HA, Bhat JA, Dar MH. Growth, yield and economics of field pea (*Pisum sativum*) as Influenced by phosphorus and bio-fertilizers undersubtropical conditions of Jammu. *Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology*. 2013;7(1):645-652.
13. Dhorajiya Piyushkumar Pravinbhai. Effect of biofertilizers and organic manures on growth, yield and quality of field pea (*Pisum Sativum*); 2014.
14. Laharia GS, Varsha Apotikar, Age AB, Gite PA, Deshmukh DP. Effect of phosphorus levels with PSB on yield, nutrient use efficiency and uptake of nutrients by chickpea, *journal of pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2019;8(3):3182-3185.

© 2023 Lalmawiberi and Mehera; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103767>