



# Response of Direct Seeded Rice to Iron and Zinc Fertilization Strategies under Middle Gujarat Conditions

Abhishek<sup>a++\*</sup>, P. V. Mehta<sup>a#</sup>, A. P. Patel<sup>b#</sup>,  
A. S. Bhanvadia<sup>c‡</sup>, Suwa Lal Yadav<sup>a†</sup>,  
Dhirender Pratap Singh<sup>d†</sup>  
and Devilal Birla<sup>b†</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, BACA, Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388 110, Gujarat, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Agronomy, BACA, Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388110, Gujarat, India.

<sup>c</sup> Regional Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388 110, Gujarat, India.

<sup>d</sup> Department of Horticulture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat-388 110, India.

## Authors' contributions

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author Abhishek prepared the research paper and further authors modified the paper and author APP wrote the references. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i183378

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102235>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 01/05/2023**

**Accepted: 01/07/2023**

**Published: 28/07/2023**

<sup>++</sup> M.Sc. Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Assistant Professor;

<sup>‡</sup> Head and Research Scientist;

<sup>†</sup> Ph.D. Scholar;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [asharma45558@gmail.com](mailto:asharma45558@gmail.com);

## ABSTRACT

Direct seeded rice (DSR) cultivation has received considerable attention due to its economic and labor-saving benefits. However, inadequate nutrient availability, particularly micronutrients, remains a significant constraint in achieving optimal crop growth and yield in direct seeded rice system. This research paper presents a comprehensive analysis of the impact of iron and zinc fertilization on yield, productivity and nutritional quality of direct seeded rice. This experiment was conducted at Experimental Farm, Regional Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, during *khari* season of the year 2022 with twelve treatments of iron and zinc fertilization strategies viz. nutripriming, foliar application and soil drenching which were replicated thrice in randomized block design (RBD). In the treatments of nutripriming, seed were soaked in 0.5% solutions of iron sulphate and zinc sulphate individually and also in combination for 12 hrs. In other treatments, solutions of 0.5% concentration of iron sulphate and zinc sulphate were applied through foliar application at 30 and 50 DAS and soil drenching. Soil drenching was done by adding nutrient solutions (0.5% of iron sulphate and zinc sulphate each) directly on the rice sown lines of the plots. Findings demonstrate that targeted application of micronutrients (Fe and Zn) significantly enhanced the DSR performance in form of growth parameters, yield attributes, yield and nutrient content of grain and straw. Among all the treatments, (T<sub>5</sub>) nutripriming of direct seeded rice with 0.5% iron sulphate plus 0.5% zinc sulphate for 12 hours before sowing along with recommended dose of fertilizers has been found best in terms of plant height, panicles per m<sup>2</sup>, yield and Fe and Zn content in seed and straw of rice.

**Keywords:** Direct seeded rice; soil drenching; ferti-fortification; iron sulphate; zinc sulphate.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In recent time, the micronutrients deficiencies in cereal crops particularly in rice, have been evolved as a very serious issue which resulted in malnourishment and several other disorders predominantly in developing countries. Rice is the staple food crop for more than half of the world's population, unfortunately is a poor source of many essential micronutrients [1]. Thus, a rice-based diet is the primary causes of micronutrient malnutrition worldwide mainly in the developing world. Iron and zinc deficiencies are common in rice consuming areas. These micronutrients are required in small amounts but they affect directly or indirectly many vital processes in the plant such as photosynthesis, respiration, protein synthesis, reproduction phase which in combination affects the grain yield as well as straw yield [2]. In the puddled transplanted rice cultivation, a traditional method widely practiced in many rice-growing regions, involves the creation of an anaerobic environment through the continuous submergence of rice fields. While this technique has long been favored for its perceived benefits, recent research has shed light on several drawbacks and adverse effects associated with puddled transplanted rice cultivation like soil degradation, methane emissions, inefficient water use and excessive water consumption etc. Also, an alarming rate of ground water depletion and increasing labour

scarcity are major threats to future rice production in India. Management strategies that reduce the irrigation amount and labour requirement while maintaining or increasing yield are urgently needed. Direct seeded rice (DSR) is the alternative method to achieve these objectives [3]. The direct seeding offers certain advantages i.e., labour saving, faster and easier planting helps in timely sowing, less drudgery, early crop maturity by 7-10 days, less water requirements, high tolerance to water deficit, often higher yield, low production cost and more profit, better soil physical conditions for following crops and less methane emission [4]. But direct seeded rice crop suffers from micronutrients deficiencies particularly iron and zinc due to non-reductive conditions. Thus, direct seeded rice crop tends to develop micronutrients deficiency at the vegetative and reproductive stage of growth. The ferti-fortification of micronutrients is an effective way to overcome the nutrients deficiency and enhance yield and nutrient content of grain [5]. Concentration of iron and zinc increased in rice grain and straw due to the application of these nutrients combinedly [6,7]. Nutripriming, foliar application and soil drenching of micronutrients particularly iron and zinc are some of the effective methods for ferti-fortification. Keeping these facts in the view, the present investigation was undertaken as response of direct seeded rice under iron and zinc fertilization strategies.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during the *kharif* season of the year 2022 on plot No. E-15 at Experimental Farm, Regional Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat (situated at 22° 32' 18.672" N latitude, 72° 57' 57.708" E longitude with an average elevation of 39 m above mean sea level). The field experiment site had an even topography with moderate slope and good drainage. Soil was representative of the soils of the region, popularly known as "Goradu" which is sandy loam in texture derived from alluvial parent material. The soil had better physical conditions for optimum growth and development and also had good moisture retentive capacity (Table 1).

The research experiment was laid out in randomized block design with twelve treatments of iron and zinc application strategies which were replicated three times. Recommended doses of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (80:25:00 kg/ha) were applied through diammonium phosphate and urea as a basal and two split of nitrogen. The treatments were applied as seed priming, soil drenching and foliar spray at 30 and 50 days after sowing. Iron and zinc were applied through iron sulphate (heptahydrate) and zinc sulphate (heptahydrate) respectively, as per the treatments. All the standard recommended agronomic practices, except those in treatments, were followed to grow the rice crop. For priming, seeds were placed in distilled water (hydropriming), 0.5% Fe solution (Fe

nutripriming), 0.5% Zn solution (Zn nutripriming) and 0.5% Fe plus 0.5% Zn solution (combination) with a 1:5 (w/v) seed to solution ratio. After the removal of seeds from the priming solution, they were thoroughly washed by using distilled water and dried in shade before sowing. Soil drenching was done by adding diluted solution directly on the rice sown lines of plots.

The Mahi Sagar cultivar of rice was sown on 21<sup>st</sup> June, 2022 and harvested on 22<sup>nd</sup> October, 2022. From each treatment plot five random plants were selected for non-destructive observations. And at the time of harvest these plants were taken for further analysis. The grains after threshing were weighed and recorded as grain yield per net plot. Further, this net plot grain yield was converted to grain yield per hectare. The experimental data was statistically analyzed by applying "Analysis of Variance" technique for randomized block design. Standard error of mean (SEm±) and Critical difference (CD) at 5% significance level were worked out for each observation as per the method suggested by Steel and Torrie [8].

### 2.1 Growth and Yield Parameters

#### 2.1.1 Plant height

Plant height was recorded at 25, 55 DAS and at harvest from the base of plant to the tip of the newly opened leaf from five previously tagged plants and the mean plant height was expressed in centimeters as per plant basis.

**Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of experimental soil (0-15 cm)**

Particulars	Value	Method	References
Sand (%)	69.66	International Pipette Method	Piper, [24]
Silt (%)	22.14		
Clay (%)	6.20		
Bulk density (Mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1.32	Core Sampler	
pH (1:2.5; Soil: Water)	7.82	Potentiometric method	Jackson, [21]
Electrical Conductivity(dS/m) (1:2.5, Soil : Water)	0.11	Conductivity method	
Organic carbon (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.38	Wet oxidation method	Walkley and Black, [26]
Available N (kg/ha)	240	Alkaline KMnO <sub>4</sub> method	Subbiah and Asija, [25]
Available P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (kg/ha)	38	Spectrophotometric method	Olsen et al., [23]
Available K <sub>2</sub> O (kg/ha)	365	Flame Photometric method	Jackson, [21]
Available sulphur (mg/kg)	16.24	Turbidimetry Method	Williams and Steinberg, [27]
DTPA Extractable Fe (mg/kg)	7.20	0.005 M DTPA method (pH 7.3)	Lindsay and Norvell, [22]
DTPA Extractable Zn (mg/kg)	0.67		

**Table 2. Treatment details**

Tr. No.	Treatment details
T <sub>1</sub>	Control
T <sub>2</sub>	Hydropriming
T <sub>3</sub>	Nutripriming with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O
T <sub>4</sub>	Nutripriming with 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O
T <sub>5</sub>	Nutripriming with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O + 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O
T <sub>6</sub>	Foliar application with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O
T <sub>7</sub>	Foliar application with 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O
T <sub>8</sub>	Foliar application with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O + 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O
T <sub>9</sub>	Soil drenching with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O
T <sub>10</sub>	Soil drenching with 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O
T <sub>11</sub>	Soil drenching with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O + 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O
T <sub>12</sub>	Nutripriming (0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O + 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O) + Foliar application (0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O + 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O)

### 2.1.2 Number of tillers/m<sup>2</sup> and panicles/m<sup>2</sup>

Number of tillers and panicles were counted from the plants which were falling under the sides of iron square having one square meter area and their mean value was recorded on plant basis in plots at the time of harvest.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Growth Parameters

Direct seeded rice is a highly responsive crop to the micronutrient's application. So, iron and zinc fertilization considerably influenced the growth and yield parameters of direct seeded rice. It is clearly evident from the data (Table 3) that iron and zinc application increased plant height at all the growth stages of crop growth period. At 25 and 55 DAS significantly higher plant height (31.50 and 77.30 cm, respectively) was observed with T<sub>12</sub> which comprises nutripriming (0.5% FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O + 0.5% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O) and foliar application (0.5% FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O + 0.5% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O) than rest of the treatments. At 25 DAS the T<sub>12</sub> was being at par with T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>5</sub>. The treatment T<sub>12</sub> being on par with T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>11</sub> at 55 DAS in terms of plant height. And also, the lowest plant height was registered with control (T<sub>1</sub>). The increase in plant height might be attributed to external application of nutrients in adequate amount and balanced proportion which resulted in increase in uptake, better crop establishment with higher root growth. Moreover, Fe and Zn accelerate the enzymatic activity in the plants and also helps in increasing in internodal length. Also, the nutripriming of seeds trigger the germination and further physiological processes and consequently higher plant height. The results are in close vicinity with the findings

of Sudhakar et al. [9], Khan et al. [10], Humaira et al. [11] [12], [7].

### 3.2 Yield Attributes

In order to assess the yield and other ancillary observations, yield attributing characters like tillers/m<sup>2</sup> and panicles/m<sup>2</sup> were counted was influenced due to the application of iron and zinc fertilization. The data furnished in the Table 3 revealed that there was a significant difference in number of panicle/m<sup>2</sup> among the treatments. However, changes in tillers/m<sup>2</sup> could not reach to the level of significance. The results revealed that significantly higher number of panicles/m<sup>2</sup> (428) were observed with T<sub>12</sub> involving nutripriming (0.5% FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O + 0.5% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O) + foliar application (0.5% FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O + 0.5% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O) being at par with the treatments T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>. Further, the changes in the test weight were also recorded but could not reach to a level of significance. The highest thousand grain weight (19.19 g) was recorded with T<sub>12</sub>. As nitrogen is the leading element for the emergence of tillers in plants which was applied common in all the plots and the effect of Fe and Zn is not much observed so the number of tillers/m<sup>2</sup> could not reach to a level of significance. While, the solo or combined application of Zn and Fe whether by nutripriming or foliar spray favored the positive effect on number of panicles/m<sup>2</sup>. This might be due to the improved metabolic activity with the application of micronutrients that enhanced the floral primordia development in many tillers. The results were in accordance with the findings of Khanda and Dixit [13] Jena et al. [14] and Gill and Walia [3]. Who reported that micronutrients favour higher production and conversion of vegetative tillers into reproductive tillers (panicles) [28].

**Table 3. Growth, yield and yield attributes of direct seeded rice as influenced by iron and zinc application**

Tr. no	Treatment Details	Yield (kg/ha)		Tillers/m <sup>2</sup>	Panicles/m <sup>2</sup>	Test weight (g)	Periodical plant height (cm)		
		Grain Yield	Straw yield				25 DAS	55 DAS	At harvest
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	4088	5589	409	341	18.41	23.30	62.67	90.90
T <sub>2</sub>	Hydropriming	4269	5783	416	367	18.48	27.07	67.77	91.00
T <sub>3</sub>	Nutripriming with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	4652	6182	428	381	19.02	29.37	74.70	91.83
T <sub>4</sub>	Nutripriming with 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	4629	6154	425	385	18.92	27.87	73.30	90.13
T <sub>5</sub>	Nutripriming with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O + 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	4928	6667	437	415	19.10	30.13	77.07	93.83
T <sub>6</sub>	Foliar application with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	4628	6164	419	378	18.83	26.43	69.03	91.07
T <sub>7</sub>	Foliar application with 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	4621	6176	416	376	18.60	25.83	67.83	90.83
T <sub>8</sub>	Foliar application with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O + 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	4815	6592	427	399	19.05	27.07	73.97	92.07
T <sub>9</sub>	Soil Drenching with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	4591	6136	414	375	18.81	25.30	67.87	91.00
T <sub>10</sub>	Soil Drenching with 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	4569	6161	412	370	18.78	24.47	66.20	91.10
T <sub>11</sub>	Soil Drenching with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O + 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	4624	6184	418	386	18.89	26.47	68.80	91.77
T <sub>12</sub>	Nutripriming (0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O + 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O) + Foliar application (0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O + 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O)	5163	6868	442	428	19.19	31.50	77.30	94.50
S.Em. <sub>±</sub>		171	227	20.34	14.15	1.07	1.38	2.98	5.12
C.D. (P = 0.05)		504	667	NS	41.61	NS	4.04	8.75	NS

**Table 4. Effect of micronutrient application on nutrients content of direct seeded rice**

Tr. no	Treatment Details	N content (%)		P content (%)		K content (%)		S content (%)		Fe content (mg/kg)		Zn content (mg/kg)	
		Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	1.35	0.69	0.21	0.18	0.78	1.21	0.013	0.029	171	362	23	27
T <sub>2</sub>	Hydropriming	1.49	0.77	0.22	0.19	0.81	1.23	0.015	0.030	178	373	24	28
T <sub>3</sub>	Nutripriming with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	1.74	0.89	0.26	0.22	0.85	1.30	0.024	0.036	204	421	28	32
T <sub>4</sub>	Nutripriming with 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	1.69	0.86	0.25	0.21	0.83	1.29	0.023	0.037	184	396	32	35
T <sub>5</sub>	Nutripriming with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O + 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	1.74	0.94	0.26	0.23	0.90	1.33	0.027	0.040	216	430	35	38
T <sub>6</sub>	Foliar application with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	1.69	0.85	0.25	0.22	0.80	1.27	0.019	0.034	198	413	26	31
T <sub>7</sub>	Foliar application with 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	1.65	0.84	0.24	0.21	0.82	1.26	0.020	0.034	186	393	31	33
T <sub>8</sub>	Foliar application with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O + 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	1.71	0.88	0.26	0.23	0.88	1.31	0.023	0.037	207	422	34	35
T <sub>9</sub>	Soil Drenching with 0.5 FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	1.61	0.84	0.24	0.21	0.82	1.27	0.017	0.032	195	398	25	29
T <sub>10</sub>	Soil Drenching with 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	1.58	0.85	0.24	0.20	0.82	1.25	0.017	0.033	183	388	29	30
T <sub>11</sub>	Soil Drenching with 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O + 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	1.68	0.86	0.25	0.22	0.83	1.27	0.020	0.035	198	409	30	32
T <sub>12</sub>	Nutripriming (0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O + 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O) + Foliar application (0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O + 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O)	1.82	0.99	0.27	0.24	0.92	1.34	0.029	0.043	215	442	39	40
S.Em $\pm$		0.08	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.06	0.001	0.002	9.2	15.3	1.60	1.70
C.D. (P = 0.05)		0.23	0.12	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.004	0.005	27.0	44.9	4.80	4.90

### 3.3 Grain and Straw Yield

Results revealed that iron and zinc fertilization strategies significantly increased grain and straw yield under direct seeded rice. Overall, the response was more evident in the treatment receiving both the strategies viz. nutripriming plus foliar spray of nutrients. Significantly highest grain yield (5163 kg/ha) was obtained in case of T<sub>12</sub> which was at par with treatments T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>. The lowest grain and straw yield were attributed in control (T<sub>1</sub>). The increase in yield due to T<sub>12</sub> was 26 per cent over control (Table 3). This trend could be attributed to the yield parameters due to priming of seed which trigger the germination and helped in better nutrient uptake from soil which led to the higher photosynthates production and resulted higher grain yield. Earlier studies from [15] and [16] reported similar results.

Similarly, the highest straw yield (6868 kg/ha) was also obtained in application of T<sub>12</sub> and increase in straw yield was to the tune of about 22 per cent over control (Table 3). The lowest straw yield was obtained under control (T<sub>1</sub>). The higher improvement in straw yield could be attributed to the yield parameters due to priming of seed which trigger the germination and helped in better nutrient uptake from soil which led to the higher vegetative growth and resulted in higher dry weight production. Moreover, external fertilization of micronutrients in DSR has shown better response due to availability of nutrients at most critical stages for nutrient requirements. So, combined application of Fe and Zn could only avert the possible deficiencies of these two trace elements and can result in prevention of yield reduction due to the insufficient uptake by aerobic rice [17] [15].

### 3.4 Nutrient Concentration

Among the nutrient elements, nitrogen, sulphur, iron and zinc contents in grain and straw as influenced by application of micronutrients were differed significantly whereas phosphorus and potassium content in grain and straw had not reached to the level of significant difference (Table 4). The higher content of N could be attributed to the balanced fertilization. The significantly higher N, S and Zn was found with application of involving nutripriming (0.5% FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O + 0.5% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O) + foliar application (0.5% FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O + 0.5% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O) in seed and straw of rice. The significantly higher Fe content in seed of rice was

registered with nutripriming with 0.5% FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O + 0.5% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O and in case of stover the higher Fe content was obtained with nutripriming (0.5% FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O + 0.5% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O) + foliar application (0.5% FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O + 0.5% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O). The lowest nutrients content was obtained under control treatment. Application of NP along with micronutrients helped in better physiological growth of the plant and higher absorption of nitrogen from soil over solo application of NP. Moreover, increase in nitrogen uptake of rice grain and straw could also be due to easy transformation of urea into available nitrogen with addition of Zn [18]. Increase in S content was due to Fe and Zn carriers' sulphates in both the treatments viz. T<sub>12</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> in comparison to rest of the treatments. In case of T<sub>12</sub>, seed priming with S containing solution followed by foliar spray of sulphates (Fe and Zn sulphates) resulted in highest S availability and higher the absorption and content in grain and straw of direct seeded rice. The results are in close vicinity with the findings of [19] and [20].

## 4. CONCLUSION

A field experiment was conducted to evaluate the effects of FeSO<sub>4</sub> and ZnSO<sub>4</sub> application on the growth, yield, and nutrient content of direct-seeded rice. The results showed that nutripriming 0.5% FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O + 0.5% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O for 12 hours before sowing along with the recommended dose of fertilizers (80 N: 25 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg/ha), significantly improved all growth parameters, including plant height, panicles plant, yield attributes, and yield. The nutripriming of seeds with Fe and Zn also improve the macronutrients (N, P and S) and micronutrients (Fe and Zn) content in grain and straw of direct seeded rice.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Stalin P, Das T, Muthumanickam D, Chitdeshwari T, Velu V. Foliar nutrition of rice to enhance micronutrient concentration in grains. *International Rice Research Notes*. 2011;117(4185):1-6.
2. Clarkson DT, Marschner H. *Mineral nutrition of higher plants*. London:

- Academic Press. Annals of Botany. 1995;78(4):527-528.
3. Gill G, Humphreys E, Kukal SS, Walia US. Effect of water management on dry seeded and puddled transplanted rice. Part 1: Crop performance. Field Crops Research. 2011;120(1):112-122.
  4. Balasubramanian V, Hill JE. Direct seeding of rice in Asia: Emerging issues and strategic research needs for the 21st century. Direct seeding: Research Strategies and Opportunities. 2002;15-39.
  5. Johnson SE, Lauren JG, Welch RM, Duxbury JM. A comparison of the effects of micronutrient seed priming and soil fertilization on the mineral nutrition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*), lentil (*Lens culinaris*), rice (*Oryza sativa*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in Nepal. Experimental Agriculture. 2005;41(4):427-448.
  6. Jin Z, Minyan W, Lianghuan W, Jiangguo W, Chunhai S. Impacts of combination of foliar iron and boron application on iron biofortification and nutritional quality of rice grain. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 2008;31(9):1599-1611.
  7. Yadav SL, K Rai H, Yadav IR, Kumar A, Choudhary M. Effect of zinc application strategies on growth and yield of soybean in central India. International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 2021;33(24): 490-497.
  8. Steel RG, Torrie JH. Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw Hill Book Company, New Delhi-110 001; 1982.
  9. Sudhakar PC, Singh JP, Singh Y, Singh R. Effect of graded fertility levels and silicon sources on crop yield, uptake and nutrient-use efficiency in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2006;51(3):186-188.
  10. Khan MU, Qasim M, Khan I. Effect of Zn fertilizer on rice grown in different soils of Dera Ismail Khan. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2007;23(4):1033-1040.
  11. Humaira TS, Muhammad J, Muhammad A, Hmid US, Saleem U, Sahib A. Effect of zinc on physico-chemical parameters of hydroponically grown rice varieties. Middle East Journal of Agricultural Research. 2015;4(3):395-403.
  12. Gill JS, Walia SS. Effect of foliar application of iron, zinc and manganese on direct seeded aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2014;59(1):80-85.
  13. Khanda CM, Dixit L. Effect of zinc and nitrogen fertilization on yield and nutrient uptake of summer rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1996;41(3):368-372.
  14. Jena PK, Rao CP, Subbaia HG. Effect of zinc management practices on growth, yield and economics in rice. Crop Production. 2006;43(4):326-328.
  15. Sarangi SK, Sharma HC. Influence of mode of iron application and growth regulators on development, yield attributes and yield of upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2004;74(12):637-642.
  16. Ramana AV, Sreenivasulu Reddy D, Ramakumar Reddy K. Influence of mulching and micronutrient management practices on upland rice. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2006;17(4):785-788.
  17. Kumar B, Singh VP, Kumar B. Zinc status of rice plant as influenced by different levels and methods of zinc application. J. Soil Crops. 1997;7:105-108.
  18. Kumar D, Chauhan RPS, Singh BB, Singh V. Response of rice to zinc sulphate incubated and blended with organic materials in sodic soil. Indian Journal of Agricultural sciences. 1999;69(6): 402-405.
  19. Wei Y, Shohag MJI, Yang X, Yibin Z. Effects of foliar iron application on iron concentration in polished rice grain and its bioavailability. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2012;60 (45):11433-11439.
  20. Bhanuvally M, Ramesha YM, Krishna Murthy, D and Yogeeshappa H. Grain yield and nutrient uptake of direct seeded rice as influenced by application of micronutrients. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(12):935-941.
  21. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Indian Edition. Prentice Hall Of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 1973;498.
  22. Lindsay WL, Norvell WA. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 1978;42(3):42-428.
  23. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. U.S.D.A. Circular. 1954; 936:19.

24. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. Hans Publishers, Bombay; 1966.
25. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the determination of available nitrogen in soil. *Current Science*. 1956;25:259-260.
26. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*. 1934;37(1): 29 – 38.
27. Williams CH, Steinbergs A. Soil sulphur fractions as chemical indices of available sulphur in some Australian soils. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 1959; 10(3):340-352.
28. Yadav SL, Rai HK, Kumar A, Yadav IR, Kumawat L, Yadav M, Singh S. Effect of zinc application strategies on nutrients content, uptake by soybean and status in post-harvest soil. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*. 2022;14(1): 513-518.

---

© 2023 Abhishek et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102235>