



# Effect of Different Levels of EMS on Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* L.)

Shalini <sup>a+++\*</sup>, Samir E. Topno <sup>a#</sup> and V. M. Prasad <sup>a†</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj–211007, Uttar Pradesh, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i183476

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104470>

Original Research Article

Received: 05/06/2023

Accepted: 08/08/2023

Published: 09/08/2023

## ABSTRACT

Chrysanthemums are widely cultivated and have a significant market value due to their popularity as ornamental plants and their traditional medicinal uses. The global chrysanthemum market includes various segments, such as cut flowers, potted plants, and medicinal products. Therefore, the present investigation was carried out with title at the Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh during the *Winter-2022-23* with a view to determine the effect of different doses of EMS mutagen application on chrysanthemum variety Sunburst cushion for its growth quality and to work out the economics of various treatments. Under this experiment, overall, 7 treatment was prepared by soaking planting material in different doses of EMS mutagens prepared for five hours each, Treatments comprised of T<sub>0</sub> (Control), T<sub>1</sub> (0.5% EMS mutagen), T<sub>2</sub> (0.75% EMS mutagen), T<sub>3</sub> (1.0% EMS mutagen), T<sub>4</sub> (1.5% EMS mutagen), T<sub>5</sub> (1.25% EMS mutagen), and T<sub>6</sub> (2.5% EMS mutagen). The current study found that the use of EMS mutagen at lower concentration

\*\*M.Sc. Scholar;

#Assistant Professor;

†Professor;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [aryansinghverma098@gmail.com](mailto:aryansinghverma098@gmail.com);

had a significant positive impact on the survival, growth, and development of chrysanthemums. Among the treatments tested, T<sub>1</sub> showed the most favorable results in terms of leaf width, leaf length, stem length, number of flowers per plant, early flowering. T<sub>1</sub> was composed of 0.5% EMS mutagen.

**Keywords:** *Chrysanthemum*; EMS; mutagens.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Chrysanthemum, botanically known as *Dendranthema grandiflora* (L.) is a perennial crop with compound inflorescence is an array of several flower heads, or sometimes a solitary head. The head has a base covered in layers of phyllaries. The simple row of ray florets is white, yellow, or red. The disc florets are yellow. The fruit is a ribbed achene. Chrysanthemum cultivation began in Japan during the Nara and Heian periods (early 8th to late 12th centuries) and gained popularity in the Edo period (early 17th to late 19th century). Many flower shapes, colours, and varieties were created. The flower heads occur in various forms, and can be daisy-like or decorative, like pompons or buttons. This genus contains many hybrids and thousands of cultivars developed for horticultural purposes. In addition to the traditional yellow, other colours are available, such as white, purple, and red. The most important hybrid is *Chrysanthemum x morifolium* (syn. *C. x grandiflorum*), derived primarily from *C. indicum*, but also involving other species. Chromosome number of chrysanthemum is 2n=18. Chrysanthemums are divided into two basic groups, garden hardy and exhibition. Garden hardy chrysanthemums are perennials capable of wintering in most northern latitudes. Exhibition varieties are not usually as sturdy. Mutagens can make abundant mutations in different organs of plants, such as seeds, bulbs, callus, pollen, etc. [1]. Plants make different mutagenesis sites, which can be selected according to the needs of the experiment, and EMS can be used for mutagenesis. Jankowicz-Cieslak et al. [2] considered that seeds are the most common mutagenic plant materials, and there is also a wealth of data in other plant species on doses used to achieve high densities of induced

mutations. In general, for plants propagated by seeds, their seeds are usually preferentially selected mutagenic material [3]. Chrysanthemum is a popular ornamental plant that belongs to the family Asteraceae. It is widely cultivated for its attractive flowers, which come in a variety of colors, shapes, and sizes, making it a popular choice for floral decoration and landscaping. Chrysanthemums are also valued for their medicinal properties and are used in traditional medicine for the treatment of various ailments. With the increasing demand for new varieties of chrysanthemums with improved characteristics such as flower size, color, and shape, there has been a growing interest in the application of mutagenic agents to induce genetic variations in chrysanthemums. Among the various mutagenic agents, Ethyl Methane Sulfonate (EMS) is widely used as a chemical mutagen for inducing point mutations in plants. The present study aimed to investigate the effects of EMS mutagenic treatments on chrysanthemum and to develop new and improved varieties with desirable traits.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was done to understand the effect of EMS mutagen at different doses combination on growth, and quality of chrysanthemum variety Sunburst cushion. The experiment was carried out at Horticultural Research Farm (HRF), Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute SHUATS, Prayagraj, U.P., during the Rabi season of 2022-23. The different combination doses of EMS mutagen are mentioned in Table 1 and replicated thrice. Observations were recorded at different stages of growth periods. The data were statistically analysed by the method suggested by Fisher and Yates, [4].

**Table 1. Details of different doses of EMS mutagen used**

Treatment Symbols	Treatment combination (Duration 5 hours)	Treatment Symbols	Treatment combination (Duration 5 hours)
T <sub>0</sub>		Control Plot	
T <sub>1</sub>	0.5 % (EMS Mutagen)	T <sub>4</sub>	1.5 % (EMS Mutagen)
T <sub>2</sub>	0.75 % (EMS Mutagen)	T <sub>5</sub>	1.25 % (EMS Mutagen)
T <sub>3</sub>	1 % (EMS Mutagen)	T <sub>6</sub>	2.5 % (EMS Mutagen)

**Table 2. Performance of different doses of EMS mutagen on vegetative and floral parameters for chrysanthemum**

Treatment Notation	Treatment details	Survival Percentage (%)	Leaf width (cm) [60 DAP]	Leaf length (cm) [60 DAP]	Stem growth (mm) [60 DAP]	Stem length (cm) [60 DAP]	Plant Spread (N-S) [40 DAP] (cm)	Plant Spread (W-S) [60 DAP] (cm)	Days to first flower bud appearance [DAP]	Days to first flower opening [DAP]	No of flowers per plant	No of ray florets
T <sub>0</sub>	Control Pot	60.25	9.21	4.93	3.50	11.30	5.72	8.23	46.00	56.70	33.73	56.55
T <sub>1</sub>	0.5 % (EMS Mutagen)	45.70	10.03	5.40	4.47	16.37	6.34	9.28	39.90	48.45	49.93	59.48
T <sub>2</sub>	0.75 % (EMS Mutagen)	40.15	9.66	5.14	4.18	15.98	6.33	8.82	42.00	52.35	44.13	59.25
T <sub>3</sub>	1 % (EMS Mutagen)	38.00	9.37	5.00	4.08	15.00	5.72	8.25	44.00	53.50	39.40	58.53
T <sub>4</sub>	1.5 % (EMS Mutagen)	39.22	9.41	4.99	3.74	14.88	6.02	8.66	45.97	54.02	36.22	56.99
T <sub>5</sub>	1.25 % (EMS Mutagen)	41.42	9.36	5.02	3.61	13.22	5.99	8.54	45.35	55.33	38.14	57.12
T <sub>6</sub>	2.5 % (EMS Mutagen)	42.66	9.49	5.12	3.84	13.77	6.21	8.69	43.66	55.87	37.75	58.33
<b>'F' test</b>		<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>S.E. (m) ±</b>		<b>3.24</b>	<b>0.57</b>	<b>0.21</b>	<b>0.24</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>0.45</b>	<b>0.47</b>	<b>3.34</b>	<b>3.47</b>
<b>C.D. at 5%</b>		<b>6.87</b>	<b>1.11</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>0.19</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>1.21</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>9.87</b>	<b>2.14</b>
<b>C.V.</b>		<b>30.59</b>	<b>26.94</b>	<b>16.70</b>	<b>17.09</b>	<b>16.44</b>	<b>15.77</b>	<b>11.22</b>	<b>4.33</b>	<b>3.52</b>	<b>40.22</b>	<b>30.15</b>

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Vegetative Parameters

##### 3.1.1 Survival percentage (%) and leaf width (cm)

The survival percentage significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The maximum survival percentage (60.25%) was observed with treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control pot) followed by T<sub>1</sub> (0.5% EMS mutagen) with 45.70%. Minimum survival percentage (38.00%) was observed in T<sub>3</sub> (1% EMS Mutagen), while the remaining treatments were moderate in their growth habit. It was found that T<sub>1</sub> (0.5% EMS mutagen) had maximum leaf width at 60 DAP i.e. 10.03 cm followed by T<sub>2</sub> (0.75 % EMS mutagen) with 9.66 cm whereas the minimum leaf width was observed in treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control) with 9.21 cm. There was significant difference between the treatments at among the treatments applied. It was found that T<sub>1</sub> (0.5% EMS mutagen) had maximum leaf length at 60 DAP i.e. 5.40 cm followed by T<sub>2</sub> (0.75% EMS mutagen) with 5.14 cm whereas the minimum leaf length was observed in treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control) with 4.93 cm. The application of different level of EMS mutagen at lower concentrations induce less DNA damage, leading to fewer lethal mutations, resulting in improved survival rates of chrysanthemum. EMS mutagens at lower concentrations induce favourable mutations in genes responsible for leaf development, resulting in improved leaf width and leaf length. Similar findings were reported by Aravind et al., [5] in marigold; Ghani et al., [6] in gerbera; Rajasekar et al. [7]; Ghormade et al. [8]; Purente et al. [9]; Ganiseti et al. [10]; Guo et al. [11]; Li et al. [12]; Sun et al. [13]; Nasri et al., [14] and Palekar et al. [15] in chrysanthemum.

##### 3.1.2 Stem growth (cm), stem length (cm) and plant spread (cm) [N-S and W-S]

There was significant difference between the treatments at among the treatments applied. It was found that T<sub>1</sub> (0.5% EMS mutagen) had maximum stem growth at 60 DAP i.e. 4.47 cm followed by T<sub>2</sub> (0.75% EMS mutagen) with 4.18 cm whereas the minimum stem growth was observed in treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control) with 3.50 cm. There was significant difference between the treatments at among the treatments applied. It was found that T<sub>1</sub> (0.5% EMS mutagen) had maximum stem length at 60 DAP i.e. 16.37 cm followed by T<sub>2</sub> (0.75% EMS mutagen) with 15.98

cm whereas the minimum stem length was observed in treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control) with 11.30 cm. Lower concentrations of EMS mutagens may cause mutations that enhance stem growth and stem length in chrysanthemums. There was significant difference between the treatments at among the treatments applied. It was found that T<sub>1</sub> (0.5% EMS mutagen) had maximum plant spread [N-S] at 40 DAP i.e. 6.34 cm followed by T<sub>2</sub> (0.75% EMS mutagen) with 6.33 cm whereas the minimum plant spread [N-S] was observed in treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control) with 5.72 cm. There was significant difference between the treatments at among the treatments applied. It was found that T<sub>1</sub> (0.5% EMS mutagen) had maximum plant spread [W-S] at 40 DAP i.e. 6.72 cm followed by T<sub>2</sub> (0.75 % EMS mutagen) with 6.34 cm whereas the minimum plant spread [W-S] was observed in treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control) with 5.70 cm. Lower concentrations of EMS mutagens may cause alteration of gene responsible for controlling stem growth and stem length length leading to producing effect that enhance stem growth and stem stem length in chrysanthemums thus in turn plant spread too. The findings of the present investigation are in conformity with the reports of Aravind et al., [5] in marigold; Ghani et al., [6] in gerbera; Rajasekar et al. [7]; Ghormade et al. [8]; Purente et al. [9]; Ganiseti et al. [10]; Guo et al. [11]; Li et al. [12]; Sun et al. [13]; Nasri et al., [14] and Palekar et al. [15] in chrysanthemum.

#### 3.2 Floral Parameter

##### 3.2.1 Days to first bud initiation and days to first flower opening

There was significant difference between the treatments at among the treatments applied. It was found that T<sub>1</sub> (0.5% EMS mutagen) had minimum days to first flower bud initiation 39.90 days followed by T<sub>2</sub> (0.75% EMS mutagen) with 42.00 days whereas the maximum days to first flower bud initiation was observed in treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control) with 46.00 days. There was significant difference between the treatments at among the treatments applied. It was found that T<sub>1</sub> (0.5% EMS mutagen) had minimum days to first flower opening 48.45 days followed by T<sub>2</sub> (0.75% EMS mutagen) with 52.35 days whereas the maximum days to first flower opening was observed in treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control) with 56.70 days. EMS mutagen triggered for early appearance of flower bud by causing alteration in gene expression controlling days to flower bud initiation in chrysanthemum. The findings of the present investigation are in conformity with the reports of

Aravind et al., [5] in marigold; Ghani et al., [6] in gerbera; Rajasekar et al. [7]; Ghormade et al. [8]; Purente et al. [9]; Ganisetti et al. [10]; Guo et al. [11]; Li et al. [12]; Sun et al. [13]; Nasri et al., [14] and Palekar et al. [15] in chrysanthemum.

### 3.2.2 Number of flowers per plant and number of ray florets

There was significant difference between the treatments at among the treatments applied. It was found that  $T_1$  (0.5% EMS mutagen) had maximum number of flowers plant 49.93 flowers followed by  $T_2$  (0.75% EMS mutagen) with 44.13 flowers whereas the minimum number of flowers plant was observed in treatment  $T_0$  (Control) with 33.73 flowers. There was significant difference between the treatments at among the treatments applied. It was found that  $T_1$  (0.5% EMS mutagen) had maximum number of ray florets 59.48 ray florets followed by  $T_2$  (0.75% EMS mutagen) with 59.25 ray florets whereas the minimum number of ray florets was observed in treatment  $T_0$  (Control) with 56.55 ray florets. It was noticed that number of flowers per plant increased at lower concentration of EMS mutagen. This might be due to changes in gene segments controlling bud formation in chrysanthemum. At higher concentrations gene controlling for bud formation might have become inactive leading to less flower bud formation in turn flower numbers in chrysanthemum. It was noticed that number of ray florets increased at lower concentration of EMS mutagen. This might be due to changes in gene segments controlling ray floret formation in chrysanthemum. At higher concentrations gene controlling for ray florets formation might have become inactive leading to a smaller number of ray florets formation in chrysanthemum. The findings of the present investigation are in conformity with the reports of Aravind et al., [5] in marigold; Ghani et al., [6] in gerbera; Rajasekar et al. [7]; Ghormade et al. [8]; Purente et al. [9]; Wang et al., [16]; Ganisetti et al. [10]; Guo et al. [11]; Li et al. [12]; Sun et al. [13]; Nasri et al., [14] and Palekar et al. [15] in chrysanthemum.

## 4. CONCLUSION

The current study found that the use of different doses of EMS mutagen had a significant positive impact on the growth, and development of chrysanthemums. From the present investigation it is concluded that  $T_1$  (0.5% EMS Mutagen) was found to be best among all treatment in terms of yield and growth of chrysanthemum flower.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is thankful to the Advisor and Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.) India for providing necessary facilities to undertaken this research. This manuscript has not received any grant from any sources.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Mba C, Afza R, Bado S, Mohan Jain S. Induced mutagenesis in plants using physical and chemical agents. *Plant Cell culture: Essential Methods*. 2010;20: 111–130.
2. Jankowicz-Cieslak J, Till BJ. Forward and reverse genetics in crop breeding, in JM Al-Khayri, S. M Jain, DV Johnson, et al (Eds.), *Advances in plant breeding strategies: breeding, biotechnology molecular tools*, 215–240. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2015.
3. Gottwald S, Bauer P, Komatsuda T, Lundqvist U, Stein N. TILLING in the two-rowed barley cultivar Barke reveals preferred sites of functional diversity in the gene *HvHox1*. *BMC Research. Notes*. 2009;2(1):1–14.
4. Fisher RA, Yates F. *The Design of Experiments: Statistical Principles for Practical Applications*. New York: Hafner Publishing Company; 1967.
5. Aravind S, Dhanavel D. Induced physical and chemical mutagenesis on Marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) to determine the lethality, germination, and seedling survivability. *International Journal of Botany Studies*. 2021;6(3):235-237.
6. Ghani M, Kumar S, Thakur M. Physiological and biochemical responses of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* Hook.) to physical and chemical mutagenesis. *Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology*. 2014;89(3): 301–306.
7. Rajasekar P, Kannan M, Ganga M. Determination of mutagenic sensitivity of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev) cv. Pusa Chitraksha to physical and chemical mutagens.

- International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019;7(1):489-493.
8. Ghormade GN, Yadlod SS, Abhangrao AK, Adsure DD. Effect of chemical mutagens on growth and flowering of chrysanthemum varieties in VM<sub>1</sub> generation. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020;8(4): 1576-1579.
  9. Purente N, Chen B, Liu X, Zhou Y, He M. Effect of Ethyl Methane sulfonate on Induced Morphological Variation in M<sub>3</sub> Generation of *Chrysanthemum indicum* var. aromaticum. Hort Science. 2020; 55(7):1099–1104.
  10. Ganiseti A, Shiragur M, Patil BC, Nishani S, Seetharamu GK, Ramanagouda SH, et al. Mutation studies in chrysanthemum cultivar Poornima white. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2021;10(1):1235-1239.
  11. Guo J, Zhang H, Li X, Wei L, Li L. EMS-induced mutagenesis for improved flowering time in chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium*). Plant Breeding. 2021;140(3):456-464.
  12. Li L, Huang S, Yao X, Wu Q, Zhou S. EMS-induced mutagenesis for the improvement of growth and drought tolerance in chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflorum*). Frontiers in Plant Science. 2021;12:758892.
  13. Sun Y, Huang S, Zhang Z, Li J, Zhou S. EMS-induced mutagenesis for increased resistance to *Botrytis cinerea* in chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium*). Frontiers in Plant Science. 2021;12:654124.
  14. Nasri F, Zakizadeh H, Vafae Y, Mozafari AA, et al. *In vitro* mutagenesis of Chrysanthemum morifolium cultivars using ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS) and mutation assessment by ISSR and IRAP markers. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture. 2022;149(3):657–673.
  15. Palekar AR, Tambe TB, Ghormade GN. Growth and flowering of chrysanthemum varieties influenced by induced mutagenesis in M<sub>1</sub> generation. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(2): 737-740.
  16. Wang L, Jiang J, Wang H, Shi Y, Fu L, Chen J. EMS-induced mutagenesis in chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat.) for altered petal shape. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2020;11: 573065.

© 2023 Shalini et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104470>