



# Impact of Crop Residue and Green Manure Management in Rice Crop on Soil Nutrient Dynamics in Tarai Belt of Shivalik Himalaya, India

Abhilasha Pathak<sup>a+++</sup>, Jai Paul<sup>a#</sup>, M. S. Negi<sup>b#</sup>,  
Poonam Gautam<sup>a#</sup> and S. P. Pachauri<sup>a#</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science, GBPUAT, Pantnagar, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Agronomy, GBPUAT, Pantnagar, India.

## Authors' contributions

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i214045

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108735>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 20/08/2023**

**Accepted: 26/10/2023**

**Published: 31/10/2023**

## ABSTRACT

The study explores the effects of different soil management practices on various soil properties within the Tarai Belt of the Shivalik Himalaya region to assess the effects of different nutrient management strategies on various soil properties in the context of rice cultivation. Ten treatments were employed, T<sub>1</sub> (100% recommended dose of NPK fertilizers), T<sub>2</sub> (50% crop residue + 50% recommended NPK dose), T<sub>3</sub> (50% crop residue + 50% recommended NPK dose with the addition of Pusa decomposer), T<sub>4</sub> (50% crop residue + 50% Green Manure (GM), T<sub>5</sub> (50% crop residue + 50% GM with Pusa decomposer), T<sub>6</sub> (Crop residue at 2.5 tons per hectare + Pusa decomposer), T<sub>7</sub> (Crop residue at 2.5 tons per hectare without Pusa decomposer), T<sub>8</sub> (Absolute control group with

<sup>++</sup> Ph. D Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Professor;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [abhipathak4jan@gmail.com](mailto:abhipathak4jan@gmail.com);

no fertilizer application), T<sub>9</sub> (150% recommended NPK dose), and T<sub>10</sub> (100% recommended NPK dose with the addition of Farm Yard Manure at a rate of 5 tons per hectare, along with Zinc and Boron supplementation). including varying combinations of crop residues, organic and inorganic fertilizers, and green manure. Soil properties examined included soil organic carbon, available nitrogen, available phosphorus, available potassium, pH, and electrical conductivity (EC) at two different soil depths (0-15 cm and 15-30 cm). Organic sources enhanced soil organic carbon, while inorganic sources notably improved the availability of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. The results showed that treatments incorporating both organic and inorganic nutrient sources demonstrated improved soil health and nutrient availability, with treatment T<sub>9</sub> (150% recommended dose of fertilizer, RDF) showing the best results, particularly in terms of available nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. However, the sustainability of this approach over the long term may be a concern. Treatment T<sub>10</sub>, which combined 100% RDF with farmyard manure, zinc, and boron supplementation, emerged as a promising alternative for sustainable soil management. Treatment T<sub>3</sub>, with the incorporation of crop residues and the application of Pusa decomposer, also showed potential for maintaining soil health. These findings emphasize the importance of a balanced approach to nutrient management that combines readily available nutrients from inorganic sources with the long-term benefits of organic matter.

In summary, this study emphasizes the importance of integrated nutrient management and sustainable agricultural practices for enhancing soil fertility and overall soil health, thereby contributing to sustainable crop production. These findings hold significance for addressing soil nutrient imbalances and ensuring the well-being of farmers and environmental sustainability.

*Keywords: Crop residues; green manure; nutrient management; soil properties.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The rice-wheat cropping system holds the distinction of being the most extensively cultivated cropping system globally, as documented by Kaur et al. in [1]. According to data from the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) in 2020, the global aggregate acreage dedicated to rice cultivation amounted to approximately 164.19 million hectares, yielding a total production of around 508.7 million metric tons. In India, rice is cultivated on an extensive land area of approximately 43.8 million hectares, resulting in a total production output of 177.6 million metric tons, and boasting a productivity rate of 4,057 kilograms per hectare [2].

Approximately 85% of the rice-wheat cropping system is predominantly practiced within the Indo-Gangetic plain in South Asia, as noted by Banjara et al. in 2021. Over the past five to six decades, this agricultural pattern, accompanied by assured irrigation, has been the primary agricultural mode in India's Indo-Gangetic plains. Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, and Haryana, as highlighted by Kaur et al. [1], stand as the three most pivotal states in India for the cultivation of the Rice-Wheat Cropping System (RWCS), encompassing an expanse exceeding 10 million hectares in the Indo-Gangetic plains region.

Rice cultivation in Uttarakhand, on the other hand, encompasses an approximate area of

2,43,666 hectares, yielding a total production of 5,74,963 metric tons, with a productivity rate of 23.60 quintals per hectare.

Typically, it has been calculated that the rice-wheat cropping system, with rice yielding approximately 7 metric tons per hectare and wheat yielding around 4 metric tons per hectare, extracts more than 300 kilograms of nitrogen (N), 30 kilograms of phosphorus (P), and 300 kilograms of potassium (K) per hectare from the soil, as outlined by Singh and Singh in 2001. Moreover, Gupta et al. [3] estimated that the crop, producing 10 metric tons per hectare, removes about 730 kilograms of combined NPK (nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium) from the soil, and this nutrient load is often not replenished back into the soil. Over time, this practice can lead to a detrimental net negative nutrient balance and a deficiency in multiple essential nutrients for crops. To mitigate this nutrient imbalance, one viable solution is the recycling of nutrients through the incorporation of crop residues.

Crop residues, the remnants of plants left behind after harvest, were once regarded as waste to be disposed of. However, there is now widespread recognition of their intrinsic value as natural sources of essential plant nutrients rather than mere waste [4]. These residues play a pivotal role in promoting the sustainability of agricultural ecosystems due to their rich nutrient content and

must be managed effectively. Notably, crop residues retain approximately 25% of the nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) absorbed, 50% of sulfur (S), and a substantial 75% of potassium (K) taken up by cereal crops, rendering them excellent nutrient resources when properly recycled. Both rice and wheat are known for their high nutrient demands, and the practice of double cropping significantly depletes soil nutrient levels [5].

Rice residues are invaluable natural resources, and their incorporation into the soil enhances its physical, chemical, and biological characteristics. Various methods, such as burning, incorporation, surface retention, mulching, as well as baling and straw removal, are available for crop residue management [6]. It is crucial to note that burning results in substantial losses of nitrogen (up to 80%), phosphorus (25%), potassium (21%), sulfur (40-60%), and contributes to air pollution, emitting around 13 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> per hectare, while also depleting organic matter in the soil (SOM). A primary concern for sustainability is the loss of soil organic matter. Incorporating crop residues into the soil leads to an increase in soil organic matter and nutrient levels, including soil N, P, and K. However, it's important to be aware that nitrogen immobilization is a notable drawback of residue incorporation compared to burning [7]. Starting with a dose of approximately 15-20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of nitrogen when incorporating straw can significantly enhance wheat and rice yields (Chauhan et al., 2012).

The integration of crop residue incorporation, combined with the practice of green manuring, has been consistently observed to exert a substantial influence on crop yields and the enhancement of various physico-chemical and biological properties of soil. This phenomenon has been documented by multiple researchers in different geographical locations, both in India and abroad, as exemplified by Mandal et al. [6], Samra et al. [8]. The potential of recycling crop residues as a significant source of essential plant nutrients for crop cultivation and to ameliorate soil characteristics to support sustainable crop production is of immense magnitude. However, realizing this potential necessitates the adoption of improved strategies for managing both soil and crop residues, as these factors play a pivotal role in the decomposition of residues and the subsequent release of nutrients, as elucidated by Kumar and Goh [9].

Residue management within the rice and wheat cropping system holds significant importance,

contributing not only to enhanced productivity but also addressing concerns pertaining to air pollution mitigation, soil fertility preservation, and the overall well-being of farmers. Recognizing the pivotal role of residue management during rice cultivation within the rice-wheat cropping sequence, the current study has been initiated. Its primary aim is to assess the effects of crop residue management and the application of green manure during the rice crop phase in the Rice-wheat cropping sequence on soil nutrient dynamics in the Tarai Belt of the Shivalik Himalaya region, specifically within Mollisol.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study Area

The research was conducted at the Norman E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre in Pantnagar of the Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, located in the Udham Singh Nagar District of Uttarakhand. Pantnagar is situated at the foothills of the Shiwalik mountain range in the Himalayas, also known as the Tarai region. It has geographical coordinates of approximately 29 degrees North latitude and 79 degrees 29 minutes East longitude, with an elevation of 243.84 meters above mean sea level. The climate in Pantnagar is categorized as sub-humid and sub-tropical. It is characterized by hot and dry summers and cold winters. The dry season extends from early October to mid-June, while the wet season spans from mid-June to early October. The maximum temperatures typically range between 40 to 45 degrees Celsius in the months of May and June, and the minimum temperatures range between 2 to 5 degrees Celsius in December and January. The highest average relative humidity is observed in July, while the lowest occurs in April and May. The region experiences an annual average rainfall of 1400 millimeters, with the majority of precipitation being contributed by the southwest monsoon, accounting for 85-90% of the total annual rainfall, primarily occurring between June and September. The Tarai region is known for its fertile soils, characterized by clay-rich marshes and diverse vegetation. Common tree species in the area include Semal (*Bombax ceiba*), sal (*Shorea robusta*), and khair (*Acacia catechu*). The soils at the experimental site have an alluvial origin and are classified as Mollisol, as per the classification by Deshpande et al. [10]. These soils vary in drainage characteristics from well-drained to poorly-drained and are typically dark in color. They have developed over calcareous

alluvial deposits washed down from the Shivalik mountain range.

## 2.2 Experimental Treatments

The experiment involved ten distinct fertilizer treatments, namely: T<sub>1</sub> (100% recommended dose of NPK fertilizers), T<sub>2</sub> (50% crop residue + 50% recommended NPK dose), T<sub>3</sub> (50% crop residue + 50% recommended NPK dose with the addition of Pusa decomposer), T<sub>4</sub> (50% crop residue + 50% Green Manure (GM), T<sub>5</sub> (50% crop residue + 50% GM with Pusa decomposer), T<sub>6</sub> (Crop residue at 2.5 tons per hectare + Pusa decomposer), T<sub>7</sub> (Crop residue at 2.5 tons per hectare without Pusa decomposer), T<sub>8</sub> (Absolute control group with no fertilizer application), T<sub>9</sub> (150% recommended NPK dose), and T<sub>10</sub> (100% recommended NPK dose with the addition of Farm Yard Manure at a rate of 5 tons per hectare, along with Zinc and Boron supplementation). The rice variety used in this study was Pant Dhan-18, and the rice crop was transplanted on June 30, 2020, for first year and June 30, 2021 for two consecutive year in prepared plots with individual plot size of 30 square meters.

## 2.3 Soil Site Characterization

The soil at the experimental site is alluvial in origin and characterized as Mollisol [10]. The soils are well-drained to poorly drained, dark in colour, and formed beneath calcareous alluvium outwash of the Shivalik range of mountains.

## 2.4 Soil Sampling

Composite soil sampling for individual replication was conducted by amalgamating soil samples randomly obtained using a soil auger immediately following the harvest of each crop during the 2020-21 and 2021-22 season. Soil samples were systematically collected from incremental depths of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm. These samples were meticulously homogenized, subjected to air drying, in shade and then air-dried for approximately 24 to 48 hours before analysis and passed through 2.0 mm sieves, and subsequently preserved within hermetically sealed plastic bags to facilitate the subsequent assessment of available soil nutrients.

## 2.5 Statistical Analysis

The evaluation of the effects of crop residue management and the application of green manure during the rice crop at various soil

depths was carried out through an analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique, specifically suited for the randomized block design (RBD) as outlined by Cochran and Cox (1959). Statistical analysis of the collected data was conducted using Microsoft Excel, a product of Microsoft Corporation in the United States, as well as SPSS version 16 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Treatment mean comparisons were executed utilizing the least significant difference at a significance level of 5%.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The soil under consideration originated from alluvial deposits and exhibited a silty clay texture, as determined by the Bouyoucos hydrometer method [11]. The initial pH of the soil was ascertained to be 7.61 at the surface and 7.78 in the subsurface, using a 1:2 soil-to-water ratio, according to Jackson [12]. The electrical conductivity (EC) of the soil was measured as 0.28 dS m<sup>-1</sup> at the surface and 0.30 dS m<sup>-1</sup> in the subsurface, following the Bower and Wilcox method [13]. Organic carbon content, determined through the Walkley and Black method [14], was found to be 0.75% at the surface and 0.71% in the subsurface. The available nitrogen (N) content in the soil was quantified as 199.23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at the surface and 188.35 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in the subsurface, using the Alkaline KMnO<sub>4</sub> method developed by Subbiah and Asija [15]. The available phosphorus (P) content, extractable with NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, was measured at 14.21 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at the surface and 9.58 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in the subsurface, following Olsen's method as described by Olsen et al. [16]. Lastly, the available potassium (K) content, extractable with NH<sub>4</sub>OAc, was determined to be 153.22 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at the surface and 127.34 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in the subsurface using the Neutral 1 normal ammonium acetate method by Hanway and Heidel (1952).

### 3.1 Soil Ph

The data presented in Fig. 1 illustrated that the incorporation of crop residue, along with organic and inorganic brought non-significant changes in soil pH levels. This impact was observed in both the surface and sub-surface layers of the soil.

Following the rice harvest and a two-year experimental period, the initial trial revealed notable variations in soil pH levels within the 0-15 cm depth range. The pH values ranged from 7.38 to 7.58. The lowest recorded pH occurred in T<sub>5</sub> (7.38), attributed to the incorporation of organic

sources such as crop residues and green manure. These organic materials undergo decomposition, a process notably accelerated by the application of Pusa decomposer. This decomposition yields organic acids that have the capacity to lower the soil's pH. Organic acids, including humic and fulvic acids commonly found in organic materials, are contributors to soil acidification. Conversely, the highest pH value, 7.58, was observed in T<sub>8</sub>, which involved the exclusion of organic sources. The treatments incorporating organic sources (T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, and T<sub>7</sub>) demonstrated superior soil pH levels compared to treatments reliant solely on

inorganic sources (T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>9</sub>). This superiority can be attributed to the presence of organic acids within the organic sources. During the decomposition of these materials, they release organic acids into the soil, consequently generating hydrogen ions (H<sup>+</sup>), leading to increased soil acidity and a corresponding reduction in soil pH. In the 15-30 cm depth range, soil pH ranged from 7.66 to 7.75, with T<sub>5</sub> exhibiting the lowest pH (7.66) and T<sub>8</sub> displaying the highest pH (7.75). A parallel trend in soil pH variations was observed in the second trial for both soil depths.




Fig. 1. Impact of crop residue and green manure on soil pH




Fig. 2 Impact of crop residue and green manure on soil EC

**EC:** The data (Fig. 2) reveals that the inclusion of crop residues in conjunction with both organic and inorganic nutrient sources yielded no statistically significant disparities in soil electrical conductivity (EC) across treatments, observed at both the surface (0-15 cm) and sub-surface (15-30 cm) soil layers as illustrated in Fig. 2. Over the course of a two-year experimental phase following the rice harvest, in the initial trial, EC values at the 0-15 cm depth ranged from 0.15 dS m<sup>-1</sup> to 0.45 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, while at the 15-30 cm depth, EC varied from 0.17 dSm<sup>-1</sup> to 0.42 dSm<sup>-1</sup>. At the 0-15 cm depth, the highest EC value of 0.45 dS m<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in T<sub>5</sub>, while the lowest EC value of 0.15 dSm<sup>-1</sup> was observed in T<sub>8</sub>. Similarly, at the 15-30 cm depth, the maximum EC was noted in T<sub>5</sub> (0.42 dS m<sup>-1</sup>), and the minimum EC was found in T<sub>8</sub> (0.17 dS m<sup>-1</sup>). In the second trial, EC values at the 0-15 cm depth ranged from 0.18 dS m<sup>-1</sup> to 0.45 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, and at the 15-30 cm depth, EC varied from 0.19 dS m<sup>-1</sup> to 0.40 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, exhibiting a similar pattern to the first trial. Gogoi et al. [17] and Harikesh et al. [18] and also observed similar trends, where the electrical conductivity (EC) increased when organic and inorganic sources were used together. This increase may be attributed to the higher availability of soluble forms of essential elements like potassium (K), calcium (Ca), sodium (Na), and magnesium (Mg). These available forms can combine with organic materials, resulting in the formation of salts and consequently, an increase in soil EC.

**Soil organic carbon:** In the topsoil layer (0-15 cm), the concentration of soil organic carbon (Fig. 3) exhibited a range from 0.65% to 1.09%. The highest observed soil organic carbon content was recorded in treatment T<sub>5</sub> (1.09%). This notable increase in soil organic carbon within T<sub>5</sub> can be attributed to the utilization of a green manure crop, specifically dhaincha, characterized by a narrow carbon-to-nitrogen (C:N) ratio. This resulted in improved nutrient availability compared to other residue treatments. Additionally, the application of Pusa decomposer in T<sub>5</sub> accelerated the decomposition rate of crop residues and green manure, further contributing to enhanced organic carbon levels in the soil. Conversely, the lowest organic carbon content was observed in treatment T<sub>8</sub> (0.65%). In the second trial, a consistent pattern was evident across both soil layers. Within the 0-15 cm depth range, the soil organic carbon content exhibited a range of 0.51% to 1.16%, while in the 15-30 cm depth range, it ranged from 0.48% to 1.10%. This outcome is attributable to the absence of both

organic and inorganic sources of fertilizer in T<sub>8</sub>. The lack of these fertilizers hindered the enrichment of soil organic carbon in this treatment. Moreover, it is worth noting that organic carbon content decreased in the subsurface layer (15-30 cm) in comparison to the surface layer. This phenomenon can be attributed to the addition of organic matter and increased microbial activity primarily concentrated in the surface layer. In the 15-30 cm layer, the organic carbon content ranged from 0.51% to 1.04%, with the highest value observed in treatment T<sub>5</sub> (1.04%) and the lowest in treatment T<sub>8</sub> (0.51%). The significant increase in organic carbon in T<sub>5</sub> can be linked to the practice of incorporating crop residues into the soil. This incorporation facilitated the decomposition of these materials, ultimately enriching the soil with organic carbon. These findings are consistent with prior studies conducted by Regar et al. [19] and Singh et al. [20], highlighting the beneficial impact of crop residue incorporation on soil organic carbon levels and overall soil health.

**Available Nitrogen:** In the topsoil layer (0-15 cm), the concentration of available nitrogen (Fig.4) in the soil ranged from 189.90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 291.74 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest level of available nitrogen was recorded in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (291.74 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), which can be attributed to the application of higher doses of fertilizer, providing a greater abundance of inorganic nitrogen forms. Conversely, the lowest available nitrogen content was observed in the control treatment, T<sub>8</sub> (189.90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as there was no addition of organic or inorganic fertilizers. In the subsoil layer (15-30 cm), the available nitrogen content ranged from 167.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 261.33 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Treatment T<sub>9</sub> once again exhibited the highest available nitrogen content (261.33 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), while the lowest was found in T<sub>8</sub> (167.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The data implies that treatments incorporating crop residues (T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, and T<sub>7</sub>) yielded lower available nitrogen levels compared to treatments utilizing inorganic sources (T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>9</sub>). A congruent pattern was noted during the second trial (2021-22) across both soil depths. This discrepancy can be attributed to the fact that inorganic nitrogen sources provide nitrogen in readily available forms that can be rapidly assimilated by plants. In contrast, organic nitrogen contained in crop residues undergoes a slower process of mineralization. Additionally, crop residues often possess a high carbon-to-nitrogen (C:N) ratio, signifying a greater proportion of carbon relative to nitrogen. This elevated C:N ratio may temporarily immobilize




Fig. 3. Impact of crop residue and green manure on soil organic carbon





Fig. 4. Impact of crop residue and green manure on soil available nitrogen


nitrogen as soil microorganisms consume the carbon, rendering nitrogen less readily available to plants initially. In comparison to the control treatment (T<sub>8</sub>), all the other treatments exhibited superior levels of available nitrogen. These findings align with the research of Huang et al. (2016), Ladha et al. (2011), and Singh et al. [20], which underscore the impact of organic and inorganic nitrogen sources on soil nitrogen availability and support the advantages of supplementing soil with nitrogen from inorganic sources in agricultural practices.

**Available Phosphorus:** In the 0-15 cm soil layer, the available phosphorus (P) content (Fig.

5) exhibited a range between 9.66 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 23.58 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest concentration of available P, reaching 23.58 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, was observed in treatment T<sub>9</sub>. This notable increase is likely attributed to the enhanced solubility of phosphorus, primarily facilitated by the application of inorganic fertilizers. Inorganic fertilizers serve as a readily accessible source of nutrients, as evidenced by the substantial rise in soil P availability. Conversely, the lowest available P content was recorded in treatment T<sub>8</sub>, registering only 9.66 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. This variation underscores the significance of sustainable agricultural practices that emphasize nutrient cycling and overall soil health. By optimizing the



**Fig. 5. Impact of crop residue and green manure on soil available phosphorus**



**Fig. 6. Impact of crop residue and green manure on soil available potassium**

delicate balance between nitrogen application and organic matter decomposition, farmers can effectively enhance nutrient dynamics. This, in turn, contributes to more productive and environmentally sustainable agricultural practices. In the 15-30 cm soil layer, the available P content ranged from 8.84 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 20.63 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Treatment T9 once again displayed the highest soil available phosphorus concentration at 20.63 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, while the lowest value of 8.84 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was associated with treatment T8. In the second trial, the available phosphorus (P) content spanned a range of 8.35 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 26.69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> within the 0-15 cm soil depth, and within the 15-30 cm depth, it exhibited a variation from 7.70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 21.78 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. A

congruous trend was observed in the second trial. This observation underscores the importance of implementing holistic agricultural methods that promote both nutrient cycling and the overall health of the soil. The observed increase in phosphorus availability within the soil plots when both fertilizers and organic sources are applied concurrently, as opposed to their individual application, underscores the synergistic effects of combining these nutrient sources. This combined application synergistically enhances phosphorus levels in the soil by facilitating the release of organic acids during the decomposition of organic materials. These organic acids play a pivotal role in solubilizing phosphorus, thereby aiding in the

release of native phosphorus compounds within the soil matrix. These findings align with previous research by Kumar et al. [21], Ghosh et al. (2012), and Pooniya et al. (2016), providing robust scientific support for the observed effects of nutrient management strategies on soil phosphorus dynamics.

**Available Potassium:** Soil available K (Fig. 6) was higher in surface soil (0-15 cm) than the sub surface soil layer (15-30 cm). In the first year, at 0-15 cm the available potassium varied from 148.91 to 178.46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest soil available potassium was reported under the treatment T<sub>9</sub> (178.46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), while the lowest was under T<sub>8</sub> (148.91 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The data indicate that all the treatments having crop residue (T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>7</sub>) were inferior to T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>9</sub>. From the data, T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>10</sub> were significantly superior to T<sub>3</sub>, while T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> were at par with T<sub>3</sub> treatment. At 15-30 cm, available potassium varied from 133.37 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 165.40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest soil available potassium was reported under the treatment T<sub>9</sub> (165.40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) while the lowest in T<sub>8</sub> (133.37 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Similar trend was observed in the second year. At 0-15 cm available soil K ranged from 136.48 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 183.07 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and at 15-30 cm, soil available soil K ranged from 122.51 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 165.89 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Finding shows significant differences in available potassium levels in both surface (0-15 cm) and sub-surface (15-30 cm) soil layers due to the incorporation of crop residue with organic and inorganic nutrient sources. These findings highlight the substantial impact of these treatments on soil potassium availability, a critical factor for plant growth and crop productivity. Among all the treatments where Pusa decomposer was applied the maximum available nitrogen was observed in T<sub>3</sub>. This might be due to the reason that application of crop residue secreted organic acid during process of decomposition which led to mineralization of the fixed potassium and increased the availability of potassium [22-25].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The integration of rice-wheat residue had a discernible impact on various soil properties, including pH, electrical conductivity (EC), and the levels of available nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium, and soil organic carbon, both within the surface (0-15 cm) and sub-surface (15-30 cm) soil layers. Notably, treatment T<sub>9</sub>, characterized by 150 percent recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) comprising solely inorganic nutrient sources, exhibited superior

results compared to the other treatments. However, it's worth noting that this approach may not prove economically sustainable in the long run. Consequently, treatment T<sub>10</sub>, involving 100 percent RDF along with farmyard manure (FYM) at a rate of 5 tonnes per hectare, zinc (Zn) at 25 kg per hectare, and boron (B) at 5 kg per hectare, emerged as a promising alternative. Similarly, treatment T<sub>3</sub>, combining 50 percent residue, 50 percent RDF, and the application of Pusa decomposer, also demonstrated potential for maintaining soil health. These treatments present a balance between immediate and readily available nutrients from inorganic fertilizer sources and the enduring nutrient provision and soil quality enhancement afforded by organic sources. Consequently, they appear to be sound choices for sustainable soil management practices.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Kaur N, Vashist KK, Brar AS. Energy and productivity analysis of maize based crop sequences compared to rice-wheat system under different moisture regimes. *Energy*. 2021;216:119286.
2. Dhanda S, Yadav A, Yadav DB, Chauhan BS. Emerging issues and potential opportunities in the rice-wheat cropping system of North-Western India. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2022;13: 832683.
3. Gupta RK, Shukla AK, Ashraf M, Ahmed ZU, Sinha RKP, Hobbs PR. Options for establishment of rice and issues constraining its productivity and sustainability in eastern gangetic plains of Bihar, Nepal and Bangladesh (No. CIS-5461. CIMMYT.); 2002.
4. Mandal KG, Misra AK, Hati KM, Bandyopadhyay KK, Ghosh PK, Mohanty M. Rice residue-management options and effects on soil properties and crop productivity. *Journal of Food Agriculture and Environment*. 2004;2:224-231.
5. Singh F, Kumar R, Pal S. Integrated nutrient management in rice-wheat cropping system for sustainable productivity. *Journal of the Indian society of Soil Science*. 2008;56(2):205-208.
6. Mandal KG, Misra AK, Hati KM, Bandyopadhyay KK, Ghosh PK, Mohanty M. Rice residue-management options and

- effects on soil properties and crop productivity. *Journal of Food Agriculture and Environment*. 2004;2:224-231.
7. Chen B, Liu E, Tian Q, Yan C, Zhang Y. Soil nitrogen dynamics and crop residues. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*. 2014;34:429-442.
  8. Samra JS, Kumar K. Managing crop residues in the rice-wheat system of the Indo-Gangetic Plain. In *Improving the productivity and sustainability of rice-wheat systems: Issues and impacts*. Proceedings of an international symposium, held at the 2001 Annual Meetings of the American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, and Soil Science Society of America, Charlotte, NC, USA, 22 October 2001. American Society of Agronomy. 2003; 173-195.
  9. Kumar K, Goh KM. Crop residues and management practices: Effects on soil quality, soil nitrogen dynamics, crop yield, and nitrogen recovery. *Advances in Agronomy*. 1999;68:197-319.
  10. Deshpande SB, Fehrenbacher JB, Ray BW. Mollisols of Tarai region of Uttar Pradesh, northern India, 2. Genesis and classification. *Geoderma*. 1971;6(3):195-201.
  11. Bouyoucos GJ. Directions for making mechanical analyses of soils by the hydrometer method. *Soil Science*. 1936;42(3):225-230.
  12. Jackson M. *Soil chemical analysis prentice*. Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi. 1967;498(1).
  13. Bower CA, Wilcox LV. Soluble salts. *Methods of soil analysis: Part 2 chemical and microbiological properties*. 1965;9:933-951.
  14. Walkley A. An examination of methods for determining organic carbon and nitrogen in Soils1. (With one text- figure.). *The Journal of Agricultural Science*. 1935;25(4):598-609.
  15. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in rice soils. *Curr. Sci*. 1956;25:259-260.
  16. Olsen SR. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate (No. 939). US Department of Agriculture; 1954.
  17. Gogoi B, Kalita B, Deori B, Paul SK. Soil properties under rainfed rice (*Oryza sativa*) crop as affected by integrated supply of nutrients. *International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Research*. 2015;3(6): 1720-1725.
  18. Harikesh AA, Singh G, Kumar S, Shivam R, Yadav P, Tiwari A, Kumar A, Yadav A. Effect of integrated nutrient management and plant geometry on soil properties and availability of nutrients under SRI technique of rice. *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem*. 2017;6(5):86- 89.
  19. Regar PL, Rao SS, Vyas SP. Crop residue management for increase Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) production under saline soils of arid fringes; 2005.
  20. Singh Y, Singh B. Efficient management of primary nutrients in the rice-wheat system. *Journal of Crop Production*. 2001; 4(1):23-85.
  21. Kumar S, Saha B, Saha S, Das A, Poddar P, Prabhakar M. Integrated nutrient management for enhanced yield, nutrients uptake and their use efficiency in rice under intensive rice-wheat cropping system. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci*. 2017;6:1958-1972.
  22. Yaduvanshi NPS, Sharma DR. Use of wheat residue and manures to enhance nutrient availability and rice-wheat yields in sodic soil under sodic water irrigation. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2007;55(3):330-334.
  23. Ali A, Singh G, Kumar S, Yadav RP, Tiwari A, Kumar A, Yadav A. Effect of integrated nutrient management and plant geometry on soil properties and availability of nutrients under SRI technique of rice. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2017; 6(5):86-89.
  24. FAO Rome, Italy *Food and Agriculture-Statistical Yearbook*. Publishwd by FAO, Rome. 2020;157.
  25. Nelson LB, Heidel H. *Soil analysis methods as used in the iowa state college soil testing laboratory*; 1952.

© 2023 Pathak et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108735>