



Comparative Evaluation of Developed Onion Stem and Root Cutter Cum Sorting Machine with Manual Cutting Method

Kanhaiya Lal ^{a++*}, R. K. Naik ^{a#} and H. S. Tuteja ^{a++}

^a Department of Farm Machinery and Power Engineering, SVCAET & RS, IGKV, Raipur, (Chhattisgarh), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i214074

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/109215>

Original Research Article

Received: 01/09/2023
Accepted: 06/11/2023
Published: 09/11/2023

ABSTRACT

In India, manual cutting of onion stem and root is still a practice. This is very labour intensive, drudgery prone and time consuming operation. For this reason, an onion stem and root cutter cum sorting machine that can be easily adapted in farmer's field was developed. In this study, comparative evaluation and analysis of a developed onion stem and root cutter cum sorting machine with manual cutting method was performed. Major objective was to assess the performance, labor requirements and economic benefits of using the onion stem and root cutter over the manual cutting technique. The developed onion stem and root cutter cum sorting machine was designed to efficiently stem and root cut and separate grade onion bulbs from the onion crop with minimizing damage. The study was conducted in an onion field, comparing the cutter performance with the manual cutting method commonly employed in the region. The cost of the

⁺⁺ Ph.D. Scholar;

[#] Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: thakurkhanhaiyalal@gmail.com;

machine is found as 61190.00 Rs. with breakeven point was calculated as 139.79 h/year and payback period was found to be 3.38 years taking profit as 20%. The newly developed machine saves 70.37% cost and 92.59% labour as compared to traditional sickle and 46.07 % cost and 82.60 % labour as compared to battery operated onion stem and root cutter at desired stem length.

Keywords: Onion; stem and root; cutter; performance; cost operations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is one of the most popular vegetable crops, consumed in various forms and it is an important commercial crop that is widely grown in different parts of the world. In India, onions are grown in three crop seasons: *Kharif*: July–August, *Late Kharif*: October–November and *Rabi*: December–January. This crop can be grown throughout the year thus available in all season. *The Rabi* season onion crop occupies highest annual production, accounting for about 60 percent, with *Kharif* and *late Kharif* accounting for about 20 percent each. India is the second-largest producer of onions, followed by China. The total production of onion in India is 22.07 MT with a yield of 16.78 t ha⁻¹ (from a cultivation area of 1.28 Mha) [1].

Presently harvesting is done manually by farm women. Individual onions are picked and detopping is done by using sickle. This operation is time consuming and highly drudgery in nature [2].

Battery-operated onion stem and root cutter machines offer convenience and efficiency in the small farms, but they come with limitations such as battery life, maintenance, and cost considerations [3,4]. Users should weigh these disadvantages against the benefits to determine if such a machine is suitable for their specific needs.

The developed onion stem and root cutter is a power-operated machine designed to adapt to the farmer's field conditions. Specifically, to sustainably utilize the locally designed power operated not just for onion harvester and detopping, but also for other operations on the farm. Thereby reducing the cost of investing in another power source to operate the machine. A farmer with high farm power beyond the requirement diminishes profit returns and prolongs the investment recovery [5-9]. Hence, to assist local farmers in investing in this newly developed onion stem and root cutter machine, this study of investment cost analysis was conceptualized. Specifically, the cost parameters

involves calculation of cost of operations, breakeven point and payback period and the comparison between cost of a manual and mechanical onion stem and root cutting machine (which is power-operated). Disclosing this information to farmers helps them make smart decisions in selecting the appropriate which is suitable for onion stem and root-cutting machine. Purposely, to considerably decrease stem and root cutting expenditures, thereby giving opportunities to generate more income and an increased quality of work. By providing farmers with information on investment cost parameters and comparing manual and onion stem and root cutting machines, they can make correct decisions on the most cost-effective option. This not only reduces farming expenses but also improves the overall efficiency and productivity of their work, leading to higher profits and improved output quality. Therefore it is proposed to develop a mechanical stem and root cutting with sorting machine to reduce drudgery, timeliness of operation, reducing labour requirement and cost of operation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Research design: This study involves analyzing of data collection from local onion farmers, the cost of labour, time and efficiency in both manual and developed onion stem and root cutting operations. It focused on the cost and performance comparison using manual and the developed onion stem and root cutter. The economic measures were calculated using established equations to determine the potential benefits of using the developed cutter compared to manual methods.

Cost analysis of onion stem and root cutting machine: Cost economics of onion stem and root cutting machine was determined on the basis of labour charges, material price, repair and maintenance. The IS: 9164 code was used to calculate the cost of machine. The Cost of operation depends on fabrication cost of machine, maintenance and labour cost. The developed onion stems and root cutter operational cost was divided into fixed and

variable cost. The fixed cost was calculated by assuming the rates prevailing in the market for mild steel. The variable cost was worked out by taking the hire charges prevailing in this region for operator [10]. Details and calculation involved in cost analysis is described in below Eq.1 to 9.

Fixed cost:

Depreciation: It is commonly used for determination of depreciation of agricultural machine. This cost reflects the reduction in value of a machine with use (wear) and time (obsolescence). It is the loss of value of a machine with the passing of time and calculated by the formula (IS 9164: 1979). It is calculated as

$$D = \frac{C-S}{L \times H} \quad (1)$$

Where,

- D = Depreciation per hour;
- C = Initial cost of implement, Rs;
- S = Salvage value @ 10 % of C, Rs.
- L = Working life of machine in years; and
- H = Number of working hours per year;

Interest: Interest was calculated on the average investment of the machine, taking into consideration the value of the machine in first and last year (IS 9164: 1979)

$$I = \frac{C-S}{2} \times \frac{i}{H} \quad (2)$$

Where,

- C = Initial cost of implement, Rs;
- S = Salvage value @ 10 % of C, Rs.
- I = Interest per hour; and
- i = 10% per year
- H = Number of working hours per year;

Housing: Housing cost was calculated on the basis of the prevailing rate of the locality and generally taken as 1% of the initial cost of the machine per year. (IS 9164: 1979)

$$HC = \frac{C}{H} \times \frac{1}{100} \quad (3)$$

Insurance: Insurance charge is taken on the basis of actual payment to the insurance company but roughly speaking, it may be taken 1% of initial cost of machine per year.

Taxes: It may be taken as 1 % of the initial cost of machine per year.

Operating cost: The variable costs are those cost which incurred due to operation of the machine.

Electricity cost: The power tariff by whopping around 34 per cent for the agriculture consumers. As against the existing tariff of Rs 4.10 per unit.

Repair maintenance cost: Cost of repairs and maintenance varies between 5 to 10% of the initial cost of the machine per year. (IS 9164: 1979)

Labour wages: Wages of labour was calculated on the basis of actual wages of the worker in present time. (IS 9164: 1979). Wages were calculated on the basis of the actual wages of the workers @ Rs 300/day (8 h/day)

$$\text{Labour cost} = \text{Number of worker} \times \text{Wage per hour (Rs)} \quad (4)$$

Total cost of machine: The total cost of develop machine was determined by summation of total fixed cost per hour with total variable cost per hour.

$$\text{Total Cost/h} = \text{Fixed Cost per hour} + \text{Variable Cost per hour} \quad (5)$$

Where,

- Total fixed cost = Depreciation + Interest + Housing + Insurance; and
- Total variable cost = Electricity cost + Repair and maintenance cost + Labour wages

Therefore,

$$\text{Total cost} = \text{Fixed cost} + \text{Variable cost}$$

Breakeven point (BEP): Breakeven point (BEP) is the point at which total expenses and total revenues are equal. It was calculated by using formula given in Equation 6. by (Dewett, 2004).

$$BEP = \frac{FC}{CH-C} \quad (6)$$

Where,

- BEP = Breakeven point, h⁻¹;
- FC = Annual fixed cost, ₹ y⁻¹;
- C = Operating cost, ₹ h⁻¹, and
- CH = Custom hiring charges, ₹ h⁻¹.
= (C + 20 per cent over head) + 20 per cent profit over new cost

Payback period calculation: To know the time required to get back the investing, payback period was determined for developed machine by using Equation 7 to 9. [11].

$$BP = \frac{IC}{ANP} \quad (7)$$

Where,

PBP = Payback period, year;
 IC = Initial cost of machine, ₹; and
 ANP = Average net annual profit, ₹ y⁻¹.

$$ANP = (CH - C) \times AU \quad (8)$$

$$AU = AA \times EC \quad (9)$$

Where,

CH = Custom hiring charges, ₹ h⁻¹;
 AA = Average annual use, h y⁻¹, and
 EC = Effective capacity of machine, ha h⁻¹.

The best payback period should be as shortest as possible. Getting repaid or recovering the initial cost of a machine or an investment should be achieved as quickly as possible.

Cost comparison of manual and power operated stem and root cutting: The manual and machine stem and root cutting of onion in kg/hr or ton/hr is actual data gathered during the performance test of the machine. A comparative study was done on capacity of machine and cost

of operation. The newly developed machine saves cost and saving over labour as compared to traditional sickle cost and saving over labour as compared to battery operated onion stem and root cutter at desired stem length. The average time for manual cutting and machine cutting was calculated. The cost of mechanical cutting was calculated using the data collected for capacity purpose.

Power operated onion stem and root cutter cum sorting machine:

The onion stem and root cutter cum sorting machine shown in Fig. 1 is an onion stem and cutter machine capable of cutting, grading, and collecting onion bulbs in one operation. This machine has 19 grooves in it. 5–6 onion crops are placed in each groove. This machine is driven by an electric motor with a crank, cutter bar, and brush unit that cuts the stem and root of the onion and collects onion bulbs in different three-grade sizes. The parts were made of locally available materials and fabricated by a local manufacturer. It has five main assemblies: the mainframe, cutter bar and brush unit, and power transmission system, and outlet tray. The developed power operated onion stem and root cutter cum sorting machine technical parameters involved in the calculation.

Manual stem and root cutting: The manual stem and root cutting of onion shown in Fig. 2 is the pillar for the development of this study.




Fig. 1. Developed onion stem and root cutter cum sorting machine



Fig. 2. Manual cutting of onion stem and root (sickle)

Battery operated onion root and shoot cutting machine: This machine consists of blade, DC motor and plates assembly which is mounted on top side of stable platform on frame. It operates on charging spray pump battery. The power operated onion leaf and root cutter is operated by 12 watt single phase DC motor having 150 rated rpm. When power is supplied to the machine, the DC motor turns direct current into mechanical energy, which aids in the rotation of the blade. The blade is inserted between the plates, which include two slots for onion insertion, allowing the roots and leaves to be sliced independently. The onions are inserted in the slots manually, after that the cutting of root and shoot bulb moves downward. On a single machine, two people can cut the leaves and roots at the same time.



Fig. 3. Battery operated onion root and shoot cutting machine

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cost economics of different onion stem and root cutting methods: Cost of prototype of onion stem and root cutter cum sorting machine is estimated as ₹ 61190.00. Following assumption was made for economic analysis of

power operated onion stem and root cutter cum sorting machine:

- a) Expected life = 10 years
- b) Annual Working hour (H) = 250 h y⁻¹
- c) Salvage value (S)= 10% of initial cost
- d) Rate of interest = 10% per annum
- e) Labour required = 02
- f) Electricity cost = Electricity consumed (kW) × Electricity charges (Rs/kWh)
- g) Repair and maintenance = 5% of initial cost
- h) Shelter, insurance and tax cost = 2% of initial cost

However, when both fixed costs and operational costs were taken into account, the machinery cost per hour was computed to be Rs. 240.00, as compared with other cutting methods, as seen in Table 1.

The machine breakeven point was calculated as 139.79 h/year and payback period was found to be 3.38 years taking profit as 20%. The costs associated with each stage of cutting straw are listed in Table 1. An onion farmer could easily accomplish these operating hours per year.

Comparison of different onion stem and root cutting methods:

A comparative study was done on capacity of machine and cost of operation (Table 1 and Table 2). It shows that the newly developed machine saves 70.37% cost and saving over labour 92.59% as compared to traditional sickle and 46.07 % cost and saving over labour 82.60 % as compared to battery operated onion stem and root cutter at desired stem length. It was also observed that the present developed machine is far better and efficient than the traditional. The cost of operation by the developed onion stem and root cutter was recorded as Rs 240/t, where as for the traditional method (sickle) and for battery operated cutter it was Rs 810/t and Rs 445/t. The average capacity of the machine was 544 kg h⁻¹ and requires only 0.2 man days to complete 1 ton onion stem and root along with sorting in a day, whereas in case of traditional methods the sorting also required additional labour charges. The result showed that the cutting capacity of human has a mean of 45 kg/hr while the cutting capacity of human has a mean of 108 kg/hr. Onion Stem and Root Cutter Cum Sorting Machine cutting efficiency was found to be 544 kg/h shown in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1. Cost of operation of different onion stem and root cutting methods

Particulars	Developed machine	Stem and root cutting with sickle/ <i>Pahsul</i>	Battery operated onion stem and root cutter
Cost of machine (C), Rs.	61190.00	-	2500.00
Useful life of machine, year	10	-	2
Annual working hour, h	250	-	250
Salvage value (10% of C)	6119.00	-	250.00
Fixed cost			
Depreciation , Rs./h	22.03	-	0.90
Interest, Rs./h	11.01	-	0.45
Shelter, insurance and tax cost	4.90	-	0.20
Housing , Rs./h	2.45	-	-
Total fixed cost, Rs/h	40.39	-	1.55
Variable cost			
Electricity charges, Rs./unit	4.10	-	4.10
Electricity consumption, unit/h	0.74	-	1.1
Electricity cost, Rs/h	3.05	-	4.55
Repair and maintenance cost, Rs./h	12.24	-	0.50
Labour required,	2	1	2
Labour cost, Rs./day	300.00	300	300
Working hour, h/day	8	8	8
Labour wages, Rs./h	75.00	37.50	75.00
Total variable cost, Rs./h	90.29	37.50	80.05
Total cost of operation, Rs./h	130.68	37.50	81.60
Output capacity, t/h	0.544	0.015	0.108
Total cost of operation out, Rs./t	240.00	2500.00	755.00
Breakeven point, h/year	139.79	-	-
Payback period, year	3.38	-	-

Table 2. Comparison of average capacity, ton/h cutting operation machine/methods

S. No.	Cutting operation machine/methods	Capacity, kg/h	Man days per ton	Cost, Rs./ton	Saving in cost, %	Saving in labour
T1	Developed onion stem and root cutter	544	0.2	240.00	-	-
T2	Stem and root cutting with sickle/ <i>Pahsul</i>	45	2.7	810.00	70.37	92.59
T3	Battery operated onion stem and root cutter	108	1.15	445.00	46.07	82.60

4. CONCLUSION

The aim of this research paper was to compare the manual and power-operated onion stem and root cutter machines. Manual cutting is most common method of onion stem and root cutting in farmer field which is very labour intensive, drudgery prone and time consuming operation. Use of power operated machine for cutting stem and root with sorting onion crop is very limited. The research found that the power-operated onion stem and root cutter cum sorting machine had a capacity of 544 kg/h, while the specific capacity of the manual onion stem and root cutter machine was only 200 kg/h. This significant difference in capacity indicates that power-operated machines can process and handle a much larger quantity of onions in a shorter amount of time, thereby increasing productivity and reducing labor costs. The cost of the machine is found as 61190.00 Rs. with breakeven point was calculated as 139.79 h/year and payback period was found to be 3.38 years taking profit as 20%. The newly developed machine saves 70.37% cost and 92.59% labour as compared to traditional sickle and 46.07 % cost and 82.60 % labour as compared to battery operated onion stem and root cutter at desired stem length.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researchers acknowledge the Department of Farm Machinery and Power Engineering, SV CAET& RS, IGKV Raipur, Chhattisgarh and AICRP on FIM, IGKV Raipur, for supporting the study and above all, to Almighty God for letting his blessings overflow.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Kumawat, Lokesh, Raheman, Hifjur. Mechanization in Onion Harvesting and its Performance: A Review and a Conceptual Design of Onion Harvester from Indian Perspective. Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series A. 2022;103. DOI:10.1007/s40030-021-00611-3.
2. Rathinakumari AC, Senthil Kumaran G. Onion detopping machine - an emerging horticultural enterprising. Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2022;17(1):199-205.
3. Gopakkali P, Sharanappa. Effect of organic farming practices on growth, yield, quality and economics of onion (*Allium cepa*) in dry zone of Karnataka. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2014;59(2):336-340.
4. Gunathilake D, Wasala W, Palipane K. Design, development and evaluation of a size grading machine for onion. Procedia Food Science. 2016;6:103-107.
5. Kale VK, Kawade DK, Shinde TS, Vhanamane PS, Pagar K. Onion Leaf Cutting Machine. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology. 2018;5(4):2902-04.
6. Lorenzen Jr, C. "The development of a mechanical onion harvester." Agricultural Engineering. 1950;31(1):13-15.
7. Lorenzo Abenavoli M, Giametta F, Morabito S. Onion: harvesting and postharvesting mechanized operations. CIGR International Conference- Beijing Sponsored by CIGR, CSAM and CSAE Beijing, China; 2005.
8. Nadeem, Muhammad, Ghani, Muhammad. (Muhammad Nadeem, Abdul Jabbar, Faisal Baig, Hafiz Usman Ghani, Waseem Akram, Kamran Ikram). Cost analysis of engine operated reaper and its comparison. J. Glob. Innov. Agric. Soc. Sci., 2018;6(1):1-6.
9. Naik MA, Pateriya RN, Ramulu, Ch. Optimization of performance parameters of onion digger with cutter bar topping unit. Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series A. 2021;103: 71-79.
10. Kamboj, Parminder, Khurana R, Dixit, A. Farm machinery services provided by selected cooperative societies. 2012;14: 123-133.
11. Reddy AS, Loh S, Kane RA. Budget variance analysis of a department wide implementation of a PACS at a major academic medical center. Journal of Digital Imaging. 2006;19(1):66-71.

© 2023 Lal et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/109215>