



Effect of Chemicals and Biopesticides against Yellow Stem Borer [*Scirpophaga incertulas* (Walker)] at Prayagraj

Nikhil Singh ^{a*}, Anoorag R Tayde ^a, Akhilesh Tripathi ^b
and Jaimala Barwa ^c

^a Department of Entomology Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences,
Prayagraj (U.P), India.

^b Department of Entomology, KAPG, Prayagraj, U.P, India.

^c Department of Entomology, IGKV, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i74752>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117977>

Original Research Article

Received: 05/04/2024

Accepted: 08/06/2024

Published: 14/06/2024

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted at the research field of Department of Entomology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences Prayagraj, during *Kharif* season 2023. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications, Eight treatments were evaluated against yellow stem borer *Scirpophaga incertulas* viz., Spinosad 45SC @ 0.2ml/l, *Beauveria bassiana* (1x10⁸CFU/g) @ 1.5 g/l, Fipronil 5SC @ 2ml/l, Acephate95SG @ 682ga.i/ha, *Metarhiziumanisopliae* (1.15% CFU) @2000g/ha, Lamda Cyhalothrin 5EC@ 0.5ml/l,

*Corresponding author: E-mail: nikhil22199@gmail.com;

Cite as: Singh, Nikhil, Anoorag R Tayde, Akhilesh Tripathi, and Jaimala Barwa. 2024. "Effect of Chemicals and Biopesticides Against Yellow Stem Borer [*Scirpophaga Incertulas* (Walker)] at Prayagraj". *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 36 (7):448-52. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i74752>.

Bacillus thuringiensis (2x10⁹ POB) @1.5g/l, and Control. Among the different chemical and biopesticides, the pooled analysis of per cent dead hearts were recorded least in Spinosad45SC which was the most effective treatment with (12.98% and 13.93%) mean dead heart percent, followed by Lamda Cyhalothrin 5EC (13.27% and 14.63%), Acephate95SG (13.58% and 15.06%) and Fipronil 5SC (14.10% and 15.29%). The next best treatments were found to be *Beauveria bassiana* (1x10⁸CFU/g) (14.38% and 15.67%), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (2x10⁹ POB) (14.64 and 15.94%), *Metarhizium anisopliae* (1.15%CFU) (14.97 and 16.19%) and the highest dead heart percent infestation of *Scirpophaga incertulas* (Walker) was found in Control (15.77% and 18.54%). The highest yield and cost benefit ratio was recorded from Spinosad (51.5q/hac) and (1:4.51), followed by Lamda Cyhalothrin (45q/hac) and (1:4.35), Acephate (43q/hac) and (1:4.18).

Keywords: Biopesticides; chemicals; cost benefit ratio; efficacy; paddy; *Scirpophaga incertulas*.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa*) is the most important cereal food crop in the world, belongs to family *Poaceae*. The word "Cereals" comes from "Ceres", name of a Roman Goddess, means 'Giver of Grains'. Rice is the major staple food for more than half of the World's population [1-3]. "It is a grain of life for more than 90% of the world's rice is grown and consumed in Asia, known as rice bowl of the world, where 60% of the earth's people and two third of world's poor lives. Rice crop is infested with more than 100 species of insects, but 20 species are of economic importance. Insect pests viz., stem borer, leaf folder, gall midge and plant hoppers are the major constraints in achieving desired level of rice yield [4-6]. Yellow stem borer is one of the widely distributed, dominant and monophagous pest of paddy in the Indian subcontinent and forms dead hearts in younger plant at the vegetative stages result in destruction of growing point and white ears head bearing panicles at the panicle bearing stage in older plant [7-11]. The average yield loss in rice have been accounted for 30% loss in stem borers" [1,12,13].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiment was conducted at Central Research Farm of Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Science, Prayagraj, UP during *Kharif* season 2023-24. The site selected was uniform, cultivable with typical sandy loam soil having good drainage. Trail was laid out in randomized block design consist of eight treatment including control. Each treatment was replicated thrice, and Basmati PB1121 seeds were sown and transplanted at a spacing of 20x15cm. The treatment used were Spinosad45SC@0.2ml/l, *Beauveria bassiana*(1x10⁸CFU/g) @1.5g/l, Fipronil5SC @

2ml/l, Acephate 95SG @682ga.i/ha, *Metarhizium anisopliae* (1.15%CFU) @ 2000g/h Lamda Cyhalothrin5EC@0.5ml, *Bacillus thuringiensis* (2x10⁹ POB)@1.5g/l. Two spray were done on 8th September 2023 and second on 23rd September 2023. The observations on no. of dead heart were recorded on five randomly selected plants per treatment. First count was done one day before application of treatment and post treatments counts were made after 3,7 and 14 days. Two sprays were given with an interval of 15 days.

3. RESULTS

All insecticides were significantly superior over control in reducing the dead heart percent of stem borer recorded at 3rd, 7th and 14th day after first spray (Table 1). Among all the treatment Spinosad (12.98%) was found to be superior but it was lower than Lamda Cyhalothrin (13.27%) which was the check treatment followed by Acephate (13.58%), Fipronil (14.10%), *Beauveria bassiana* (14.38%). However, *Bacillus thuringiensis* (14.64%) and *Metarhizium anisopliae* (14.97%) found to be least effective in managing yellow stem borer.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

From the present study, the results showed that Spinosad 45SC (T1), is the most effective treatment against yellow stem borer and produces maximum yield, and recorded the highest Cost-Benefit ratio compared to other treatments. From the critical analysis of the present findings it can be concluded that yellow stem borer increase with maximum temperature and decreased with decline in minimum temperature. Insecticides like Lamda Cyhalothrin 5EC (T6) and Acephate 95SG (T4) was found significantly superior than other treatments.

Table 1. Efficacy of different treatments against yellow stem borer [*Scirpophaga incertulas*] during *kharif* season 2023-24

S.N.	Treatments	Day	Dead heart (%)								Yield q/ha	C:B ratio	
			Before spray	1 st Spray				2 nd Spray					
				3 DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS	Mean	3 DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS			Mean
T ₁	Spinosad 45SC@0.2ml/l	11.51	12.27 ^C	13.11 ^e	13.58 ^d	12.98 ^f	13.75 ^d	13.96 ^e	14.09 ^e	13.93 ^f	51.5	1:4.51	
T ₂	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> (1x10 ⁸ CFU/g) @1.5g/l	11.50	13.58 ^{abc}	14.55 ^{abc}	15.02 ^{abc}	14.38 ^{cd}	15.29 ^{bc}	15.55 ^{bc}	16.18 ^{bcd}	15.67 ^{bc}	35.5	1:3.39	
T ₃	Fipronil 5SC @2ml/l	11.66	13.12 ^{bc}	14.31 ^{bcd}	14.88 ^{bc}	14.10 ^d	15.03 ^{bc}	15.29 ^{bcd}	15.56 ^{bcd}	15.29 ^{cd}	37	1:3.43	
T ₄	Acephate 95SG@682g a.i/ha	11.57	12.45 ^c	13.75 ^{cde}	14.55 ^{bcd}	13.58 ^e	14.88 ^{bcd}	15.03 ^{cd}	15.29 ^{cde}	15.06 ^{de}	43	1:4.18	
T ₅	<i>Metarhizium anisopilae</i> (1.15%CFU) @2000g/ha	11.28	14.09 ^{ab}	15.29 ^{ab}	15.55 ^{ab}	14.97 ^b	15.76 ^b	16.18 ^b	16.65 ^b	16.19 ^b	29.5	1:2.83	
T ₆	Lamda Cyhalothrin 5EC@0.5ml/l	11.43	12.38 ^c	13.34 ^{de}	14.09 ^{cd}	13.27 ^{ef}	14.31 ^{cd}	14.55 ^{de}	15.03 ^{de}	14.63 ^e	45	1:4.35	
T ₇	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> Var(<i>kurstaki</i>)(2x10 ⁹ POB) @ 1.5g/l	11.33	13.60 ^{abc}	15.03 ^{ab}	15.29 ^{abc}	14.64 ^{bc}	15.56 ^b	15.76 ^{bc}	16.50 ^{bc}	15.94 ^b	31.5	1:2.94	
T ₈	Control	11.44	14.95 ^a	15.56 ^a	16.82 ^a	15.77 ^a	17.37 ^a	18.54 ^a	19.72 ^a	18.54 ^a	25	1:2.46	
	F-Test	NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S			
	S.Ed.(±)	NS	0.74	0.50	0.55	0.18	0.89	0.58	0.60	0.29			
	CD (0.05)(0.05)	NS	1.59	1.11	1.27	0.35	1.18	0.97	1.34	0.56			

Followed next effective treatment found was Fipronil(T₃), *Beauveria bassiana* (1x10⁸ CFU/g) (T₂). However, *Bacillus thuringiensis* (2x10⁹ POB) (T₇) and *Metarhizium anisopilae* (T₅) found to be least effective in managing yellow stem borer [*Scirpophaga incertulas* (Walker)] as an effective tool under chemical control. Hence, it is suggested that effective insecticides may be alternated along with biopesticides with the existing Integrated pest management programs to avoid the problems associated with insecticidal resistance, pest resurgence etc.

The data on 3,7,14 days after 2nd spray revealed that among all the treatment Spinosad (13.93%) was found to be superior but it was lower than Lamda Cyhalothrin (14.63%) which was the check treatment followed Acephate (15.06%), Fipronil (15.29%), and *Beauveria bassiana* (15.67%). However, *Bacillus thuringiensis* (15.94%) and *Metarhizium anisopilae* (16.19%) was found to be least effective in managing yellow stem borer.

The mean data of all observation regarding the efficacy of different treatments against yellow stem borer revealed the Spinosad (13.45%) was superior followed by Lamda Cyhalothrin (13.95%), Acephate (14.32%), Fipronil (14.69%), *Beauveria bassiana* (15.02%) *Bacillus thuringiensis* (15.29%) and *Metarhizium anisopilae* (15.58%) as compared to control (17.15%). In the result DH of yellow stem borer per hill were obtained in plot treated with Spinosad (13.45%), similar results were reported by Chatterjee and Mondal [14] who recorded 13.54 % dead heart. The result of Lamda Cyhalothrin 13.95% dead heart per hill are similar to the finding of Katel et al. [15] who reported 8.46% DH/hill from the treatment Lamda Cyhalothrin.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Suresh M, Tayde AR, Chandar AS. Comparative Efficacy of Selected

Chemicals with Biopesticides against Yellow Stem Borer, *Scirpophaga Incertulas* (Walker) on Paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.). Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change. [Internet]. 2023 Jul. 7 [cited 2024 May 22];13(9):590-4.

Available: <https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/article/view/2274>

2. Lal B, Dhurve V. Bio-efficacy of Different Biopesticides against Jassid, (*Amrasca biguttula* biguttula Ishida) Infesting Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. J. Exp. Agric. Int. [Internet]. 2024 Jan. 16 [cited 2024 May 22];46(1):37-4.

Available: <https://journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/article/view/2289>

3. Kardinan A, Maris P. Effect of biopesticides against stem borer (*Lophobaris piperis*) and Thrips sp. on pepper (*Piper nigrum*). In E3S Web of Conferences. EDP Sciences. 2021;306: 01022.

4. Chormule AJ, Kharbade SB, Patil SC, Tamboli ND. Bioefficacy of new insecticide molecules against rice yellow stem borer, *Scirpophaga incertulas* (Walker). The Ecoscan. 2014;6:63-67.

5. Muralidharan K, Pasalu IC. Assessments of crop losses in rice ecosystems due to stem borer damage (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Crop Protection. 2021;25(5): 409-417.

6. Nile BM, Kumar A. Efficacy of different chemicals and biopesticides against yellow stem borer [*Scirpophaga incertulas* (Walker)] on rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). The Pharama Innovation International Journal. 2023;12(6):395-398.

7. Sah S, Sharma R. Efficacy of eco-friendly insecticides against yellow stem borer under spring rice crop ecosystem of Saptari district, Nepal. Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science. 2023;8(2):112-115.

8. Sasmal A, Patro B, Sarangi PK. Bio-efficacy evaluation of some insecticides (solo and pre mixed) against major insect pests of rice. Journal of Crop and Weed. 2018;14(1):238-244.

9. Shyamrao, I. D. and Raghuraman M. Bio-efficacy of insecticides against yellow stem borer (*Scirpophaga incertulas* Walker) in rice (*O. sativa* L.) ecosystem of Varanasi region. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(2):301-304.

10. Singh B, Chatterjee S. Relative efficacy of some biorational and microbial insecticides against yellow stem borer and whorl

- maggot of boro paddy. Journal of Biopesticides. 2021;14(2):90-96.
11. Singh P, Singh R, Dhaka SS, Kumar D, Kumar H, Kumari N. Bioefficacy of insecticides and bio-pesticides against yellow stem borer, *Scirpophaga incertulas* (walk.) and their effect on spiders in rice crop. South Asian Journal. Food Technology. Environment. 2015;1:179-183.
 12. Omprakash S, Venkataiah M, Laxman S. Comparative efficacy of some new insecticides against rice yellow stem borer, *Scirpophaga incertulas* Walker under field conditions. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2017;5(5):1126-1129.
 13. Reddy LPV, Rao SRK, Krishna TM, Rao CS, Padmodaya B, Naidu GM. Bioefficacy of certain insecticides against rice yellow stem borer, *Scirpophaga incertulas* (Walker). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(4):2625-2628.
 14. Chatterjee S, Mondal P. Management of rice yellow stem borer, *Scirpophaga incertulas* Walker using some biorational insecticides. Journal of Biopesticides. 2014;7:143.
 15. Katel S, Timsina S, Adhikari N. Efficacy of commercially available insecticides against yellow stem borer (*Scirpophaga incertulas* Walker.). Journal of Agriculture and Applied Biology. 2023;4(1):39-47.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117977>