



# Integrated Management Modules for Root-knot Nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*) in Tuberose

P Vetrivelkai<sup>a\*</sup>, Naga Jothi<sup>b</sup>, J Berliner<sup>c</sup>,  
T SenthilKumar<sup>a</sup> and V Priyadharshini<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Nematology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, 641 003, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Crop and Soil Science, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA.

<sup>c</sup> ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, Regional Station, Wellington, 643231, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author PV designed the study, conducted the statistical analysis, and developed the protocol. Author NJ prepared the initial draft of the manuscript. Authors JB and VP oversaw the analyses of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2025/v37i15289>

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/130640>

Original Research Article

Received: 24/11/2024

Accepted: 28/01/2025

Published: 31/01/2025

## ABSTRACT

**Aims:** Identification of effective Integrated Nematode Management for managing *Meloidogyne incognita* in tuberose.

**Methodology:** The *In vitro* experiment was conducted in nematode lab and field study experiment performed in sick field of Field No. 10, Botanical Garden, Department of Floriculture and Landscaping, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu. The experiment was conducted in tuberose cv.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [vetrinem@gmail.com](mailto:vetrinem@gmail.com);

**Cite as:** Vetrivelkai, P, Naga Jothi, J Berliner, T SenthilKumar, and V Priyadharshini. 2025. "Integrated Management Modules for Root-Knot Nematode (*Meloidogyne Incognita*) in Tuberose". *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 37 (1):490-501. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2025/v37i15289>.

Prajwal under drip as well as surface irrigated conditions with the spacing of 30 X 20 cm following randomized block design (RBD) with ten treatment modules and replicated thrice as mentioned below.

**Results:** The *in vitro* studies revealed that *Acorus calamus* and *Swietenia mahogany* culture filtrates showed spectacular ovicidal and juvenile mortality effects against *Meloidogyne incognita*, with 100% juvenile mortality and more than 93% egg hatching inhibition after 72 hours. Biocontrol agents among them, *Purpureocillium lilacinum*, recorded the highest percentage of ovicidal (91.35%) and juvenile mortality (95.67%). Among the management modules, the highest yield attributes such as early emergence of spike (100 days), spike length (78.30 cm), number of florets per spike (48.00) and flower yield (66.82g) was recorded in the module of bulb treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10g/kg bulbs + soil application of Carbofuran @ 1kg a.i./ha+ post plant application of *P. fluorescens* @ 2.5 kg/ha mixed with FYM @ 1 t/ha, while the lowest nematode population in soil (145.00) and root (52.33), least gall index (1.66) was recorded in the management module consisting of bulb treatment with carbosulfan 25 ST at 3 per cent concentration + soil application of Carbofuran @ 1kg a.i./ha+ post plant application of *P. lilacinum* @ 2.5 kg/ha mixed with FYM @ 1 t/ha under field conditions.

**Conclusion:** According to the findings integrated management module reduced the nematode population compared to individual management methods.

**Keywords:** Tuberose; *Meloidogyne incognita*; management module; biological control methods.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Tuberose, *Agave amica* L., is a commercially important flower crop used as cut and loose flowers for its aesthetic value. It plays a major role in the perfumery industry, as it fetches a high market value. It is popularly known as 'Rajanigandha' in India. The production of loose and cut flowers is estimated to be 27.71 MT and 1560.70 lakh numbers, respectively. In Tamil Nadu, it is widely cultivated in the Coimbatore and Madurai districts. The area under tuberose cultivation in Tamil Nadu is 2166 ha, with a production of 36,389 tonnes and a productivity of 16.80 t/ha (Floral Daily, 2016). The area under tuberose cultivation and its production have increased from 2011 to 2023, although productivity has declined during the same period, as indicated by the compound annual growth rate (CAGR) (Rahini, 2022). Root-knot nematodes, alongside foliar nematodes (*Aphelenchoides besseyi*), are among the most devastating pests of tuberose (Khan et al., 2020). The commercial cultivation of tuberose is seriously limited by root-knot nematodes, *Meloidogyne* spp. (Sundarababu and Vadivelu, 1988). These nematodes cause yield losses in tuberose up to 13-14% (Ravichandra, 2008) and 14-15% (Grace et al., 2019), with infected plants showing yellowing, stunted growth, and moderate to severe galls on roots. In recent years, *M. incognita* has become a major threat to tuberose cultivation. Managing nematodes in tuberose is challenging, but practices such as using nematode-free planting material, maintaining

field cleanliness, and applying nematicides can help reduce their populations (Khan et al., 2020). Hot water treatment of planting materials is an effective method for eliminating nematode infections in vegetatively propagated crops (Khan et al., 2024). Therefore, cost-effective and eco-friendly management modules for *M. incognita* need to be developed, which can help reduce the nematode population and sustainably improve yield.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Maintenance of Pure Culture

Root samples were collected systematically from nematode-infested tuberose fields of the Botanical Garden of TNAU and Velliankadu village, Coimbatore. The identification of *Meloidogyne incognita* at the species level through morphological examination of perineal patterns in mature females, as Eisenback et al. (1981) described. Prior to the experiments, soil was sterilized at 121°C for 30 minutes to eradicate native nematodes and microbial contaminants. Tuberose seedlings (cv. Prajwal) were transplanted into sterilized earthen pots filled with a standardized potting mixture comprising red soil, sand, and farmyard manure in a 1:1:2 ratio. Two weeks after transplantation, juveniles of *M. incognita* (J<sub>2</sub>) were inoculated in the rhizosphere at 2 J/g soil. Pure cultures of the nematode were grown and maintained under glasshouse conditions in the Department of

Nematology, TNAU, and are used for experimental studies.

## 2.2 In vitro Studies against *Meloidogyne incognita*

The *in vitro* activity of filtrates from both the biocontrol agents and the botanical formulations has been studied regarding egg hatching inhibition and mortality to the juvenile for *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Trichoderma asperellum* are selected for biocontrol studies, including *Purpureocillium lilacinum* and *Pochonia chlamydosporia*- egg-parasitic fungi- besides the plant extract formulation namely, *Swietenia mahogany* 60 EC, and extract from *Acorus calamus*. For the egg hatching study, 2 ml of fungal or bacterial suspension (100% concentration) or botanical formulation (2000 ppm) was poured into 5.0 cm Petri dishes containing a single egg mass of *M. incognita*.

$$\text{Hatching inhibition}(\%) = \frac{(\text{Total no. of eggs} - \text{no. of hatched eggs})}{\text{Total no. of eggs in treatment}} \times 100$$

Similarly, for juvenile mortality, 100 second-stage juveniles (J2) were added to Petri dishes containing 2 ml of each treatment. Egg masses or juveniles placed in distilled water served as controls. The dishes were incubated at  $26 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ . Hatching inhibition and anesthetized juveniles were recorded for 24, 48, and 72 hours. Confirmation of juvenile mortality was made using a revival test where nematodes were moved to distilled water and kept under observation for 12–24 hours. Mortality per cent was calculated and all the experiments were performed under laboratory conditions in completely randomized design, with three replicates per treatment. Treatments include,

- T1 – *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (TNAU- Pf1)
- T2 – *Trichoderma asperellum* (TRI1)
- T3 – *Paecilomyces lilacinum* (TNAU-PI-001)
- T4 – *Pochonia chlamydosporia* (TNAU-PC-001)
- T5 – *Acorus calamus* 60 EC
- T6- *Swietenia mahogany*
- T7- Control (distilled water)

$$\text{Mortality}(\%) = \frac{(\text{No. of dead juveniles in treatment})}{\text{Total no. of juveniles in treatment}} \times 100$$

## 2.3 Management Module on Tuberose Field

An integrated management module involving hot water treatment, chemical nematicides, biocontrol agents, and botanicals was tested for nematode management in tuberose (*Agave amica* L.) through a field experiment. The study was carried out on tuberose cv. Prajwal under both drip and surface irrigation systems. The experiment utilized a randomized block design (RBD) with a planting spacing of 30 x 20 cm. Ten treatment modules were evaluated with three replicates. The bulbs of tuberose were subjected to dormancy-breaking treatment with 3% thiourea for 12 hours and then disinfected with 0.1% bavistin to prevent fungal rot. The bulbs were divided into ten treatments with 9 different treatment groups, including untreated controls. Treated bulbs were shade-dried before planting. Soil application of Carbofuran 3G at 1 kg a.i./ha was done one week before planting. Biocontrol agents consisting of *P. lilacinum*, *P. chlamydosporia*, *P. fluorescens*, *T. asperellum*, and AM fungi were enriched with 1 t/ha of FYM, moistened for one week to ensure microbial proliferation, and incorporated at 2.5 kg/ha, 15 days after planting. The treatment include, Nematode populations in soil were quantified by collecting soil samples, which were processed using Cobb's decanting and sieving method (Cobb, 1918), followed by the modified Baermann's funnel technique (Schindler, 1961). The nematode population density was then estimated based on these methods. Root samples were washed, cut into 2–3 cm segments, and stained with 0.1% acid fuchsin-lactophenol, followed by destaining with lactophenol to remove excess stain. After 24 hours, stained roots were examined under a stereozoom microscope to count the number of adult females per 5 g of root tissue and to assess the root knot index. The root knot index was calculated according to the percentage of galls present on the root system, with scores ranging from 0 (no galls) to 5 (more than 75% galls) (Headle et al., 1989). Plant growth parameters, including days to spike emergence, spike length, number of florets per spike, and flower yield, were recorded. Flower yield was quantified by weighing 10 flowers per plant from each treatment, expressed in grams per plant, and compared across treatments under both drip and surface irrigation systems.

List 1. Treatment module for the field experiment

Module no.	Bulb Treatments	Soil application	Post plant application
T <sub>1</sub>	Hot water treatment @ 50°C for 15 minutes	Carbofuran 1kg a.i./ha	<i>P. lilacinum</i> @ 2.5 kg/ ha mixed with FYM @ 1t/ha
T <sub>2</sub>	Carbosulfan 25 ST @ 3% w/w	Carbofuran 1kg a.i./ha	<i>P. lilacinum</i> @ 2.5kg/ha mixed with FYM @ 1t/ha
T <sub>3</sub>	<i>Acorus calamus</i> @ 2000 ppm	Carbofuran 1kg a.i./ha <sup>1</sup>	Consortium of <i>P. lilacinum</i> and <i>P. chlamydosporia</i> @ 1.25 kg/ha each mixed with FYM @ 1t/ha
T <sub>4</sub>	<i>Sweetenia mahogany</i> @ 2000 ppm	Carbofuran 1kg a.i./ha	Consortium of <i>P. lilacinum</i> and <i>P. chlamydosporia</i> @ 1.25 kg/ha each mixed with FYM @ 1t/ha
T <sub>5</sub>	<i>Purpureocillium lilacinum</i> @ 10g/kg bulbs	Carbofuran 1kg a.i./ha <sup>1</sup>	<i>P. lilacinum</i> @ 2.5 kg/ha mixed with FYM @ 1t/ha
T <sub>6</sub>	<i>Pochonia chlamydosporia</i> @ 10g/kg bulbs	Carbofuran 1kg a.i./ha	<i>P. chlamydosporia</i> @ 2.5 kg/ha mixed with FYM @ 1t/ha
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> @ 10g/kg bulbs	Carbofuran 1kg a.i./ha	<i>P. fluorescens</i> @ 2.5kg/ha mixed with FYM @ 1t/ha
T <sub>8</sub>	<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> @ 10g/kg bulbs	Carbofuran 1kg a.i./ha	<i>T. asperellum</i> @ 2.5 kg/ha mixed with FYM @ 1t/ha
T <sub>9</sub>	Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi root powder @ 10g/kg bulbs	Carbofuran 1kg a.i./ha	AM fungi @ 6.25 kg/ha mixed with FYM @ 1t/ha
T <sub>10</sub>	Untreated control		

## 2.4 Statistical Analysis

The experimental design was a randomized block design (RBD) with ten different treatment modules. Each treatment of both trials (drip and surface irrigated condition) was replicated three times. The original data on plant yield attributes and nematode multiplication were analyzed by ANOVA using SPSS (Ver-9.01). The critical difference was worked out for 5 per cent (0.05) probability (Gomez and Gomez, 1985).

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Effect of Bioagents and Botanicals on Egg Hatching

In egg hatching study, the lowest egg hatching was recorded with *A. calamus* (20.66 eggs), followed by *S. mahogany* (21.00 eggs) with inhibition rates of 94.28% and 93.44% respectively, as compared to the control (150.66 eggs) at a 100% concentration of culture

filtrate after a 72-hour exposure period. Among the biocontrol agents, *P. lilacinum* showed the highest ovicidal effect with a 91.35% inhibition of egg hatching, followed by *P. chlamydosporia* with 90.97% inhibition after 72 hours. The highest number of hatched eggs (350.66) was recorded in the distilled water (Table 1). Our study is consistent with the findings of Vanitha (2016), where botanical formulations of *A. calamus* and *S. mahogany* exhibited 100% inhibition of *M. incognita* egg hatching. Furthermore, it aligns with the findings of Khan et al. (2023), which show that *P. lilacinum* effectively inhibited *M. incognita* egg hatching, achieving a maximum reduction of 62.8% at the standard concentration, with peak hatching observed after 48 hours. This highlights *P. lilacinum*'s ovicidal potential, likely due to bioactive compounds that disrupt egg viability. Culture filtrates of *P. chlamydosporia* isolates at varying concentrations (20–100%) showed significant nematocidal activity, with maximum egg hatch inhibition of 58.17% (Uddin et al., 2019).

### 3.2 Effect of Bioagents and Botanicals on Juvenile mortality

The study demonstrated a gradual increase in the mortality of *M. incognita* juveniles with extended exposure periods, with complete juvenile mortality (100%) observed for *A. calamus* and *S. mahogany* after 72 hours. Among the tested cell-free culture filtrates, *P. lilacinum* exhibited the highest juvenile mortality (95.67%), followed by *P. chlamydosporia* with 93.33% mortality after 72 hours. In contrast, no mortality was recorded in the distilled water control (Table 2). This supports the toxic effects of *A. calamus* and *S. mahogany* aligns with the observations of Vanitha (2016) and Mohana (2005), who reported their effectiveness against nematode juveniles. Additionally, *P. chlamydosporia* has been reported to induce juvenile mortality ranging from 11.3% to 76.3% (Uddin et al., 2019). The efficacy of *Trichoderma* spp. in juvenile mortality was highlighted by Khan et al. (2020), while Cannayane et al. (2007) demonstrated that *P. chlamydosporia* and *T. viride* at a 75% concentration caused juvenile mortality of up to 90%. Further supporting evidence comes from Khan et al. (2023), who observed the highest mortality (61%) with the standard suspension of *P. lilacinum*.

### 3.3 Field Assessment of Integrated Management Module

The findings of the present study demonstrate the efficacy of integrated management modules in reducing nematode populations and improving plant health and yield in tuberose cultivation. The nematode population in soil (145.00) and roots (52.33), along with the gall index (1.66), showed significant reductions when a management module comprising bulb treatment with carbosulfan 25 ST at 3% concentration, soil application of carbofuran at 1 kg a.i./ha, and post-plant application of *P. lilacinum* (2.5 kg/ha) mixed with FYM (1 t/ha) was implemented. Similarly, a module including bulb treatment with *A. calamus* at 2000 ppm, soil application of carbofuran, and post-plant consortial application of *P. lilacinum* and *P. chlamydosporia* (1.25 kg/ha each) mixed with FYM significantly reduced nematode populations. These management modules not only controlled nematode infestations but also enhanced yield attributes such as early spike emergence

(100 days), spike length (78.30 cm), number of florets per spike (48.00), and flower yield (66.82 g). Field trials have consistently validated the synergistic effects of biocontrol agents, botanicals, and organic amendments in managing nematodes (Figs 1,2,3,4). Phani Kumar (1997) demonstrated the efficacy of *P. lilacinum* (10 g/plant) and nematicides like carbofuran and phorate in reducing the pathogenic impact of *M. incognita* in tuberose, leading to improved growth and reduced gall formation. Similarly, Nagesh et al. (1997) highlighted the advantages of combining biocontrol agents with botanicals, such as neem leaf extracts, in significantly lowering root gall indices in tuberose. Supporting these results, Kavitha (2012) observed effective control of *M. incognita* in carnation through post-plant application of *P. lilacinum*, which enhanced plant growth and reduced gall formation. Botanicals have also proven effective in integrated management. Jothi et al. (2017) and Mishra et al. (2017) reported that neem oilcake at 1.5 t/ha, either alone or in combination with carbofuran, effectively suppressed nematode populations and promoted plant growth. Biocontrol agents, including *P. lilacinum*, *T. harzianum*, *P. fluorescens*, and *P. chlamydosporia*, have shown significant nematicidal effects, as validated by Saha and Khan (2016). Among these, *P. lilacinum* enriched with FYM (5 kg) was the most effective and cost-efficient treatment, achieving enhanced flower yield and reduced nematode infestations. These findings align with earlier reports by Ravichandra et al. (2007), Jonathan et al. (2009), and Muthulakshmi et al. (2010), which demonstrated improved growth and yield across various crops, such as tuberose, tomato, and mulberry, following the application of biocontrol agents. Kavitha (2012) further highlighted the benefits of *P. lilacinum* in reducing root-knot nematode populations and promoting better root development, spike emergence, and flower yield in carnations (Grace et al., 2019). Field trials showcasing a 20.97% yield increase and a 55.8% reduction in nematode incidence emphasize the synergistic role of botanicals, biocontrol agents, and organic amendments in sustainable nematode management strategies for tuberose cultivation (Rajamanickam et al., 2019). Bioformulations such as Neem cake, Neem leaf powder, Biover, Biozium, Biomonas, and AAU-Bioguard shows the efficacy to manage root knot nematodes as well as increased the floral characters of Tuberose (Neog et al., 2024).

**Table 1. Effect of Treatments on Root-Knot Nematode Egg Hatching**

Treatments	No. of egg hatching		
	24 hr	48hr	72hr
T1- <i>P.fluorescens</i> (TNAU-Pf1)	24.26 <sup>c</sup> (5.00)	41.66 <sup>d</sup> (6.45)	53.66 <sup>c</sup> (7.32)
T2 – <i>T. asperellum</i> (TRI1)	24 <sup>c</sup> (5.00)	41 <sup>d</sup> (6.40)	53 <sup>c</sup> (7.28)
T3 – <i>P.lilacinum</i> (TNAU- PI-001)	12.66 <sup>b</sup> (3.60)	22.64 <sup>b</sup> (4.70)	30.23 <sup>ab</sup> (5.82)
T4 – <i>P.chlamydosporia</i> (TNAU- PI-001)	16 <sup>b</sup> (4)	25 <sup>c</sup> (5)	31.65 <sup>a</sup> (5.6)
T5- <i>A. calamus</i>	10.33 <sup>a</sup> (3.30)	14 <sup>a</sup> (3.68)	20.66 <sup>a</sup> (4.53)
T6 – <i>S. mahogany</i>	10.66 <sup>a</sup> (3.30)	17.33 <sup>b</sup> (4.21)	23 <sup>a</sup> (4.80)
T7 – Control	71 <sup>d</sup> (8.42)	180 <sup>e</sup> (13.40)	350.66 <sup>d</sup> (18.70)
<b>Sed</b>	0.18	0.45	0.49
<b>CD(P=0.05)</b>	0.37	0.99	1.07

(Figures in parentheses are arc sine transformed values. The column followed by alphabet are significantly different from each other at 1% level by DMRT)

**Table 2. Effect of Treatments on juvenile mortality study**

Treatments	No. of juveniles mortality		
	24 hr	48hr	72hr
T1- <i>P.fluorescens</i> (TNAU-Pf1)	56 <sup>bc</sup> (7.52)	71.67 <sup>c</sup> (8.48)	89 <sup>ab</sup> (9.46)
T2 – <i>T. asperellum</i> (TRI1)	54.67 <sup>c</sup> (7.43)	73.23 <sup>c</sup> (8.57)	86.65 <sup>c</sup> (9.33)
T3 – <i>P.lilacinum</i> (TNAU- PI-001)	67.33 <sup>b</sup> (8.24)	83.33 <sup>b</sup> (9.16)	95.67 <sup>a</sup> (9.81)
T4 – <i>P.chlamydosporia</i> (TNAU- PI-001)	65 <sup>b</sup> (8.09)	80.33 <sup>b</sup> (8.99)	93.33 <sup>a</sup> (9.67)
T5- <i>A. calamus</i>	88 <sup>a</sup> (9.41)	96.33 <sup>a</sup> (9.84)	100 <sup>a</sup> (10)

Treatments	No. of juveniles mortality		
	24 hr	48hr	72hr
T6 – <i>S. mahogany</i>	83.33 <sup>a</sup> (9.16)	94.67 <sup>a</sup> (9.71)	100 <sup>a</sup> (10)
T7 – Control	0 <sup>d</sup> (0.71)	0 <sup>d</sup> (0.71)	0 <sup>d</sup> (0.71)
<b>Sed</b>	0.34	0.22	0.19
<b>CD(P=0.05)</b>	0.73	0.47	0.41

Table 3. Effect of different modules on yield attributes and *M. incognita* multiplication in tuberose under surface irrigation

Treatment modules	No. of days taken for spike emergence	Spike length (cm)	No. of flowers spike <sup>-1</sup>	Flower yield (g. plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Final nematode population (200 cc soil)	No. of females in 5 g roots	Gall Index
T <sub>1</sub>	111.00	68.24 (36.01)	35.33 (64.33)	51.08 (43.80)	180.33 (63.22)	74.00 (67.20)	3.00
T <sub>2</sub>	107.33	74.32 (48.13)	40.66 (89.11)	58.55 (64.84)	145.00 (70.29)	52.33 (76.81)	2.00
T <sub>3</sub>	100.33	77.20 (53.87)	45.50 (111.63)	64.30 (81.02)	150.33 (69.34)	56.66 (74.89)	2.00
T <sub>4</sub>	102.00	76.45 (52.38)	44.50 (106.98)	62.90 (77.08)	158.00 (67.77)	58.00 (74.30)	2.00
T <sub>5</sub>	103.66	75.80 (51.08)	42.67 (98.46)	61.43 (72.94)	165.00 (66.34)	60.33 (73.26)	2.33
T <sub>6</sub>	105.00	74.68 (48.85)	41.33 (92.23)	60.75 (71.03)	166.33 (66.07)	62.00 (72.52)	2.33
T <sub>7</sub>	100.00	78.30 (56.06)	48.00 (123.26)	66.82 (88.11)	168.66 (65.60)	64.66 (71.34)	2.50
T <sub>8</sub>	109.00	72.00 (43.51)	38.00 (76.74)	56.72 (59.69)	173.66 (64.71)	70.00 (69.00)	2.66
T <sub>9</sub>	110.66	70.55 (40.62)	37.66 (75.16)	54.88 (54.51)	170.66 (65.19)	66.33 (70.60)	2.50
T <sub>10</sub>	132.00	50.17	21.5	35.52	490.33	225.66	5.00
<b>S.Ed</b>	1.34	1.63	1.16	0.081	0.71	0.92	-
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	4.68	3.43	3.55	0.235	1.94	2.85	-

**Table 4. Effect of different modules on yield attributes and *M. incognita* multiplication in tuberose under drip irrigation**

Treatment modules	No. of days taken for spike emergence	Spike length (cm)	No. of flowers spike <sup>-1</sup>	Flower yield (g. plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Final nematode population (200 cc soil)	No. of females 5g roots <sup>-1</sup>	Gall Index
T <sub>1</sub>	117.33	62.50 (21.83)	30.66 (53.30)	48.50 (43.70)	187.33 (62.88)	88.33 (61.93)	3.66
T <sub>2</sub>	113.00	65.00 (26.70)	36.50 (82.50)	55.82 (65.39)	153.66 (69.55)	60.00 (74.14)	2.00
T <sub>3</sub>	105.33	72.66 (41.64)	42.66 (113.30)	61.33 (81.71)	160.00 (68.30)	62.66 (73.00)	2.33
T <sub>4</sub>	106.00	71.50 (39.38)	41.00 (105.00)	60.50 (79.25)	162.66 (67.77)	65.00 (72.00)	2.33
T <sub>5</sub>	109.40	69.33 (35.14)	40.33 (101.65)	59.30 (75.70)	168.00 (66.71)	71.66 (69.11)	2.66
T <sub>6</sub>	111.00	67.66 (32.00)	38.66 (93.30)	57.00 (69.00)	170.33 (66.25)	73.00 (68.53)	2.66
T <sub>7</sub>	103.50	74.30 (44.83)	44.33 (121.65)	62.40 (85.00)	174.66 (65.39)	75.66 (67.40)	3.00
T <sub>8</sub>	115.30	64.50 (25.73)	33.66 (68.30)	53.00 (57.03)	180.33 (64.26)	85.33 (63.22)	3.33
T <sub>9</sub>	118.66	63.33 (23.45)	31.50 (57.50)	50.75 (50.37)	178.00 (64.73)	80.00 (65.51)	3.33
T <sub>10</sub>	137.00	51.30	20	33.75	504.66	232.00	5.00
<b>S.Ed</b>	2.17	1.78	0.69	1.36	4.90	1.87	-
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	4.56	3.74	1.44	2.87	10.30	3.93	-




**Fig. 1. Infested root of Tuberose**




b. Spike length

b. Root galls

**Fig. 2. Comparing the plant growth parameters of Management modules**



**Fig. 3. Influence of different management modules on the yield attributes of tuberose under field conditions**



**Fig. 4. Effect of different management modules against *M. incognita* population in tuberose under field conditions**

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The study underscores the effectiveness of integrating chemical, botanical, and biological approaches for managing nematodes in tuberose cultivation. Modules combining chemical nematicides like carbofuran with botanicals (*Acorus calamus*, *Swietenia mahogany*) or biocontrol agents (*P. lilacinum*, *P. chlamydosporia*) showed synergistic effects, significantly reducing nematode populations and improving plant growth parameters. Enhanced plant health and flower yield were attributed to the combined effects of reduced nematode activity, secondary metabolite production, and hormone secretion by biocontrol agents. Initial treatments with bulb treatment, botanicals or biocontrol agents proved effective, reducing the reliance on repeated chemical applications. The study also highlighted the challenges posed by drip irrigation, which fosters nematode reproduction due to favorable soil moisture conditions. Farmers can adopt these integrated modules for sustainable and cost-effective nematode management, ensuring high yields with minimal environmental impact.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

This study does not involve any experiments with humans or animals that require ethical approval. Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image

generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

#### CONSENT

All authors declare that they have obtained appropriate consent from all relevant parties for the publication of this research.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to Department of Floriculture and landscaping, TNAU, Coimbatore for providing land for conducting field experiment in a successful manner. And extend their gratitude to Dept. of Nematology for providing facilities to carry out the research work. Also, they would like to sincerely thank Dr. M. Sivakumar (Rtd. Prof.), Dept. of Nematology and M. Kannan (Rtd Prof), Dept of Floriculture and Landscaping for his timely guidance and valuable suggestions.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

Adegbite, A. A., & Agbaje, G. O. (2007). Efficacy of carbofuran in control of root knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita* race 2) in hybrid yam varieties in southwestern Nigeria. *Electronic Journal of*

- Environmental, Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 6(6), 2083–2094.
- Cannayane, I., Jonathan, E. I., & Rajavel, D. S. (2007). Association of plant parasitic nematodes and antagonistic microbes in banana rhizosphere. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*, 15, 449-453.
- Cobb, N. A. (1918). Estimating the nematode population of the soil. United States Department of Agriculture. p. 48.
- Eisenback, J. D., Hrischmann, H., Sasser, J. N., & Triantaphyllou, A. C. (1981). A guide to the four most common species of root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.), with a pictorial key. State University, Depto. of Plant Pathology.
- Gomez, K. A., & Gomez, A. A. (1985). *Statistical procedure for agricultural research*. A. Wiley, Inter Science Publication, John Wiley Sons, New York. p. 680.
- Grace, G. N., Shivananda, T. N., & Umamaheswari, M. R. R. (2019). Management of nematodes using liquid formulations of *Purpureocillium lilacinum* in tuberose. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 7, 720-724.
- Headle, C. M., Britton, B. D., & Davis, R. M. (1989). Influence of *Glomus intradices* and soil phosphorus on *Meloidogyne incognita* infecting *Cucumis melo*. *Journal of Nematology*, 21, 69-73.
- Jonathan, E. I., Raguchander, T., Zareena Bagam, M., & Sundaramoorthy, S. (2009). Field efficacy of biocontrol agents for the management of root knot nematode *M. incognita* (Kofoid and White) Chitwood and reniform nematode, *Rotylenchulus reniformis* (Linford and Oliveira) in tomato. *Journal of Biological Control*, 23(3), 311–316.
- Kannan, M., Jawaharlal, M., Ranchana, P., & Vinodh, S. (2016). India: Floriculture in Tamil Nadu. *FloralDaily*, 26th October 2016.
- Kavitha, T. R. (2012). *Biology and management of root knot nematode (Meloidogyne incognita [Kofoid and White] Chitwood) infecting carnation (Dianthus caryophyllus L.)* [PhD thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bengaluru].
- Khan, M. R., Haque, Z., Akram, M., & Ansari, M. S. A. (2024). Nematode problems in ornamental plants. In *Diseases of Ornamental, Aromatic and Medicinal Plants* (pp. 182-206). Bentham Science Publishers.
- Khan, R. A. A., Najeeb, S., Mao, Z., Ling, J., Yang, Y., Li, Y., & Xie, B. (2020). Bioactive secondary metabolites from *Trichoderma* spp. against phytopathogenic bacteria and root-knot nematode. *Microorganisms*, 8(3), 401. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8030401>
- Mohana, M. (2005). Studies on the antinematic botanicals against root knot nematode, *M. incognita* on brinjal. M.Sc. (Ag) thesis submitted to Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- Muthulakshmi, M., Devrajan, K., & Jonathan, E. I. (2010). Biocontrol of root knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid and White) Chitwood in mulberry (*Morus alba* L.). *Journal of Biopesticides*, 3(2), 479.
- Nagesh, M., Reddy, P. P., & Rao, M. S. (1997). Integrated management of *Meloidogyne incognita* on tuberose using *Paecilomyces lilacinus* in combination with plant extracts. *Nematologia Mediterranea*, 25(1), 3-7.
- Neog, P. P., & Deka, P. (2024). Management of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* infecting tuberose by using bioformulations under field condition. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*, 46(10), 948-954. <https://journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/article/view/3027>
- Pathak, B., & Khan, M. R. (2010). Comparative field efficacy of chemical, botanical and biological agents against foliar nematode, *Aphelenchoides besseyi* infecting tuberose. *Indian Journal of Nematology*, 40(1), 83-87.
- Phani Kumar, P. R. (1997). Studies on root knot nematode (*Meloidogyne* spp.) infecting tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.). M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis, University Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad.
- Preethi, D. M. (2011). Studies on root knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid and White, 1919) Chitwood, 1949 infecting tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) [Doctoral dissertation, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore].
- Rahini, P. (2022). Economic analysis of production and marketing of tuberose in Dharmapuri district of Tamilnadu. M.Sc. (Ag) thesis submitted to University of Agriculture and Technology Meerut. <https://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/handle/1/5810215299>

- Rajamanickam, C., & Ravindran, C. (2021). Bio-management of root knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*) in tuberose (*Polyanthes tuberosa* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 10(1), 1693-1695.
- Rao, M. S., Shylaja, M., & Reddy, P. P. (2004). Biomanagement of *Meloidogyne incognita* on tuberose using a formulation of *Pochonia chlamydosporia*. *Nematologia Mediterranea*, 32, 165-167.
- Ravichandra, N. G. (2008). *Plant Nematology*. I. K. International Pvt. Ltd. Press, New Delhi, India. p. 720.
- Ravichandra, N. G., Reddy, B. M. R., & Somasekhara, Y. M. (2007). Sustainable management of root knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*) on tuberose in organic farming system. In *National Symposium on Nematology in 21st Century- Emerging Paradigms* held at Assam Agri. Univ. Jorhat, Assam. p. 46.
- Saha, T., & Khan, M. R. (2016). Evaluation of bioformulations for management of root knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*) infecting tuberose. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 48(3), 651–656.
- Schindler, A. F. (1961). A simple substitute for Baermann funnel. *Plant Disease Reporter*, 45, 747-748.
- Sundarababu, V., & Vadivelu, S. (1988). Pathogenicity of *Meloidogyne* species to tuberose (*Polyanthes tuberosa* L.). *Indian Journal of Nematology*, 18(1), 146–148.
- Uddin, M., Saifullah, A. M., Khan, W., & Khan, B. (2019). Evaluation of *Pochonia chlamydosporia* (Goddard) isolates for suppression of *Meloidogyne incognita*, root-knot nematode of tomato. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 11(5), 70-81.
- Vanitha, S. (2016). Studies on the anti-nemic activity of sweet flag against root knot nematode in tomato. M.Sc. (Ag) thesis submitted to Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- Zaki, M. J. (1994). Effect of fungal culture filtrates on mortality and hatching of *Meloidogyne javanica*. *Nematologia Mediterranea*, 22, 41-43.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/130640>