



Utilizing Melastoma Compost (*Melastoma malabatricum* L.) as a Sustainable Alternative to Synthetic Nitrogen Fertilizers in Shallot Cultivation

Dinna Khoirya ^a, Nanik Setyowati ^{b*}, Zainal Mukhtar ^c,
Kartika Utami ^c, Marwanto ^b and Sumardi ^b

^a Agroecotechnology Study Program, University of Bengkulu, Indonesia.

^b Department of Crop Production, University of Bengkulu, Indonesia.

^c Department of Soil Science, University of Bengkulu, Indonesia.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors DK, NS and ZM, designed and wrote the original draft preparation. Author KU wrote and edited the initial manuscript. Author Marwanto and Sumardi edited and reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2025/v37i25316>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/131265>

Original Research Article

Received: 08/12/2024

Accepted: 10/02/2025

Published: 14/02/2025

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study explores the potential of Melastoma compost (*Melastoma malabatricum* L.) as a sustainable alternative to synthetic nitrogen fertilizers in shallot (*Allium ascalonicum* L.) cultivation.

Study Design: This study was conducted from July to October 2024 at the Green House of the

*Corresponding author: E-mail: nsetyowati@unib.ac.id;

Department of Crop Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Bengkulu University, Indonesia, at an altitude of 10 meters above sea level.

Methodology: The experimental design was a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with six treatments, each treatment was repeated 4 times. The treatments were: P₀: No fertilizer; P₁: 0% Melastoma compost (100% N, 100% P, and 100% K); P₂: 25% Compost (75% N, 100% P, and 100% K); P₃: 50% Compost (50% N, 100% P, and 100% K); P₄: 75% Compost (25% N, 100% P, and 100% K); P₅: 100% Compost (0% N, 100% P, and 100% K).

Results: The results indicated that plants receiving Melastoma compost exhibited improved growth characteristics, including greater plant height, greener leaves, and enhanced root dry weight. Additionally, yields were higher for plants treated with organic compost than those using synthetic fertilizers or without fertilization (control treatment). Soil analysis revealed increased nitrogen content and improved physical properties in Melastoma compost treatments. Melastoma compost significantly improved shallot growth and yield. Whether used alone or combined with synthetic fertilizers, Melastoma compost outperformed synthetic fertilizers applied without compost, which showed similar crop yield to control treatment. Melastoma compost can effectively replace or complement synthetic nitrogen fertilizers in shallot cultivation.

Conclusion: The study's findings indicated that Melastoma compost positively impacted both the growth and yield of shallots. Using compost, either by itself or combined with synthetic fertilizers, enhanced the growth and yield of shallots. Shallots that received only synthetic fertilizer, without adding Melastoma compost, exhibited growth and yield similar to those not fertilized plants (control treatment). Melastoma compost can be applied independently, without synthetic fertilizer, or in conjunction with synthetic fertilizers for growing shallots. Therefore, Melastoma compost can serve as a substitute for synthetic nitrogen fertilizer in shallot cultivation.

Keywords: Melastoma compost; organic agriculture; organic fertilizer; organic shallot; sustainable agriculture.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Green Revolution significantly advanced agricultural development, leading to substantial growth in agricultural productivity over recent decades. However, this progress has brought about unintended environmental consequences. The widespread application of synthetic fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides, and intensive land management practices has caused long-term environmental harm, affecting soil, water, air, and living organisms. The increasing demand for agricultural land underscores the harmful effects of synthetic chemicals, such as the degradation of soil structure and the disruption of microbial ecosystems. Unsustainable modern farming practices can result in pollution, toxicity, health issues, and the destruction of organisms (Fathur, et al., 2023).

Synthetic fertilizers adversely affect soil pH, often causing a decline due to the prolonged application of synthetic products like urea (Utami & Handayani, 2003). Yuniarti et al., (2018) found that the increased in microbial activity reduces soil organic carbon levels. Soil fertility is strongly associated with the diversity of microorganisms; however, intensively cultivated soils relying on synthetic fertilizers typically exhibit reduced soil

quality and lower microbial populations. Prolonged use of synthetic fertilizers exhibits a typical reduces in soil quality. The decreasing soil fertility highlights the need for innovative approaches to ensure a sustainable nitrogen supply for crops, such as shallots while preserving soil health (Muslimah, 2017).

Additionally, overuse of synthetic fertilizers and insufficient organic matter restoration contribute to land degradation, especially in Inceptisol. Sudirja et al., (2007) characterize Inceptisols as having low chemical fertility, with about 1.88% organic carbon and 0.15% total nitrogen. Implementing effective strategies, such as balanced fertilization and applying suitable technologies, can enhance the fertility and productivity of Inceptisol.

To mitigate the environmental challenges posed by synthetic fertilizers, alternative agricultural methods such as Low External Input Sustainable Agriculture (LEISA) offer promising solutions. LEISA emphasizes optimizing the use of locally available resources while minimizing reliance on external inputs to enhance productivity and profitability, all while preserving ecological balance (Fadilah, et al., 2020). Using native resources, such as organic fertilizers from

weeds, can support soil fertility conservation and promote sustained productivity.

Weeds, often competing with crops for essential nutrients and water, can adversely affect crop growth and yield. However, they can be transformed into compost or organic fertilizer, offering a sustainable alternative to synthetic nitrogen sources in agriculture (Susanto, et al., 2018). Among these, *Melastoma malabatricum* L. (*Melastoma*) is a promising candidate for organic fertilizer. It contains 2.27% nitrogen, 0.29% phosphorus, 1.10% potassium, 53.63% organic carbon, and a C/N ratio of 24, which is important to develop the organic fertilizer (Syahid, et al., 2020).

Nitrogen is essential for plant growth, particularly in supporting vegetative development, such as leaves, stems, and roots. A nitrogen deficiency in shallots can result in leaf chlorosis, wilting, and stunted growth (Triadiawarman, et al., 2022). Incorporating weed compost within sustainable agricultural practices can boost crop productivity while improving soil quality (Muktamar, et al., 2016; Setyowati et al., 2015). Additionally, growth media enriched with organic matter can enhance nutrient availability and improve soil structure (Kurnianingsih, et al., 2019, Siregar, et al., 2017). Although farmers commonly use synthetic fertilizers in shallot cultivation, they still use *Melastoma* compost as a substitute only to a limited extent, which highlights the importance for additional research in this area

Overusing synthetic fertilizers has led to soil degradation, negatively affecting the environment and crop yields. To reduce dependence on synthetic fertilizers, environmentally friendly alternatives like organic fertilizers can be employed. *Melastoma* weed compost, rich in nitrogen, shows promise as an alternative to synthetic nitrogen fertilizers, particularly for shallot cultivation. This study aimed to evaluate the effects of combining synthetic and organic fertilizers on shallot growth and yield.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Time, Location and Experimental Design

This study was conducted from July to October 2024 at the Green House of the Department of Agricultural Production, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Bengkulu, Indonesia at an altitude of 10 meters above sea level.

The experimental design was a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with 6 treatments, each repeated 4 times. The treatments were as follows: P₀: No fertilizer; P₁: 0% *Melastoma* Compost (100% N, 100% P, and 100% K); P₂: 25% Compost (75% N, 100% P, and 100% K); P₃: 50% Compost (50% N, 100% P, and 100% K); P₄: 75% Compost (25% N, 100% P, and 100% K); P₅: 100% Compost (0% N, 100% P, and 100% K). 100%N equal to 285 kg urea/Ha, 100% P equal to 138 kg SP46/Ha while 100% K equal to 180 kg KCl/Ha.

2.2 Composting Process

Composting *Melastoma* weeds started by cutting them into small pieces, measuring 0.5–2 cm, using a shredding machine. The chopped material was then stacked, with cow manure incorporated into the pile's center to enhance the decomposition process. The pile was moistened by spraying 5 liters of EM4 solution and thoroughly mixed to ensure uniform distribution. It was then resprayed with EM4, followed by clean water to achieve the appropriate moisture level. Next, the pile was covered with a tarp. The compost was turned every three days. Once the composting was complete, it was sifted through a 0,5 cm mesh to remove larger stems and leaves. Lastly, the finished compost was weighed according to treatment needs and blended with soil for application. The analysis of *Melastoma* compost revealed the following composition: 46.4% organic carbon (C), 2.84% nitrogen (N), 0.54% phosphorus (P), 1.75% potassium (K), 3.57% calcium (Ca), 0.58% magnesium (Mg), and 0.81% sulfur (S). Additionally, it contained 1,858 mg/kg iron (Fe), 7.62 mg/kg copper (Cu), 96.6 mg/kg zinc (Zn), and 1,720 mg/kg manganese (Mn). The compost had a C/N ratio of 16.34, along with 4% cellulose, 38% lignin, 6% hemicellulose, and a pH of 7.81.

2.3 Soil Medium Preparation

The process started by collecting 150 kg of soil from a 0–20 cm depth, and air-dried for two days. The soil then was sieved and passed through a 5 mm screen for a planting medium. For the initial soil analysis, samples were taken using a zig-zag pattern with a soil probe. These samples were air-dried for two days and sieved again with a 5 mm mesh to ensure uniformity. The soil was then analyzed for total nitrogen (N), available phosphorus (P), potassium (K), pH, and texture. The initial soil analysis of Inceptisols shows the following nutrient content: total nitrogen (N) at



Fig. 1. Composting process, Melastoma before and after chopped (a), adding cow manure, EM-4 and water (b), decomposition process (c), Melastoma compost (d)

0.31%, available phosphorus (P) at 4.71%, exchangeable potassium (K) at 0.33%, soil pH at 4.63, and 19.29% clay, 25.67% silt, and 55.03% sand. The organic carbon (C-organic) content was 2.05%, with exchangeable calcium (Ca) at 0.56% and exchangeable magnesium (Mg) at 0.09%. After the analysis was complete, the planting medium was sieved once more, weighed according to the requirements, and portioned at 5 kg of soil per polybag.

Preparing the planting media started by weighing 5 kg of sieved soil for each polybag then measuring the required amount of Melastoma compost according to the specified treatment. The soil and compost were then thoroughly mixed until well mixed. Finally, the mixture was placed into 30cm x 40 cm polybags, ready for use.

2.4 Planting

Shallot bulb was to trim about one-third from the top portion, then the cut bulbs was sprinkled with anthracol before planting. Each polybag was planted with one bulb. After planting, the bulbs were gently moistened to support their growth.

2.5 Harvesting

Shallots are typically ready for harvest within 55 days, indicated by the leaves starting to yellow, the majority (around 80%) had drooped, the bulbs were fully formed and compact, and part of the bulbs was visible above the soil. The harvesting process involved carefully uprooting the entire plant to avoid damaging or leaving any bulbs. Once harvested, the shallots were left to dry for about two weeks.

2.6 Observed Variables

The plant variables included plant height at 6 WAP (cm), number of leaves per cluster at 6 WAP, leaves greenness, bulb number, bulb diameter (mm), bulb length (cm), bulb fresh weight (g), bulb dry weight (g), and root dry weight (g). After harvesting, the soil was sampled, air-dried, sieved using a 0.5 mm screen, and analyzed for total N using the Kjeldahl Method.

2.7 Data Analysis

The observation data was analyzed statistically using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) at 5%. If there is a significant difference, further test with Orthogonal Contrast with a level of 5%.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the growing media's pH and nitrogen (N) content after the research was completed. The soil pH in the control treatment (without fertilization) ranged from 4.47 - 4.73, with nitrogen content ranging from 0.24% - 0.27%. For the 0% compost treatment, the pH ranged from 4.32 - 4.84, and nitrogen content ranged from 0.23% - 0.26%. For the 25% compost treatment, the pH ranged from 4.57 - 4.64, with nitrogen content ranging from 0.24% - 0.28%. For the 50% compost treatment, the pH ranged from 4.45 - 5.07, and nitrogen content ranged from 0.26% - 0.30%. For the 75% compost treatment, the pH ranged from 4.45 - 4.49, with nitrogen content ranging from 0.24% - 0.29%. Finally, for the 100% compost treatment, the soil pH ranged from 4.55 - 4.71, and nitrogen content ranged from 0.27% - 0.32%. At the end of the study, the Ultisol growing media still had an acidic pH ranging from 4.00 - 5.00, and the nitrogen content was classified as low, with values ranging from 0.23% to 0.32%.

Table 1. Soil pH and Nitrogen (N) Content of the Growing Media after Harvesting

Treatments and Replication (R)	Soil pH	N (%)
Control (No fertilizer) R1	4.47	0.25
Control (No fertilizer) R2	4.55	0.27
Control (No fertilizer) R3	4.73	0.24
Control (No fertilizer) R4	4.56	0.25
0% Compost (100% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R1	4.84	0.24
0% Compost (100% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R2	4.40	0.26
0% Compost (100% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R3	4.32	0.26
0% Compost (100% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R4	4.62	0.23
25% Compost (75% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R1	4.61	0.27
25% Compost (75% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R2	4.58	0.26
25% Compost (75% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R3	4.64	0.28
25% Compost (75% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R4	4.57	0.24
50% Compost (50% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R1	4.58	0.26
50% Compost (50% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R2	4.45	0.28
50% Compost (50% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R3	5.07	0.30
50% Compost (50% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R4	4.58	0.27
75% Compost (25% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R1	4.49	0.29
75% Compost (25% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R2	4.46	0.28
75% Compost (25% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R3	4.45	0.28
75% Compost (25% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R4	4.49	0.24
100% Compost (0% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R-1	4.67	0.30
100% Compost (0% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R-2	4.60	0.32
100% Compost (0% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R-3	4.55	0.27
100% Compost (0% N, 100% P & 100 % K) R-4	4.71	0.27

Note: N: Nitrogen, P: Fosfor; K: Potassium, 100%N: 285 kg urea/Ha, 100% P: 138 kg SP46/Ha; 100% K: 180 kg KCl/Ha

Table 2. Effect of Melastoma compost and synthetic fertilizer combination on shallot growth characters

Treatments	Plant height (cm) (6 WAP)	Leaves number (7 WAP)	Leaf greenness	Root dry weight (g)
P ₀	30.50	21.50	29.55	0.13
P ₁	31.25	27.25	35.17	0.16
P ₂	32.95	27.00	38.30	0.18
P ₃	37.00	29.75	40.47	0.29
P ₄	37.95	31.75	49.92	0.31
P ₅	40.87	35.50	53.50	0.31
Contrast	Probability			
P ₀ vs P _{1,P2,P3,P4,P5}	<0.0001	0.001	0.0033	0.0024
P ₀ vs P ₁	0.5207	0.0603	0.3042	0.5653
P ₀ vs P ₅	<0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0005
P ₁ vs P ₂	0.1549	0.9315	0.5641	0.6450
P ₁ vs P ₃	<0.0001	0.3950	0.3322	0.0079
P ₁ vs P ₄	<0.0001	0.1341	0.0125	0.0019
P ₁ vs P ₅	<0.0001	0.0101	0.0029	0.0019
P ₂ vs P ₃	0.0024	0.3504	0.6874	0.0215
P ₂ vs P ₄	0.0004	0.1151	0.0423	0.0054
P ₂ vs P ₅	<0.0001	0.0083	0.0104	0.0054
P ₃ vs P ₄	0.4176	0.4946	0.0925	0.5275
P ₃ vs P ₅	0.0033	0.0603	0.0248	0.5275
P ₄ vs P ₅	0.0199	0.2076	0.5100	10.000

Note: P₀: Control (No fertilizer), P₁: 0% Compost (100% N, 100% P & 100 % K), P₂: 25% Compost (75% N, 100% P & 100 % K), P₃: 50% Compost (50% N, 100% P & 100 % K), P₄: 75% Compost (25% N, 100% P & 100 % K), P₅: 100% Compost (0% N, 100% P & 100 % K)

The study indicated that shallots fertilized with synthetic fertilizer, organic fertilizer, or a combination of both showed better growth than those received no fertilization. The application of Melastoma compost led to improved plant growth compared to those fertilized solely with synthetic fertilizer, as evidenced by taller, greener plants with more leaves and higher root dry weight (Table 2).

Table 2 shows shallot yields were higher if fertilized with synthetic fertilizer, Melastoma compost, or a combination of both. However, applying synthetic fertilizer without adding Melastoma compost did not increase shallot yields. If we compare synthetic fertilizer and organic fertilizer (Melastoma compost 100%), the application of 100% compost produced a higher weight of shallots. Melastoma compost with a higher composition (P2, P3, P4, P5) produced taller shallots, as indicated by a higher bulb weight.

Tables 2 and 3 indicate that plants without fertilization (P0) exhibit lower growth, fewer leaves, and reduced greenness, including a smaller number of tubers per plant, smaller tuber diameter and length, and lower fresh and dry tuber weights per plant, as well as reduced dry

root weight, compared to plants fertilized with Melastoma compost without synthetic fertilizer (P5). The growth differences in shallot yield between the P0 and P5 treatments are attributed to different factors such as nutrient availability, soil physical properties, and plant metabolic processes. In the P0 treatment, the insufficient availability of key nutrients like nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) hindered vegetative growth and the development of shallot bulbs. The Ultisol growing media contains 0.31% total nitrogen (low), 4.71% available phosphorus (very low), 0.33% exchangeable potassium (low), and a pH of 4.63 (acidic), which is less suitable for shallot growth. In contrast, Melastoma compost (P5) provides macro and micronutrients that promote healthy plant growth. In this study, the compost of Melastoma contains 2.84% nitrogen (N), 0.54% phosphorus (P), 1.75% potassium (K), 46.4% organic carbon (C), 3.57% calcium (Ca), 0.58% magnesium (Mg), 0.81% sulfur (S), 1858 mg/kg iron (Fe), 7.62% copper (Cu), 96.6 mg/kg zinc (Zn), 1720 mg/kg manganese (Mn), a carbon-to-nitrogen ratio (C/N) of 16.34, 4% cellulose, 38% lignin, 6% hemicellulose, and a pH of 7.81. Anisyah et al., (2014) study shows that using organic fertilizers, mainly compost, can increase the bulb weight of shallots. This is because organic materials can

Table 3. Effect of Melastoma compost and synthetic fertilizer combination on shallot yield Characters

Treatments	Bulb number	Bulb diameter (mm)	Bulb length (cm)	Bulb fresh weight (g)	Bulb dry weight (g)
P ₀	6.25	11.56	1.55	8.30	5.37
P ₁	7.75	12.18	1.62	14.11	7.83
P ₂	8.50	13.07	1.71	15.61	10.34
P ₃	10.75	14.19	1.83	20.37	16.47
P ₄	12.25	15.46	1.94	24.61	19.48
P ₅	14.25	15.24	2.01	29.80	25.43
Contrast	Probability				
P ₀ vs P ₁ ,P ₂ ,P ₃ ,P ₄ ,P ₅	0.0048	<0.0001	0.0038	<0.0001	<0.0001
P ₀ vs P ₁	0.4118	0.2745	0.5320	0.0215	0.2832
P ₀ vs P ₅	0.0003	<0.0001	0.0004	<0.0001	<0.0001
P ₁ vs P ₂	0.6794	0.1250	0.4066	0.5243	0.2739
P ₁ vs P ₃	0.1102	0.0018	0.0548	0.0144	0.0011
P ₁ vs P ₄	0.0214	<0.0001	0.0073	0.0003	<0.0001
P ₁ vs P ₅	0.0019	<0.0001	0.0015	<0.0001	<0.0001
P ₂ vs P ₃	0.2237	0.0562	0.2443	0.0543	0.0130
P ₂ vs P ₄	0.0500	0.0004	0.0435	0.0011	0.0007
P ₂ vs P ₅	0.0047	0.0009	0.0100	<0.0001	<0.0001
P ₃ vs P ₄	0.4118	0.0327	0.3460	0.0828	0.1920
P ₃ vs P ₅	0.0656	0.0724	0.1110	0.0007	0.0008
P ₄ vs P ₅	0.2773	0.6906	0.4879	0.0373	0.0155

Note: P₀: Control (No fertilizer), P₁: 0% Compost (100% N, 100% P & 100 % K), P₂: 25% Compost (75% N, 100% P & 100 % K), P₃: 50% Compost (50% N, 100% P & 100 % K), P₄: 75% Compost (25% N, 100% P & 100 % K), P₅: 100% Compost (0% N, 100% P & 100 % K)

retain water (water availability), improve nutrient availability (soil chemical properties), and enhance microorganism activity in the soil, which helps build soil fertility biologically. As a result, the organic materials applied can increase the bulb weight produced.

In addition to supplying nutrients, Melastoma compost also enhances the physical properties of the soil by improving its porosity, water retention, and aeration. According to Anisyah et al., (2014), organic fertilizers are beneficial as a source of nutrients for plants that can enhance production and help improve the soil's physical, chemical, and biological properties. Additionally, Prasetyo et al., (2014) stated that using organic fertilizers (both alone and in combination) significantly affects the physical properties of the soil, leading to a decrease in bulk density, an increase in soil porosity, and improvements in soil physical properties. These changes positively influence plant root development. Hence, improvements in the soil condition facilitate better root development, which in turn enhances nutrient absorption. Furthermore, the organic matter in the compost stimulates microbial activity in the soil, aiding in the decomposition of organic matter and the slow, steady release of nutrients. According to Nabila (2024), incorporating organic materials into the soil can facilitate deeper and broader root penetration, enhancing the plant's ability to absorb more water and nutrients.

In contrast, the P0 treatment lacks soil structure that supports nutrient uptake, leading to slower plant growth. Soil nitrogen (N) analysis revealed an increase in N levels with the addition of organic fertilizer, particularly in treatments P3 to P6. The lowest nitrogen value was observed in treatment P2, where no organic fertilizer was applied (0% compost + 100% N), resulting in a lower final soil N concentration. The total nitrogen content in the soil is greatly affected by the availability of soil organic matter (Minardi, et al., 2009). Minardi et al., (2009) also noted that while NPK fertilizers are rapidly accessible to plants, they are prone to leaching and cannot be retained in the soil.

The deficiency of key nutrients in P0 leads to fewer leaves and reduced leaf greenness. Nitrogen content in compost is a crucial component of chlorophyll. A lack of nitrogen hinders chlorophyll production, resulting in suboptimal photosynthesis. According to Elfina et al., (2024), plants that lack nitrogen (N) have

stunted growth and few tillers, and their leaves are pale yellow. Thus, nitrogen is necessary for plants because it is a primary nutrient for plant growth, especially in the stems, branches, and leaves. Nitrogen also plays an essential role in forming green leaf substances, which play an important role in the photosynthesis process and in the synthesis of proteins, fats, and various other organic compounds. This limited photosynthesis reduces energy production and carbon absorption, ultimately impacting the size and weight of the onion bulbs. On the other hand, Melastoma compost releases nutrients gradually that are required for growth of plants, supporting steady growth. This slow nutrient release from Melastoma compost results in more leaves, greener foliage, and larger tubers than plants lacking sufficient nutritional input.

Shallot plants that were only treated with synthetic fertilizer (P1) showed growth and yields similar to those of plants that received no fertilization (P0) (Tables 2 and 3). There were no significant differences in plant height, leaf count, leaf greenness, root dry weight, or the tubers' number, diameter, length, or weight. The growth and yield of shallots treated solely with synthetic fertilizer did not differ notably from those of unfertilized plants. While synthetic fertilizers typically provide high concentrations of macronutrients such as nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K), their use without organic material can lead to nutrient imbalances in the soil. This is in accordance with research by Ichwan et al., (2024), which shows that plant height, number of leaves, number of tubers, and tuber weight are better with adding organic fertilizer, compared to only NPK fertilizer (100% NPK). Plant growth improves with reduced NPK fertilizer application. Soils deficient in organic matter often have a low cation exchange capacity (CEC), which reduces the efficiency of nutrient uptake by plants. Research by Firmansyah et al., (2013) indicates that combining organic and biological fertilizers can boost shallot bulb yields, whereas using chemical fertilizers alone does not significantly improve growth.

The prolonged use of synthetic fertilizers without adding organic materials can deteriorate soil physical properties, such as reduced soil aggregation and porosity. The low porosity of soil negatively affects root growth and water and nutrient absorption. According to Nita et al., (2017), one of the factors that can reduce soil porosity is the decreasing quality of the soil due

to the use of synthetic fertilizers and reduced organic matter in the soil. Low soil porosity also impacts plant roots, thereby impacting plant growth. In contrast, incorporating organic material through compost enhances soil structure, boosts microbial activity, and provides essential plant micronutrients. According to research by Windri et al. (2016), combining organic and inorganic fertilizers can improve the quality and quantity of shallot plants. When applied without organic matter, synthetic fertilizers are more likely to be leached away or bound in forms that plants cannot absorb, especially in soils low in organic content. As a result, even when nutrient levels are high, plants cannot utilize them efficiently. Hidayat et al., (2013) found that adequate nitrogen availability supports plant metabolism, leading to increased stem growth and a higher number of leaves. This study shows that shallot plants fertilized only with synthetic fertilizers exhibited growth and yields similar to those of unfertilized plants due to nutrient imbalances, soil degradation, and poor nutrient absorption efficiency caused by the absence of organic matter. Therefore, synthetic fertilizers should be complemented by adding organic materials to ensure a balanced supply of nutrients and improve soil quality, thereby optimizing the growth and yield of shallot plants.

When comparing plants fertilized solely with synthetic fertilizer to those fertilized only with organic fertilizer (P1 vs P5), shallot plants receiving organic fertilizer show better growth and yield than those fertilized with synthetic fertilizer (Tables 2 and 3). This research indicates that organic fertilizers provide both macro and micronutrients that are gradually released, making them continuously available to plants. In contrast, nutrients from synthetic fertilizers are quickly accessible, which can lead to an initial surplus of nutrients but result in deficiencies at certain stages after application. Research by Windri et al., (2016) highlights that combining organic and synthetic fertilizers can enhance the quality and quantity of shallot plants. Using organic fertilizer increases the organic matter in the soil, improving soil structure, boosting cation exchange capacity (CEC), and stimulating soil microorganism activity. These improvements in soil structure facilitate better root development and more efficient nutrient absorption. However, the repeated use of synthetic fertilizers without organic matter can degrade soil physical properties and reduce microbial activity.

Firmansyah et al., (2013) found that organic fertilizers positively influence the growth and yield of shallots. Fadilah et al. (2020) also showed that combining manure with inorganic fertilizers yielded good results for shallot growth, particularly for tuber shoots. Similar studies on the contribution of organic fertilizer to crop growth and yield have been reported on shallots (Nurjanah, et al., 2024, Setyowati, et al., 2024), oil palm (Supanjani, et al., 2024), cantaloupe (Suprijono, et al., 2024), soybean (Pujiwati, et al., 2023), and green mustard (Setyowati, et al., 2023). The findings of this study demonstrate that shallot plants fertilized with organic fertilizer (P5) exhibit superior growth and yield compared to those treated with synthetic fertilizer (P1) (Tables 1 and 2).

The orthogonal contrast test results revealed that shallot plants that were not fertilized had growth and yields similar to those fertilized with synthetic fertilizer. In contrast, the application of 100% Melastoma compost (P6) significantly improved the growth and yield of shallots. These findings suggest that Melastoma weed compost plays a crucial role in shallot plant development, likely due to the beneficial nutrients it contains. Melastoma weed has a soil pH of 7.9. It is rich in essential nutrients such as 1.55% nitrogen (N), 0.14% phosphorus (P), 0.16% potassium (K), 6.4% C, 3.57% Ca, 0.58% Mg, 0.81% S, 1858 mg/kg Fe, 7.52 mg/kg Cu, 96.6 mg/kg Zn, 1720 mg/kg Mn, 4% cellulose, 38% lignin, 6% hemiselulosa. The carbon to nitrogen (C/N) ratio of 20.59 indicates a favorable balance between carbon and nitrogen for decomposition. Given its nutrient content and optimal C/N ratio, Melastoma weed is an effective compost material that can enhance soil fertility and promote plant growth (Bernas, 2020).

4. CONCLUSION

The study's findings indicated that Melastoma compost positively impacted both the growth and yield of shallots. Using compost, either by itself or combined with synthetic fertilizers, enhanced the growth and yield of shallots. Shallots that received only synthetic fertilizer, without adding Melastoma compost, exhibited growth and yield similar to those not fertilized plants (control treatment). Melastoma compost can be applied independently, without synthetic fertilizer, or in conjunction with synthetic fertilizers for growing shallots. Therefore, Melastoma compost can serve as a substitute for synthetic nitrogen fertilizer in shallot cultivation.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Directorate General of Research and Community Service, Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia, for their financial support of this research project through contract No. 3937/UN30.15/PT/2024.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. Authors confirmed that the data and the article are exempt from plagiarism.

REFERENCES

- Anisyah, F., Sipayung, R., & Hanum, C. Growth and yield of shallot with some of organic fertilizer application. *Jurnal Agroekoteknologi Universitas Sumatera Utara*, 2024, 2(2), 482-496.
- Elfina, R., Putri, S. D., Sari, W., & Amelia, K. (2024). Effectiveness of a combination of cow and chicken manure to increase the growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Lampai Sirandah variety. *Jurnal Agroplasma*, 11(1), 92-100.
- Fadilah, R., Putra, R.P., & Hambali, A. (2020). Application of the LEISA (Low External Input Sustainable Agriculture) system to support sustainable agriculture in Samangki village, Simbang District, Maros Regency. *Seminar Nasional Hasil Pengabdian kepada Masyarakat*, pp: 429-436.
<https://ojs.unm.ac.id/semnaslpm/article/view/15949>
- Fathur, Imran, Wahid, & Musyadik. (2023). Green revolution and modernization of agricultural technology for the development of organic agriculture. *Seminar Nasional TRENd Technology of Renewable Energy and Development FTI Universitas Jayabaya*, 124-130.
- Firmansyah, I., Lukman, L., Khaririyatun, N., & Yufdy, M. P. (2015). Growth and yield of shallots with the application of organic and biological fertilizers in alluvial soil. *Jurnal Hortikultura*, 25(2), 133-141.
- Hidayat, IM., Wiguna, G., & Azmi, C. (2013). Improvement of shallots seed production technology by fertilization, density, and variety. *J. Hort*, 23(2) : 134-142.
- Ichwan, B., Lestari, A. P., Eliyanti, E., Zulkarnain, Z., & Arrahman, R. (2024). Combination of Tithonia trichocompost and NPK fertilizer to increase growth and yield of Shallots (*Allium ascalonicum* L.). *Jurnal Media Pertanian*, 9(2), 107-112.
- Kurnianingsih, A., Susilawati, S., & Hayatullah, R. (2019). Growth and yield of shallot (*Allium cepa* L.) Bima variety on several composition of planting media *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Lahan Suboptimal* pp: 978-979.
<http://www.conference.unsri.ac.id/index.php/lahansuboptimal/article/view/1221>
- Minardi, S., Winarno, J., & Abdillah, A. H. N. (2009). The balanced effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on the chemical properties of Tawangmangu Andisol soil and the yield of carrots (*Daucus carota* L.). (Doctoral dissertation, Sebelas Maret University).
- Muktamar, Z., Justisia, B., & Setyowati, N. (2016). Quality enhancement of humid tropical soils after application of water hyacinth (*Eichornia crassipes*) compost. *Journal of Agricultural Technology*, 12(7.1), 1211-1227.
- Muslimah, M. (2017). Impacts of soil pollution and prevention strategies. *Jurnal Penelitian Agrisamudra*, 2(1), 11-20.
<https://doi.org/10.33059/jpas.v2i1.224>
- Nabila, R. (2024). The effect of cow manure and KCl on the growth and yield of shallots (*Allium ascalonicum* L.). *Lentera: Jurnal Ilmiah Sains, Teknologi, Ekonomi, Sosial, dan Budaya*, 8(3), 50-56
- Nita, C. E., Siswanto, B., & Utomo, W. H. (2017). Effect of tillage and organic materials (coil cake and kettle ash) on soil porosity and sugarcane plant growth in Ultisol. *Jurnal Tanah dan Sumberdaya Lahan*, 2(1), 119-127.
- Nurjanah, U., Naibaho, K., Marlin, Setyowati, N., & Muktamar, Z. (2024). Growth and yield of three onion varieties on entisols-amended broadleaf weed compost and clay planting media. *International Journal of Agriculture and Plant Science*, 6(3), 14-19.
- Prasetyo, A., Utomo, W. H., & Listyorini, E. (2014). The relationship between physical

- soil properties, roots and cassava yield due to the application of organic and inorganic fertilizer (NPK) to Alfisol Jatikerto. *Jurnal Tanah dan Sumberdaya Lahan*, 1(1), 27-37.
- Pujiwati, H., Romeida, A., Widodo, W., Suryati, D., Prasetyo, P., Prameswari, W., & Susilo, E. (2023). Application of compost types and NPK fertilizer doses on soybean growth and yield in Entisol Bengkulu. *AGROSAINSTEK: Jurnal Ilmu dan Teknologi Pertanian*, 7(1), 1-7.
- Setyowati, N., Anissa, Fahrurrozi, & Mukhtar, Z. (2024). Enhancing shallot growth and yield in Ultisols through coffee husk compost and nitrogen dosage variations. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 1417, No. 1, p. 012002). IOP Publishing.
- Setyowati, N., Muharam, F. A., Mukhtar, Z., Widiyono, H., & Handajaningih, M. (2023). Combining organic and synthetic fertilizers for green mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) production. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 6(1), 1-8.
- Setyowati, N., Mukhtar, Z., & Puspitasari, I. (2015). Weed-based organic fertilizer to reduce application of synthetic fertilizer in mustard (*Brassica sinensis* L.). *International Journal of Agricultural Technology*, 11(8), 1677-1683. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/t3aun>
- Siregar, P., Fauzi, dan Supriadi. (2017). The influence of organic material source and incubation period on some chemical properties of ultisol soil fertility. *Jurnal Agroekoteknologi Universitas Sumatera Utara*, 5(2): 256–264.
- Sudirja, R. Solichin, M. A. & Rosniawaty S. (2007). Response of several chemical properties of Inceptisol from Rajamandala and the results of cocoa seedlings (*Theobroma cacao* L.) through the provision of organic fertilizer and biological fertilizer. *Thesis*. Padjadjaran University
- Supanjani, S., Simbolon, D. J., Setyowati, N., Gusmara, H., Husna, M., & Mukhtar, Z. (2024). Enhancing oil palm seedling development through oil palm solid waste and NPKMg application. *Proceedings of the National Seminar on Plant Protection*, 2, 151-162.
- Suprijono, E., Patricia, O. A., Gusmara, H., Prawito, P., & Setyowati, N. (2024). Growth and yield of cantaloupe (*Cucumis melo* var. *Cantalupensis* L.) under various doses of cow dung and potassium in Ultisol. *Proceedings of the National Seminar on Plant Protection*, 2, 179-188.
- Susanto, E., Santosa, T. N. B., & Soejono, A. (2018). Weed composition in oil palm plantations TM mineral and peat land at PT Medco Agro. *Journal Agromast*, 3(2), 58–66.
- Syahid, A., Sudiarso, B., Prasetya, B., & Aini, L. Q. (2020). Physical and chemical characteristics during composting on different types of compost materials. *EurAsian Journal of BioSciences*, 14, 7921–7928.
- Triadiawarman, D., Aryanto, D., & Krisbiyantoro, J. (2022). The role of macro nutrient on the growth and yield of shallots (*Allium cepa* L.). *Agrifor*, 21(1), 27–32. <https://doi.org/10.31293/agrifor.v21i1.5795>
- Utami, S. N. H., & Handayani, S. (2003). Chemical properties in organic and conventional farming system. *Jurnal Ilmu Pertanian*, 10(2), 63–69.
- Windri, W., Idwar., & Murniati. (2016). The effect of organic fertilizers in the efficiency of nitrogen fertilizers for to growth and yield of onion (*Allium asclonicum* L.). *JOM FAPERTA*, 3(2): 1 -13.
- Yuniarti, A., Suriadikusumah, A., & Gultom, J. U. (2018). Effect of anorganic fertilizer liquilizer on pH, N-total, C-organic and yield of pakchoy on Inceptisols. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Fakultas Pertanian UMJ*. <https://jurnal.umj.ac.id/index.php/semnastan/article/viewFile/2277/1894>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/131265>