



# Effect of Farmyard Manure and Potassium on Nutrient Content and Quality of Kharif Groundnut (Var.- TG-37A) Grown in Loamy Sand

H.H. Raval <sup>a\*</sup>, S. K. Shah <sup>b++</sup>, N.R.Thakkar <sup>a</sup>, Rohit Kumar <sup>c#</sup>  
and Raju Lal Dhakar <sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agricultural Chemistry and Soil Science, CPCA, S.D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar (Dist. B.K.), Gujarat-385 506, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Soil Science, Centre for Oilseeds Research, S.D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar (Dist. B.K.), Gujarat-385 506, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Soil Science, Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125001, India.

<sup>d</sup> Department of Agronomy, C.P. College of Agriculture, S.D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar (Dist. B.K.), Gujarat-385 506, India.

## Authors' contributions

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2025/v37i55429>

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/134895>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 20/02/2025**

**Accepted: 25/04/2025**

**Published: 28/04/2025**

<sup>++</sup> Assistant Research Scientist;

<sup>#</sup> PhD. Scholar;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: [ravalheta1810@gmail.com](mailto:ravalheta1810@gmail.com);

**Cite as:** Raval, H.H., S. K. Shah, N.R. Thakkar, Rohit Kumar, and Raju Lal Dhakar. 2025. "Effect of Farmyard Manure and Potassium on Nutrient Content and Quality of Kharif Groundnut (Var.- TG-37A) Grown in Loamy Sand". *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 37 (5):54-60. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2025/v37i55429>.

## ABSTRACT

**Aims:** To study the effect of farmyard manure and potassium on nutrient content and quality of *kharif* groundnut in loamy sand.

**Study Design:** Factorial Randomized Block Design.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The field experiment was carried out during *kharif* season at Castor Mustard Research Station, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat

**Methodology:** The field trial was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications, and the treatments comprised of two levels of farmyard manure (F<sub>1</sub>: 0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and F<sub>2</sub>: 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), three levels of potassium (K<sub>1</sub>: 20 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, K<sub>2</sub>: 40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> and K<sub>3</sub>: 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) and two levels of potassium mobilizing bacteria (B<sub>1</sub>: With KMB and B<sub>2</sub>: Without KMB).

**Results:** The results showed that significantly higher oil (50.24 %) and protein (23.90 %) content of groundnut was observed with FYM application at 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (F<sub>2</sub>). Moreover, significantly higher content of nitrogen (3.39 %), phosphorus (0.46 %) and potassium (0.61 %) in kernel and in haulm nitrogen (1.49 %), phosphorus (0.20 %), potassium (0.66 %) were observed with application of 5 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>. Among three levels of potassium, K<sub>3</sub> (60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded significantly maximum oil (50.27 %) and protein (24.19 %) contents, P (0.45 %) and K (0.60 %) content in kernel and N (1.49 %), K (0.66 %) content in haulm. Oil (50.06 %) and protein (23.93 %) contents found positive with KMB application over without KMB.

**Keywords:** Groundnut; content, quality; FYM; potassium; potassium mobilizing bacteria.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Oilseeds constitute the second major agricultural crop in the country next to food grain in terms of tonnage and value. Ever increasing demand of edible oil under limited land resources increase the force for enhancing production and productivity of edible oilseed crops. India ranks second next to china in groundnut production and it is most important oilseed crop in India (Gayathri, 2018). During 2019, India produced 68.62 lakh MT groundnut from 39.31 lakh ha with an average productivity of 1745 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> (IOPEPC). Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan are the major groundnut growing states in India. Gujarat is the largest producer and produced 40% of total groundnut production of the country. In Gujarat area, production and productivity of summer groundnut were 33920 lakh ha, 84000 MT, 2476 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively during 2019 (IOPEPC). Rajkot, Junagadh, Jamnagar and Banaskantha are the dominant districts in Gujarat for groundnut cultivation. The incorporation of bulky organic manures plays an important role in plant nutrition especially for nitrogen. Proper use of fertilizer is necessary for increasing agricultural production and reduced environmental pollution because continuous use of chemical fertilizer has deteriorating effects on soil which in-turn cause decline in productivity. Potassium is known for its ability to increase crop yield and improve quality.

It is essential for photosynthesis and pod development in groundnut. It plays a major role in growth and yield as it involves in assimilation, transport and storage tissue development (Cakmak, 2005). Commercial use of Potassium Mobilizing Bacteria (KMB) is new to agriculture. Farmers of North Gujarat use KMB for high K requiring crop like potato. The potato is responding to KMB application. The research is therefore aimed at studying the effect of farmyard manure and potassium on the nutrient content and quality of *kharif* groundnut in loamy sand soil.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Location of the Experimental Site

The field experiment was conducted at Plot No. 18-B at Castor Mustard Research Station, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, District: Banaskantha (Gujarat). The experimental site is geographically located in the North Gujarat at 72° 19' East longitude and 24° 19' North latitude at an altitude of 154.52 metres above mean sea level.

### 2.2 Season and Crop Varieties

The study was conducted during of *kharif* from June 2019 to October 2019. The groundnut

variety selected was TG 37 A with a duration of 90-100 days.

## 2.3 Experimental Design

The field trial was laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications the treatments comprised of two levels of FYM ( $F_1$ : 0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and  $F_2$ : 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), three levels of potassium ( $K_1$ : 20 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $K_2$ : 40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> and  $K_3$ : 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) and two levels of KMB ( $B_1$  : With and  $B_2$ : Without).

## 2.4 Soil Characteristics

The soil of the experimental site was loamy sand having pH of 7.2, which was low organic carbon (0.15 %) and nitrogen (140 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in available phosphorus (43.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and potassium (172 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Oil Contents (%)

#### 3.1.1 Effect of FYM

Significantly higher oil content was recorded 50.24 per cent with application of FYM at 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> ( $F_2$ ) and minimum oil content 49.08 per cent was observed with application of 0 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> ( $F_1$ ). Oil content in groundnut increased due to “better CO<sub>2</sub> production and greater exchange of nutrients that enhanced the mobility of nutrients under this treatment led to favourable environment in the plant system and thereby increased oil content of groundnut. The results are closely related with the findings of” Ventakesh et al. (2006) and Rahevar et al. (2015).

#### 3.1.2 Effect of potassium

“The treatment  $K_3$  (60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded significantly the highest oil content (50.27 %) over  $K_2$ ,  $K_1$  and remained at par with  $K_2$  (40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>). The crop fertilized with 20 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly the lowest oil content (48.95 %). Similar trends were also” observed by Salve and Gunjal (2011), Kharade et al. (2013) and Borah et al. (2017).

#### 3.1.3 Effect of KMB

The maximum oil content (50.06 %) was obtained with application of KMB ( $B_1$ ) and minimum (49.26 %) oil content was recorded with treatment  $B_2$  (without KMB).

### 3.2 Protein Content (%)

#### 3.2.1 Effect of FYM

Significantly higher protein content (23.90 %) was found with application of 5 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> and the treatment  $F_1$  recorded significantly lower protein content (23.33 %). Probable reason for increasing protein content is that the nitrogen is an integral part of certain co-enzyme involved in protein synthesis. The increase in protein synthesis in groundnut is mainly due to cumulative effect of pod yield. These results are accordance with the finding of Dhadge and Satpute (2014).

#### 3.2.2 Effect of potassium

Treatment  $K_3$  (60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded significantly higher protein content (24.19 %) as compared to rest of the levels of potassium ( $K_2$  and  $K_1$ ). There were no differences between treatment  $K_3$  (60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $K_2$  (40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>). Moreover, significantly lowest protein content (22.97 %) was recorded under treatment  $K_1$  (20 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>). “Effect of potassium on protein content might be due to the fact that soil under experiment was medium in available potassium so response gets more in 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>. Potassium promotes conversion of plant metabolites into proteins and amino acids, thus providing a sink for nitrogen fixed. Similar results” were reported by Gashti et al. (2012) and Rathore et al. (2014).

#### 3.2.3 Effect of KMB

Maximum protein content (23.93 %) in kernels of groundnut was observed with application of KMB ( $B_1$ ) and minimum (23.30 %) protein content was found with  $B_2$  (without KMB).

### 3.3 Nitrogen Content in Kernel (%)

#### 3.3.1 Effect of FYM

Treatment  $F_2$  (5 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>) gave significantly highest nitrogen content with 3.39 % in groundnut kernel. However, significantly lowest nitrogen content (3.17 %) was observed with application of FYM at 0 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. This might be due to FYM application which promoted higher nitrogen fixation and have helped in the increase of content of nutrients due to release of nutrients as its optimum amount for longer period. “These results are similar with findings” of Sutariya et al. (2010) and Vishwakarma et al.

(2012), Indian Oil Seeds and Produce Export Promotion, (2019).

### 3.3.2 Effect of potassium

During analysis, successive increase in potassium levels had non-significantly increased nitrogen content in kernel of groundnut. Application of potassium at 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly higher value (3.33 %) of nitrogen content of groundnut.

### 3.3.3 Effect of KMB

Higher nitrogen content (3.31 %) in groundnut kernel was recorded with the B<sub>1</sub> (with KMB) compared to B<sub>2</sub> (without KMB).

## 3.4 Phosphorus Content in Kernel

### 3.4.1 Effect of FYM

Highest phosphorus content (0.46 %) was recorded with application of FYM at 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (F<sub>2</sub>). The lowest phosphorus content (0.42 %) in kernels of groundnut was recorded (F<sub>1</sub>). "The application of FYM resulted in tremendous increase in available phosphorus status of soil which might be attributed to the build-up of available phosphorus owing to formation of fulvic acid and other chelating agents which form soluble complexes with native phosphorus in soils in groundnut" reported by Sutariya et al. (2010) and Vishwakarma et al. (2012).

### 3.4.2 Effect of potassium

Significantly higher phosphorus content (0.45 %) was recorded with potassium 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. "The lowest phosphorus content (0.42 %) was recorded under treatment K<sub>1</sub> (20 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>). Potassium application affected concentration of N, P and K ions in soil solution and ultimately promote vigorous root development, better K<sub>2</sub>O fixation and better growth and development of plant due to higher photosynthetic activity which resulted in higher content of nutrients. Similar findings" were observed by Salve and Gunjal (2011) and Patel et al. (2019).

### 3.4.3 Effect of KMB

A perusal data indicated that KMB failed to express significant effect on phosphorus content in kernels of groundnut. The phosphorus content (0.44 %) in kernel was observed with both treatments B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>.

## 3.5 Potassium Content in Kernel (%)

### 3.5.1 Effect of FYM

Application of treatment F<sub>2</sub> (5 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>) and F<sub>1</sub> (0 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>) were observed highest potassium content (0.61 %) and lowest potassium content (0.52 %), respectively in kernels of groundnut during investigation. The "application of organic manures showed higher uptake of potash which might be due to increased nutrient availability and improvement in physical condition of soil. These results were in close conformity with" those of Sutariya et al. (2010) and Vishwakarma et al. (2012).

### 3.5.2 Effect of potassium

The significantly maximum potassium content in kernel (0.60 %) was recorded under the treatment K<sub>3</sub> (60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>), where treatment K<sub>3</sub> (60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) remained at par with K<sub>2</sub> (40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>). However, minimum potassium content in kernel was observed under application of 20 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> (K<sub>1</sub>) with 0.53 per cent. These findings are in conformity with those obtained by Kharade et al. (2013) and Hemeid (2015).

### 3.5.3 Effect of KMB

Inoculation of groundnut seeds with levels of KMB exerted non-significant effect on potassium content in kernels of groundnut. However, the highest potassium content (0.57 %) in kernels was observed under B<sub>1</sub> (with KMB).

## 3.6 Nitrogen Content in Haulm

### 3.6.1 Effect of FYM

Application of treatment F<sub>2</sub> (5 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found significantly superior with highest nitrogen content (1.49 %) than lower N content "with application of FYM at 0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (1.41 %). These findings are similar to those" of Sutariya et al. (2010) and Vishwakarma et al. (2012).

### 3.6.2 Effect of potassium

During the study it was observed that application of 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> (K<sub>3</sub>) gave significantly highest potassium content (1.49 %) in groundnut haulm than lower levels of potassium (K<sub>1</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>) and it was statistically at par with 40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> (K<sub>2</sub>) (1.45 %). Moreover, application of 20 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> (K<sub>1</sub>) recorded significantly lowest nitrogen content in haulm with 1.41 per cent. The

“addition of potassium increased its concentration at all stages in groundnut crop, consequently nitrogen content in kernel was increased with increase in potassium levels. The findings are in close agreement with those obtained” by Salve and Gunjal (2011).

### 3.6.3 Effect of KMB

Nitrogen content in haulm did not differ significantly due to various levels of KMB. However, application of KMB (B<sub>1</sub>) gave numerically higher nitrogen content (1.46 %) than KMB (B<sub>2</sub>).

## 3.7 Phosphorus Content in Haulm (%)

### 3.7.1 Effect of FYM

The results showed significant increase in phosphorus content of groundnut haulm due to different levels of FYM. Treatment F<sub>2</sub> (5 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded highest phosphorus content (0.20 %) than application of FYM. However, treatment

F<sub>1</sub> (0 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded significantly the lowest phosphorus content (0.17 %). More or less similar result has been reported by Reddy et al. (2004), Sutaria et al. (2010) and Vishwakarma et al. (2012), Cakmark. (2005), Ghasti et al.,(2012).

### 3.7.2 Effect of potassium

Results showed that effect of different levels of potassium on phosphorus content in haulm of groundnut was noted non-significant. Crop fertilized with 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, 40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> and 20 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded similar phosphorus content (0.19 %) in haulm of groundnut.

### 3.7.3 Effect of KMB

The results presented in Table 1 showed that using KMB has no significant effect on phosphorus content of groundnut haulm. The treatment B<sub>2</sub> (without KMB) and B<sub>1</sub> (with KMB) observed similar phosphorus content (0.19 %) in haulm of groundnut during the period of experimentation.

**Table 1. Oil, Protein content, Nutrient content in kernel and haulm against different treatment**

| Treatments                               | Oil content (%) | Protein content (%) | Nutrient content in kernel (%) |      |      | Nutrient content in haulm (%) |       |      |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|------|------|-------------------------------|-------|------|
|  |                 |                     | N                              | P    | K    | N                             | P     | K    |
| <b>Levels of FYM</b>                     |                 |                     |                                |      |      |                               |       |      |
| F <sub>1</sub> (0 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )   | 49.08           | 23.33               | 3.17                           | 0.42 | 0.52 | 1.41                          | 0.17  | 0.60 |
| F <sub>2</sub> (5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )   | 50.24           | 23.90               | 3.39                           | 0.46 | 0.61 | 1.49                          | 0.20  | 0.66 |
| S.Em. ±                                  | 0.26            | 0.19                | 0.03                           | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02                          | 0.003 | 0.01 |
| CD at 5%                                 | 0.76            | 0.57                | 0.08                           | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05                          | 0.01  | 0.02 |
| <b>Level of potassium</b>                |                 |                     |                                |      |      |                               |       |      |
| K <sub>1</sub> (20 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | 48.95           | 22.97               | 3.22                           | 0.42 | 0.53 | 1.41                          | 0.19  | 0.60 |
| K <sub>2</sub> (40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | 49.76           | 23.68               | 3.29                           | 0.45 | 0.57 | 1.45                          | 0.19  | 0.64 |
| K <sub>3</sub> (60 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | 50.27           | 24.19               | 3.33                           | 0.45 | 0.60 | 1.49                          | 0.19  | 0.66 |
| S.Em. ±                                  | 0.32            | 0.24                | 0.03                           | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02                          | 0.003 | 0.01 |
| CD at 5%                                 | 0.94            | 0.70                | NS                             | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06                          | NS    | 0.02 |
| <b>Levels of KMB</b>                     |                 |                     |                                |      |      |                               |       |      |
| B <sub>1</sub> (With)                    | 50.06           | 23.93               | 3.31                           | 0.44 | 0.57 | 1.46                          | 0.19  | 0.64 |
| B <sub>2</sub> (Without)                 | 49.26           | 23.30               | 3.26                           | 0.44 | 0.56 | 1.44                          | 0.19  | 0.62 |
| S.Em. ±                                  | 0.26            | 0.19                | 0.03                           | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02                          | 0.003 | 0.01 |
| CD at 5%                                 | 0.76            | 0.57                | NS                             | NS   | NS   | NS                            | NS    | NS   |
| <b>Interaction</b>                       |                 |                     |                                |      |      |                               |       |      |
| F×K                                      | NS              | NS                  | NS                             | NS   | NS   | NS                            | NS    | NS   |
| F×B                                      | NS              | NS                  | NS                             | NS   | NS   | NS                            | NS    | NS   |
| K×B                                      | NS              | NS                  | NS                             | NS   | NS   | NS                            | NS    | NS   |
| F×K×B                                    | NS              | NS                  | NS                             | NS   | NS   | NS                            | NS    | NS   |
| C.V (%)                                  | 2.23            | 3.48                | 3.52                           | 5.39 | 7.70 | 4.95                          | 6.42  | 4.65 |

### 3.8 Potassium Content in Haulm (%)

#### 3.8.1 Effect of FYM

The maximum (0.66 %) potassium in haulm recorded with application of FYM @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (F<sub>2</sub>) and minimum (0.60 %) was observed due to no application of FYM (F<sub>1</sub>). The results obtained are in accordance with the findings of Reddy et al. (2004), Sutariya et al. (2010) and Vishwakarma et al. (2012).

#### 3.8.2 Effect of potassium

Treatment K<sub>3</sub> (60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded significantly higher potassium content (0.66 %) in groundnut haulm which was remained at par with treatment K<sub>2</sub> (40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) with 0.64 %. The lowest potassium content in haulm (0.60 %) was recorded under treatment K<sub>1</sub> (20 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>). The results are similar to the findings of Salve and Gunjal (2011) and Patel et al. (2019).

#### 3.8.3 Effect of KMB

The results showed that KMB produce non-significant effect on potassium content of groundnut haulm. However, numerically higher value of potassium content of haulm (0.64 %) was recorded with treatment B 1 (with KMB).

### 4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results obtained from this study, it is concluded that, to achieve quality produce from *kharif*, groundnut (TG 37 A) grown under loamy sand requires application of manure at 5 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> and 40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> along with seed inoculation with KMB and recommended dose of nitrogen and phosphorus.

### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

- Borah, B., Patil, D.S. and Pawar, R.B. (2017). Enhancing kharif groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) yield and quality in entisol through potassic fertilizer management. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*, 6 (11): 4068-4074.
- Cakmark. (2005). Effect of N, P and K levels on yield, nutrient content, uptake and quality of summer groundnut grown on Typic Haplustep! *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 53(1): 125-128.
- Dhadge, S.M. and Satpute, N.R. (2014). Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of summer groundnut. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 10(1): 314-316.
- Gayathri, J. (2018). A trend analysis of area production and yield of groundnut in India. *International Journal of Economics*. 6 (3): 15-21.
- Ghasti, A.H., Veshekaei, M.N.S. and Hossienzadeh, M.H. (2012). Effect of potassium and calcium application on Yield, Yield Components and qualitative characteristics of peanut (*Arachis hypogea* L.). *World Applied Science Journal*, 16(4): 540-546.
- Hemeid, N.M. (2015). Effect of different sources and levels of potassium fertilization on productivity of peanut grown under sandy soil conditions. *Journal of Soil Science and Agricultural Engineering*, 6 (12): 1441-1454.
- Indian Oil Seeds and Produce Export Promotion Council kharif survey of groundnut (2019).
- Kharade, S.B., Kasture, M.C. and Palsande, V.N. (2013). Response of groundnut to different levels of nitrogen and potassium with and without bio fertilizers in relation to yield, quality and nutrient uptake. *An Asian Journal of Soil Science*, 8 (2): 241-244.
- Patel, P.K., Viradiya, M.B., Patel, V.S. and Kadivala, V.H. (2019). Effect of potassium and sulphur on nutrient content, uptake and soil fertility of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) under middle Gujarat condition. *International Journal of chemical studies*. 7 (1): 797-800.
- Rahevar, H.D., Patel, P.P., Patel, B.T., Joshi, S.K. and Vaghela, S.G. (2015). Effect of FYM, iron and zinc on growth and yield of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) under north Gujarat agro-climatic

- conditions. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 49 (3): 294-296.
- Rathore, S.S., Chadhary, D.R., Vaisya, L.K., Shekhawat, K. and Bhatt, B.P. (2014). Schoenite and potassium sulphate: Indigenous potassic fertilizer for rainfed groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.). *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 13 (1): 222-226.
- Reddy, S.S., Shivaraj, B. and Reddy, V.C. (2004). Nutrient uptake and agronomy efficiency of groundnut as influenced by different organic manures. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 17 (4): 670-675.
- Salve, Y.V. and Gunjal, B.S. (2011). Effect of different levels of phosphorus and potassium on summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.). *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 7 (2): 352-355.
- Sutariya, G.S, Akabari, K.N., Vora, V.D., Hirpara, D.S and Padmini, D.R. (2010). Influence of phosphorus and FYM on content and uptake of nutrients by groundnut and soil fertility of Verticustochrepts under rainfed conditions. *Asian Journal of Soil Science*. 5 (1): 197-199.
- Ventakesh, M.S., Majumdar, B., Kailash, K. and Patiram, H. (2006). Response of groundnut to sulphur, boron and FYM doses in a Ultic Hapludalf of Meghalaya. *Journal of Oil Seed Research*, 23 (1): 52-54.
- Vishwakarma, A., Brajendra, K., Pathak, K.A. and Ramakrishna, Y. (2012). Effect of different sources of nutrient application on productivity, nutrient uptake and economics of groundnut in Kolasib District of Mizoram. *Indian Journal of Soil Conservation*, 40 (2): 152-157.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/134895>