



A Review on Biochar-Based Restoration of Degraded Soils Through Effective Preparation and Application

Daniel Prakash Kushwaha ^{a*} and Anil Kumar ^b

^a Department of Soil and Water Conservation Engineering, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda – 210001 (Banda), Uttar Pradesh, India.

^b Department of Soil and Water Conservation Engineering, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar – 263145 (U. S. Nagar), Uttarakhand, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author DPK was responsible for writing – original draft, review, and editing. Author AK contributed to review and editing. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2025/v37i65531>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/138098>

Review Article

Received: 14/04/2025
Accepted: 16/06/2025
Published: 19/06/2025

ABSTRACT

Soil degradation refers to the decline in the land productive capacity due to both natural and anthropogenic factors. Its major causes include soil erosion, waterlogging, acidification, salinization, nutrients loss, and unsustainable human activities, all of which negatively impact soil health over time. One effective approach to rehabilitating degraded soils is the use of soil amendments. Biochar, a carbon-rich soil amendment produced through pyrolysis of organic materials, has been widely recognized for its ability to enhance the physico-chemical properties and long-term fertility of soil. This paper reviews effect of biochar on soil properties, its preparation, feedstock selection, temperature for pyrolysis, particle size, and application rate of biochar on degraded soils for

*Corresponding author: E-mail: dpkushwaha45499@gmail.com;

reversing soil deterioration. The best choice for producing economical biochar is to use the cheapest raw material that is easily accessible locally. Slow pyrolysis (temperature between 250°C to 500°C and heating rate less than or equivalent to 100°C/min) produces more biochar than fast pyrolysis (temperatures more than 500°C and heating rate more than 1000°C/min) and considered as the favoured method. Small or nano particle-sized biochar is always more effective than pure, non-ground biochar. Nano-sized biochar effectively reduced the runoff rate, soil erosion, and helped the nitrate to move towards the deep profile by increasing the pore size of degraded soil structure. Although, there have been no recorded detrimental effects from applying a higher amount of biochar in the soil, the expense of application may increase.

Keywords: Biochar; Pyrolysis temperature; particle size of biochar; application rate; soil degradation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Human-induced land degradation poses a more serious and widespread threat to soil health and ecosystem stability than natural degradation processes. Activities such as the use of heavy machinery on sloped terrains, widespread deforestation, overgrazing, and inappropriate agricultural practices including mono-cropping, over-tillage, and inadequate crop rotation are major anthropogenic factors contributing to the rapid deterioration of soil quality (Kushwaha et al., 2021). The excessive and unbalanced application of chemical fertilizers and pesticides further disrupts the natural nutrient cycles, microbial balance, and structure of soil, leading to long-term fertility loss (Singh et al., 2023). In hilly regions, mechanical disturbances accelerate soil erosion and slope instability, exacerbating degradation risks (Tamta et al., 2023).

Socio-economic factors, particularly poverty and rapid population growth, also play a significant role in intensifying land degradation. In low-income areas, resource limitations often force communities to exploit land unsustainably, while rising population pressure leads to land fragmentation, reduced fallow periods, and conversion of marginal lands for cultivation (Tamta et al., 2023). These direct and indirect drivers create a cycle of declining land productivity, reduced agricultural income, and increased vulnerability to food insecurity and climate impacts (Bhattacharyya et al., 2015). Addressing human-induced degradation, therefore, requires integrated land management strategies that consider both environmental and socio-economic dimensions.

Agricultural lands are experiencing significant degradation in soil quality due to the extensive use of various organic and inorganic contaminants by human activities. Additionally, improper land use practices adversely affect soil pore size distribution and water retention

capacity (Dlapa et al., 2020; Tamta et al., 2023). Soil erosion, driven by extreme precipitation events and anthropogenic pressures, remains a critical concern (Kushwaha and Kumar, 2016; Kushwaha and Kumar, 2017a, 2017b, 2017c). Climate change has led to increasing variability in precipitation duration, intensity, and seasonal patterns, and these factors significantly influence the extent of soil erosion (Luffman and Nandi, 2020; Navale et al., 2018). Moreover, altered rainfall patterns have also contributed to the processes of soil acidification and salinization. Therefore, the adoption of integrated and sustainable land management practices is strongly recommended to mitigate soil degradation and preserve soil health (Kushwaha and Kumar, 2023; Singh et al., 2020; Zama et al., 2018).

Improving soil health in a sustainable manner is essential for restoring vegetation cover and enhancing agricultural productivity, which in turn supports socio-economic development. Use of soil amendments can be the solution for restoration of degraded soil because these are the substances added to improve soil nutrient content, organic matter, and mineral balance to promote healthy plant growth (Kushwaha et al., 2021). Based on decades' worth of research, it was suggested that biochar, a soil amendment is an eco-friendly solution to reclaim contaminated soil, improve its physico-chemical properties, and reduce soil erosion (Kushwaha and Kumar, 2021; Singh et al., 2023; Zama et al., 2018). Biochar is regarded as a good soil amendment as a part of ex-situ residue management (Singh et al., 2019). Well-made biochar is lighter in weight and contain less British Thermal Units (BTUs) per volume than the charcoal. It contains most of the carbon and nutrients present in the original biomass with high surface area, CEC and porosity (S. V. Singh et al., 2020).

Biochar has emerged as a multi-functional soil amendment with the potential to significantly

enhance soil health and restore degraded lands (Kushwaha et al., 2021). When applied to soil, biochar contributes to the improvement of soil aggregate stability, enhances moisture retention capacity, facilitates carbon sequestration, adsorbs pesticide residues and heavy metals, and supports the breakdown and availability of essential nutrients such as phosphorus and potassium (Luthra et al., 2024). Additionally, biochar exhibits antimicrobial properties that can suppress soil-borne pathogens, thereby promoting a healthier rhizosphere environment. The stable carbon structure of biochar makes it particularly effective in long-term reclamation of deteriorated soils, contributing to both soil quality enhancement and climate change mitigation through carbon storage (Singh et al., 2023).

Biochar is intentionally produced for soil application through pyrolysis which is a thermo-chemical decomposition of organic biomass under limited oxygen conditions (S. V. Singh et al., 2020). Its properties, and therefore its effectiveness, are largely influenced by the type of feedstock (e.g., agricultural waste, forestry residues, or manure) and key pyrolysis parameters such as temperature, heating rate, and duration (Chan et al., 2007; Kuoppamaki et al., 2016). Higher pyrolysis temperatures generally result in biochars with greater surface area and stability, which improve nutrient retention and microbial activity in the soil (S. V. Singh et al., 2020). Furthermore, the particle size and application rate of biochar play crucial roles in determining its impact on soil structure, nutrient dynamics, and water holding capacity (Chen et al., 2020).

This review focuses on the critical aspects of biochar use for soil restoration, including its physical and chemical properties, its effect on soil properties, feedstock selection, pyrolysis temperature conditions, particle size distribution, and optimal application rates. Emphasis is placed on how these factors interact to maximize the effectiveness of biochar in reclaiming degraded soils and improving long-term soil productivity.

2. EFFECT OF BIOCHAR ON SOIL PROPERTIES

2.1 Effect of biochar on soil physico-chemical properties

The physical properties of biochar play a crucial role in influencing the physical environment of soil when incorporated into the soil matrix. Soils

possess distinct physical characteristics such as bulk density, porosity, specific gravity, structure, liquid and plastic limits, hydraulic conductivity, and moisture content (Kushwaha et al., 2021). Upon application, biochar undergoes gradual decomposition and modifies these properties by enhancing porosity, moisture retention, hydraulic conductivity, infiltration rate, and the liquid and plastic limits, while reducing surface runoff and mitigating soil acidity (Hammes and Schmidt, 2009). Furthermore, biochar alters soil texture and structure by modifying surface area, pore distribution, particle size, and particle packing (Blanco-Canqui, 2017). These changes not only improve water availability to plants but also promote root proliferation and crop productivity. By enhancing soil moisture retention, biochar reduces the risk of drought stress, particularly in drought-prone regions.

Since biochar is basic in nature, it helps to raise the pH of acidic soil and neutralise it (Luthra et al., 2024). This characteristic of biochar is known as the liming effect. By preserving nutrients and fertilisers in the soil, biochar also aids in soil retention (Lehmann et al., 2006). Due to its high carbon content, biochar enhances the soil ability to retain nutrients and improves the availability of essential elements such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Additionally, it helps the soil access micronutrients like calcium, magnesium, and others. The ability of biochar to absorb nutrients from the soil and release them gradually enables plants to get nutrients throughout their whole growth cycle (Ajema, 2018; DeLuca et al., 2015; Liang et al., 2006). Because of less releasing of nutrients, it reduces the non-point source pollution from the agricultural lands, which is a major problem of pollution in water bodies (Cao et al., 2018).

Biochar also helps the farmers not to use heavy fertilizers and pesticides in the fields and provides a healthier environment into the soil (Kushwaha et al., 2021). Subsequently, ability of biochar provides habitat to the life forms micro-organisms such as helpful bacteria, fungi, protozoa, nematodes, arthropods, and earthworms and increase soil biodiversity (Slapakova et al., 2018). Biochar also makes availability of micro-nutrients in the soil by increasing air and water movement. Biochar is a heterogeneous substance, fine-grained and a form of charcoal. It is mainly made up of elements such as carbon, hydrogen, sulfur, oxygen, nitrogen and minerals in the ash fraction (S. V. Singh et al., 2020).

Basic physico-chemical properties of biochar obtained from rice husk and rice straw biomass is given in Table 1. Literatures stated that slow pyrolysis of rice husk in proximate analysis gives moisture content 3.88% to 3.90%, volatile content 17.27% to 17.30%, ash content 23.45% to 23.50%, fixed carbon 55.40 %. Slow pyrolysis of rice straw in proximate analysis gives moisture content near to 3.80%, volatile content 18.50% to 20.90%, ash content 22.45% to 38.80%, and fixed carbon near to 55.6 %. Total carbon content is high as 67.20% to 67.50% for both rice husk and rice straw. Biochar yield from the feed stock was observed in the range of 38.23% to 43.32% for both rice husk and rice straw. Biochar pH, EC, bulk density, particle density, porosity and cation exchange capacity (CEC) showed huge variations in their values for both rice husk and rice straw. Organic carbon was found in good percent (48.12%) for rice husk in comparison to rice straw (2.93%)

2.2 Effect of Biochar on Soil Biological Properties

The source of biochar is biological. Rondon et al. (2007) reported that biochar increases biological nitrogen fixation and helps the plants for better growth in comparison to a biochar-free soil. The reason for the improved biological nitrogen fixation was mostly an effect of the improved availability of boron and likely of molybdenum, and to a lesser extent, a decreased nitrogen availability at carbon/nitrogen ratios of 24-35 and increased availability of potassium, calcium, and phosphorus and higher pH as well as lower aluminium saturation. Biochar increases the plant height and biomass production and subsequently increases agricultural productivity. In some cases it was also observed that addition of biochar reduces the growth of vegetation (Mikan and Abrams, 1995). Application rate, pyrolysis temperature, type of feedstock and other factors are also responsible for changing biochar ability.

Table 1. Basic physico-chemical properties of biochar produced from rice husk and rice straw feedstocks

S. No.	Property	Peng et al. (2016)	Li et al. (2017)	S. V. Singh et al. (2020)	S. V. Singh et al. (2020)	Kushwaha et al. (2021)
1.	Feedstock	Rice straw	Rice straw	Rice husk	Rice straw	Rice husk
2.	Pyrolysis temperature (°C)	400	500	450	450	450
3.	Proximate analysis					
(i)	Moisture content (%)	-	-	3.90	3.80	3.88
(ii)	Volatile content (%)	20.90	-	17.30	18.50	17.27
(iii)	Ash content (%)	38.80	-	23.50	22.00	23.45
(iv)	Fixed carbon (%)	-	-	55.40	55.60	55.40
4.	Ultimate analysis (i)					
(i)	Carbon (%)	-	-	67.50	67.20	67.49
(ii)	Oxygen (%)	-	-	7.90	9.10	30.44
(iii)	Nitrogen (%)	1.26	1.83	0.71	0.64	0.71
(iv)	Hydrogen (%)	-	-	1.30	1.70	1.31
(v)	Sulphur (%)	-	-	0.04	0.14	0.03
5.	Particle size (mm)	34.4% 0.5-2	0.25	< 1	< 1	60% ≤ 0.425
6.	Biochar yield (%)	-	-	43.32	38.23	40.49
7.	pH	-	9.50	6.50	8.10	9.03
8.	EC (µS/cm)	-	336	590	680	156
9.	Bulk density (g/cm ³)	-	-	-	-	0.30
10.	Particle density (g/cm ³)	-	-	-	-	1.70
11.	Porosity (%)	-	-	-	-	82.57
12.	CEC (cmol (p+)/kg)	-	64.8	27.1	25.8	26.2
13.	Organic carbon (%)	-	2.93	-	-	48.12

3. PREPARATION OF BIOCHAR

3.1 Biochar Production Units

There are four types of production units namely kiln, stove, pyrolysis oven and industrial sized unit. Kilns are made up of dirt, bricks and sometimes steel. Preparing biochar in the kiln is economical and with this unit all small/marginal farmer could produce biochar in their own fields (Mandal, 2018). Pyrolysis oven is used for large amount of biochar production. Small stoves are also useful for producing small quantities of biochar and being used in many countries. To achieve ecologically safe production using a sustainable feedstock in industrial biomass production systems, due care must be taken. Biomass residence time increases with biomass size in the pyrolysis reactor (Agu et al., 2019). It is important and necessary to develop a low cost biochar production system, so that small and marginal farmers could get the benefit of biochar amendment in their fields.

3.2 Processing Pyrolysis Temperature of Biochar


Pyrolysis refers to as breakdown of large molecules of a substance into smaller molecules in the presence of heat without combustion or burning. Biomass is put through a thermo-chemical conversion process at an appropriate pyrolysis temperature in an atmosphere with little to no oxygen supply to create biochar. It depends on the temperature and duration of heating. Selection of pyrolysis temperature is very crucial to produce good quality biochar. Many studies reported the selection of pyrolysis temperature for biochar heating, depending on which type and how much of feedstock is being handed during pyrolysis (Chopra et al., 2022; Tomczyk et al., 2020).

In this manner, two types of pyrolysis are generally preferred one is slow pyrolysis and another one is fast pyrolysis. Fast pyrolysis is performed at high temperatures of more than

500°C and duration of heating in seconds with heating rate more than 1000°C/min. It causes smaller pore size of the biochar and more bio-oil and gas components (Nartey and Zhao, 2014). On the other hand, slow pyrolysis typically requires longer time (30 minutes to several hours) before the feedstock is entirely pyrolyzed. Temperatures in slow pyrolysis vary from 250°C to 500°C, and the heating rate is less than or equivalent to 100°C/min (Brown et al., 2011).

According to a report, slow pyrolysis produces more biochar than fast pyrolysis (Antal and Gronli, 2003; Dutta, 2010; Peng et al., 2011; Stoyale, 2011). These factors make slow pyrolysis the favoured method (Joseph et al., 2010; Kushwaha et al., 2021; Li et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2016; S. V. Singh et al., 2020). With an increase in pyrolysis temperature, the total carbon content, ash content, pH, CEC, surface area, and pore volumes all rise for woody biochar (Elnour et al., 2019). The two chemicals cellulose and lignin, which are comprised of carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen, make up the majority of biomass. These substances combine with oxygen during the burning of biomass to produce carbon dioxide and water as well as smoke, ash, and other gases (S. V. Singh et al., 2020). Fire does not receive enough oxygen for burning during the pyrolysis phase.

The cellulose and lignin are broken down as opposed to burning. Carbon atoms are left behind as the water molecules are formed by hydrogen and oxygen atoms and biochar is the carbon that remains (S. V. Singh et al., 2020). In industrial biochar pyrolysis systems, a sizable amount of the residue is put to use for biogas, bio oil, and other uses besides making biochar (Vuppaladadiyam et al., 2023). In Fig. 1, a typical cyclic process of biochar preparation and subsequently biomass creation is shown. Biomass would be used again as a feedstock to prepare biochar for next crop. Chemical reaction, mass balance and energy balance in the biochar preparation is given below:



Mass of feedstock – Mass of biochar = Mass of (pyro-gases + Bulk liquid substances)

Energy in biomass + Electrical energy to run the blower – Energy in biochar
=Energy for drying bulk liquid substances + Energy in exhaust gases + Energy lost from the kiln




Fig. 1 Cyclic process of biochar and biomass production (Singh et al., 2023)

Zhao et al. (2017) investigated the composition and characteristics of biochar generated from apple tree branches at four different pyrolysis temperatures, namely 300, 400, 500, and 600 °C. According to Zhao et al. (2017), pyrolysis temperature significantly affects the characteristics of biochar. The findings demonstrated that while yield and cation exchange capacity decreased, the concentration of fixed carbon and inorganic minerals such as potassium, phosphorus, iron, zinc, calcium, and magnesium increased with increasing temperature. Additionally, it was discovered that pH and ash concentration peaked at 500 °C pyrolysis temperature. Additionally, it was discovered that thermal stability increased as pyrolysis temperature goes up. According to Zhao et al. (2017), the production of biochar that can withstand the specific type of soil and land use conditions for improved crop development may be aided by an appropriate pyrolysis temperature. Elnour et al. (2019) investigated how different pyrolysis temperatures affected the physico-chemical properties and microstructural evolution of biochar. To study the behaviour of the biochar, pyrolysis temperatures were fixed to five ranges of 300, 400, 500, 600, and 700 °C. According to Elnour et al. (2019), pyrolysis temperature has a significant impact on how biochar is formed from any biomass and how it alters the microstructure of the material.

S. V. Singh et al. (2020) studied the effect of pyrolysis temperatures on biochar behavior on physico-chemical properties of soil and sorption/desorption pattern of bio-urea. Two types of biochar were used namely rice straw based biochar and rice husk based biochar. Three pyrolysis temperatures namely 300, 450 and 600 °C were selected for this purpose. S. V. Singh et al. (2020) observed that pyrolysis temperature reduced the biochar yield but increased its carbon content, pH and EC values. The maximum value of urea adsorption was reported at the pyrolysis temperature of 450 °C, of more than 90% sorption in both rice straw and husk biochar according to S. V. Singh et al, (2020).

3.3 Feedstock for Biochar Preparation and its Effect on Soil Properties

The waste that is readily available locally, such as manure, wood debris, bamboo pieces, construction waste, slash from forest thinning, food processing waste, residue from methane digesters, urban tree trimming waste, grasses, rice husk, wheat straw, sugarcane straw, maize straw, and other agricultural residues and grasses, are the most sustainable feedstock for the production of biochar (Kiran et al., 2017; Ronsse et al., 2013). Air-dried dairy effluent sludge was used to create biochar, according to

Sadeghi et al. (2018). Using this biochar, severely deteriorated soil is recovered. To evaluate the behaviour of the produced biochar, carbon, nitrogen, organic matter, and carbon/nitrogen ratio are determined. Different doses of biochar were applied to check produced biochar behavior in degraded soil. The results showed that biochar was having multi-objective and eco-friendly behavior to maintain soil environment and improve soil quality (Sadeghi et al., 2018).

Liu et al. (2018) used biochar to study the cadmium accumulation in leafy vegetable cultivars. They used two types of feedstock to prepare the biochar, one was bamboo and another one was rice straw. They applied these amendments in an artificially created contaminated soil plots to study the decreasing rate of cadmium. Results demonstrated that rice straw based biochar was more effective in reducing the availability of cadmium in comparison to bamboo based biochar. Because rice straw based biochar enhanced the soil physico-chemical properties better than the bamboo based biochar, which in result reduced the cadmium accumulation. The behaviour of soil characteristics in response to three distinct feedstocks namely baby corn peel, mango tree branches, and rice husk biochar was examined by Rafael et al. (2019). In acidic sandy soil, all the biochar was applied in combination with NPK fertiliser. In the treatments using biochar made from baby corn peel and mango tree branches, the pH of the soil increased. Additionally, this amendment permits the field to use the recommended amounts of NPK fertiliser without endangering the soil.

3.4 Effect of Small-Sized Biochar Particle on Degraded Soil

Huge application rate of biochar makes it less demanding for maintaining soil properties (Bhandari et al., 2023). To resolve such problem, small size or nano-sized biochar for reclaiming degraded soil could be a better option. Nano-size biochar effectively reduced the runoff rate, soil erosion and nutrients losses from sloping surface (Chen et al., 2020). Chen et al. (2020) investigated that increment in the pore size is responsible for reduction in runoff and soil erosion. Furthermore, nano-biochar helped the nitrate to move towards the deep profile of soil due to which nitrogen loss through runoff water reduced up to great extent. Li et al. (2019) used three different types of biochar, with particle

sizes namely < 0.25, 1 to 0.25 and 1 to 2 mm, and found that the smallest biochar particles were more efficient at reducing soil erosion and runoff as well as increasing the amount of water-stabilized soil aggregate and saturated hydraulic conductivity. Li et al. (2019) found that proliferation of the pore spaces of soil is responsible for increment of saturated hydraulic conductivity and increment in the water-stabilized soil aggregates and these factors reduced the soil erosion and runoff.

Kushwaha et al. (2021) found that big size biochar is less reactive with soil than the small/fine sized biochar particles. Due to this reason, the biochar particles were ground manually in the form of thin powder and it was ensured that while hand grinding, at least 60% of the biochar particles should be smaller than 0.425 mm. Peng et al. (2016) used biochar of various sizes, and the majority of it 34.4% was in the range of 0.5-2 mm size. Biochar with particle sizes of 0.25 mm and 1 mm were utilised by Li et al. (2017) and S. V. Singh et al. (2020), respectively, to promote healthy vegetation and reclaim degraded soil (Table 1).

4. EFFECT OF APPLICATION RATE OF BIOCHAR ON DEGRADED SOIL

Biochar is lighter than other soil additives, because of that it is applied at a higher rate, but its impact on the soil lasts for a long time and does not require annual application. Chen et al. (2020) used total five doses of nano-biochar namely 0%, 0.1%, 0.5%, 0.7% and 1.0% and observed that efficacy of biochar increases in the soil with the application rate. Gholami et al. (2019) investigated three different biochar application rates, namely 0.4, 0.8, and 1.6 t ha⁻¹, and discovered that runoff and soil erosion decreased as the biochar concentration increased. According to Gholami et al. (2019), the optimum method for reducing soil erosion and runoff while maintaining the physico-chemical properties of the soil is to apply 1.6 t ha⁻¹ of biochar at a 10% moisture content of the soil.

Kumar et al. (2019) suggested different doses of biochars to be mixed in compacted soil at 5% and 10% (by weight). It was found that biochar reduced erosion in dry state of soil, but in wet state, it had opposite behavior. Kumar et al. (2019) have also found that biochar helps to grow healthy vegetation when it is applied at higher rate of application, which in turns reduces soil compaction and erosion. Xia et al. (2020)

used biochar at five levels namely 0, 7.5, 15, 30 and 45 g kg⁻¹ with combination of two N levels namely 0 and 0.2 g kg⁻¹ for improving soil quality in terms of increasing nitrogen use efficiency and acidic toxicity of soil. Xia et al. (2020) showed that root with shoot biomass as well as nitrogen utilization rate were increased due to increment in biochar level of application. Ahmadi et al. (2020) mixed biochar with 0-1.5 cm of top soil and applied at the rates of 0, 1, 2, and 3 kg m⁻² into the soil bins to understand the behavior of rice husk based in loamy soil. Ahmadi et al. (2020) indicated that 3 kg m⁻² rate of biochar is more significant to reduce runoff, soil erosion, and salinity of runoff and percolation water.

Gao et al. (2020) employed biochar made from maize stover in farmlands with seasonally frozen soil at several recommended doses, including 0, 15, 30, 45, and 60 t ha⁻¹. According to Gao et al. (2020), biochar helped lower soil temperature and thermal conductivity, which are connected with the rate at which it is applied. Findings of Geo et al. (2020) was also justified with the findings of Singh et al. (2018). Wang et al. (2019) used three rates of biochar namely 0%, 2%, and 5% and three rates of polyacrylamide (PAM) namely 0%, 0.4%, and 1% to reduce soil degradation in silt loam soil and stated that 5% biochar and 1% of PAM is the best combination. Liu et al. (2018) applied biochar in the soil at four different rates namely 0.5, 1, 2.5 and 5% and observed that higher rates of biochar is more effective to enhance soil properties and to reduce the cadmium accumulation in leafy vegetable cultivars. According to Kushwaha et al. (2021), applying biochar at a rate of 15 t ha⁻¹ or higher on slopes with silty clay loam soil is beneficial in reducing runoff and soil erosion as well as improving the soil physico-chemical characteristics.

All these review stated that application rate of biochar depends on soil type. All soil requires different treatments and hence biochar application rate differs. Notwithstanding, higher dose of biochar is safe in the soil but its application cost may be increase. More studies are still required to understand the optimal application rate of biochar in other type of soil and other climatic and terrestrial conditions.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

The review included the features of biochar in soil, its production processes, the ideal pyrolysis temperature, the raw materials and particle sizes

of biochar, and the application rate for reclaiming deteriorated soil. By using biochar properly in the field, soil physico-chemical characteristics can be improved, and runoff and soil erosion can be decreased. Slow pyrolysis between 250°C and 500°C is preferable to quick pyrolysis, and the cheapest raw material readily available locally is the best option to make affordable biochar. Pure, non-ground biochar is never as effective as small or nano-sized particles. Higher dose of biochar is safe in the soil and no any negative effect was reported but the cost of application may go up. More researches are still needed to determine the best biochar application rate with its nano-size effect under various soil, meteorological and terrestrial circumstances. To fully understand how biochar behaves in environmental conditions, further research is needed, with an emphasis on fieldwork rather than laboratory work.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technology ChatGPT (website: <https://chatgpt.com>) has been used in the introduction section (first two paragraphs) of this manuscript to improve the language/English quality

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Agu, C. E., Pfeifer, C., Eikeland, M., Tokheim, L.-A., & Moldestad, B. M. E. (2019). Measurement and characterization of biomass mean residence time in an air-blown bubbling fluidized bed gasification reactor. *Fuel*, 253, 1414–1423. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2019.05.103>
- Ahmadi, S. H., Ghasemi, H., & Sepaskhah, A. R. (2020). Rice husk biochar influences runoff features, soil loss, and hydrological behavior of a loamy soil in a series of successive simulated rainfall events. *Catena*, 192, 104587.
- Ajema, L. (2018). Effects of biochar application on beneficial soil organism review. *International Journal of Research Studies in Science, Engineering and Technology*, 5(5), 9–18.
- Antal, M. J., & Gronli, M. (2003). The art, science, and technology of charcoal

- production. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 42(8), 1619–1640.
- Bhandari, G., Gangola, S., Dhasmana, A., Rajput, V., Gupta, S., Malik, S., & Slama, P. (2023). Nano-biochar: recent progress, challenges, and opportunities for sustainable environmental remediation. *Front. Microbiol.*, 14, 1214870. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1214870>
- Bhattacharyya, R., Ghosh, B. N., Mishra, P. L., Mandal, B., Rao, C. S., Sarkar, D., Das, K., Anil, K. S., Lalitha, M., Hati, K. M., & Franzluebbers, A. J. (2015). Soil degradation in India: Challenges and potential solutions. *Sustainability*, 7, 3528–3570. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su7043528>
- Blanco-Canqui, H. (2017). Biochar and soil physical properties. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 81, 687–711.
- Brown, T. R., Wright, M. M., & Brown, R. C. (2011). Estimating profitability of two biochar production scenarios: Slow pyrolysis vs fast pyrolysis. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining*, 5(1), 54–68.
- Cao, Y., Gao, Y., Qi, Y., & Li, J. (2018). Biochar enhanced composts reduce the potential leaching of nutrients and heavy metals and suppress plant-parasitic nematodes in excessively fertilized cucumber soils. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*, 25(8), 7589–7599.
- Chan, K. Y., Van Zwieten, L., Meszaros, I., Downie, A., & Joseph, S. (2007). Agronomic values of green waste biochar as a soil amendment. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 45, 629–634. <https://doi.org/10.1071/SR07109>
- Chen, X., Zhou, B., Wang, Q., Tao, W., & Lin, H. (2020). Nano-biochar reduced soil erosion and nitrate loss in sloping fields on the Loess Plateau of China. *Catena*, 187, 104346.
- Chopra, J., Rangarajan, V., & Sen, R. (2022). Recent developments in oleaginous yeast feedstock based biorefinery for production and life cycle assessment of biofuels and value-added products. *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, 53, 102621. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2022.102621>
- DeLuca, T. H., Gundale, M. J., MacKenzie, M. D., & Jones, D. L. (2015). Biochar effects on soil nutrient transformation. In Lehmann, J., & Joseph, S. (Eds.), *Biochar for Environmental Management: Science and Technology* (2nd ed., pp. 421–454). Routledge.
- Dlapa, P., Hrinik, D., Hrabovsky, A., Simkovic, I., Zarnovican, H., Sekucia, F., & Kollar, J. (2020). The impact of land-use on the hierarchical pore size distribution and water retention properties in loamy soils. *Water*, 12, 339. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w12020339>
- Dutta, B. (2010). Assessment of pyrolysis techniques of lignocellulosic biomass for biochar production. [Dissertation, McGill University].
- Elnour, A. Y., Alghyamah, A. A., Shaikh, H. M., Poulouse, A. M., Al-Zahrani, S. M., Anis, A., & Al-Wabel, M. I. (2019). Effect of pyrolysis temperature on biochar microstructural evolution, physicochemical characteristics, and its influence on biochar/polypropylene composites. *Applied Sciences*, 9, 1149. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app9061149>
- Gao, Y., Li, T., Fu, Q., Li, H., Liu, D., Ji, Y., Li, Q., & Cai, Y. (2020). Biochar application for the improvement of water-soil environments and carbon emissions under freeze-thaw conditions: An in-situ field trial. *Science of the Total Environment*, 723, 138007.
- Gholami, L., Karimi, N., & Kaviani, A. (2019). Soil and water conservation using biochar and various soil moisture in laboratory conditions. *Catena*, 182, 104151.
- Hammes, K., & Schmidt, M. W. I. (2009). Changes in biochar in soils. In Lehmann, J., & Joseph, S. (Eds.), *Biochar for Environmental Management: Science and Technology* (pp. 169–182). London: Earthscan.
- Joseph, S. D., Camps-Arbestain, M., Lin, Y., Munroe, P., Chia, C. H., Hook, J., Zwieten, L., Kimber, S., Cowie, A., Singh, B. P., Lehmann, J., Foidl, N., Smernik, R. J., & Amonette, J. E. (2010). An investigation into the reactions of biochar in soil. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 48, 501–515.
- Kiran, Y. K., Barkat, A., Xiao-qiang, C., Ying, F., Feng-shan, P., Lin, T., & Xiao-e, Y. (2017). Cow manure and cow manure-derived biochar application as a soil amendment for reducing cadmium availability and accumulation by *Brassica chinensis* L. in acidic red soil. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 16(3), 725–734.
- Kumar, H., Ganesan, S. P., Bordoloi, S., Sreedeeep, S., Lin, P., Mei, G., Garg, A., & Sarmah, A. K. (2019). Erodibility

- assessment of compacted biochar amended soil for geo-environmental applications. *Science of the Total Environment*, 672, 698–707.
- Kuoppamaki, K., Hagner, M., Lehvavirta, S., & Setälä, H. (2016). Biochar amendment in the green roof substrate affects runoff quality and quantity. *Ecol. Eng.*, 88, 1–9.
- Kushwaha, D. P., & Kumar, A. (2021). Modeling of sediment yield and nutrient loss after application of pre-determined dose of top soil amendments. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 10(4), 1199–1206.
- Kushwaha, D. P., & Kumar, A. (2023). Enhancing the effectiveness of soil amendments using anionic polyacrylamide: A review. *International Journal of Plant and Soil Science*, 35(19), 670–676.
<https://doi.org/10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i193597>
- Kushwaha, D. P., & Kumar, D. (2016). Suspended sediment yield modelling using artificial neural networks. [Master's thesis, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology].
<http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/handle/1/5810043647>
- Kushwaha, D. P., & Kumar, D. (2017a). Modeling suspended sediment concentration using multilayer feedforward artificial neural network at the outlet of the watershed. *International Journal of Agricultural Engineering*, 10(2), 302–313.
<https://doi.org/10.15740/HAS/IJAE/10.2/1-9>
- Kushwaha, D. P., & Kumar, D. (2017b). Suspended sediment modeling with continuously lagging input variables using artificial intelligence and physics based models. *International Journal Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 6(10), 1386–1399.
<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.610.164>
- Kushwaha, D. P., & Kumar, D. (2017c). *Multilayer Perceptron and Suspended Sediment Modeling: A Case Study*. Lambert Academic Publishing.
<http://103.20.212.13:82/book/multilayer-perceptron-suspended-sediment-modeling/9786202023092>
- Kushwaha, D. P., Kumar, A., & Chaturvedi, S. (2021). Determining the effectiveness of carbon-based stabilizers blends in arresting soil erosion and elevating properties of Mollisols soils of North Western Himalayas. *Environmental Technology & Innovation*, 23, 101768.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2021.101768>
- Lehmann, J., Gaunt, J., & Rondon, M. (2006). Biochar sequestration in the terrestrial ecosystem – A review. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 11, 403–427.
- Li, Y., Zhang, F., Yang, M., & Zhang, J. (2019). Effects of adding biochar of different particle sizes on hydro-erosional processes in small scale laboratory rainfall experiments on cultivated loessial soil. *Catena*, 173, 226–233.
- Li, Z., Gu, C., Zhang, R., Ibrahim, M., Zhang, G., Wang, L., Zhang, R., Chen, F., & Liu, Y. (2017). The benefic effect induced by biochar on soil erosion and nutrient loss of slopping land under natural rainfall conditions in central China. *Agri. Wa. Man.*, 185, 145–150.
- Liang, B., Lehmann, J., Solomon, D., Kinyangi, J., Grossman, J., O'Neill, B., Skjemstad, J. O., Thies, J., Luizao, F. J., Petersen, J., & Neves, E. G. (2006). Black carbon increases cation exchange capacity in soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 70, 1719–1730.
- Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Lu, H., Lonappan, L., Brar, S. K., He, L., Chen, J., & Yang, S. (2018). Biochar application as a soil amendment for decreasing cadmium availability in soil and accumulation in *Brassica chinensis*. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-018-1927-1>
- Luffman, I., & Nandi, A. (2020). Seasonal precipitation variability and gully erosion in southeastern USA. *Water*, 12, 925.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/w12040925>
- Luthra, N., Pathak, S. O., Tater, A., Tewari, S., Nain, P., Sharma, R., Kushwaha, D. P., Bhatt, M. K., Singh, S. K., & Kaushal, A. (2024). Role of biochar in acidic soils amelioration. In Singh, S. V., Mandal, S., Meena, R. S., Chaturvedi, S., & Govindaraju, K. (Eds.), *Biochar Production for Green Economy* (pp. 185–203). Academic Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-15506-2.00002-X>
- Mandal, S. (2018). Optimization of process parameters for conversion of pine needles to bio-oil, biochar and product gas through batch and continuous pyrolysis. [Ph.D. thesis, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology].
- Mikan, C. J., & Abrams, M. D. (1995). Altered forest composition and soil properties of historic charcoal hearths in southeastern

- Pennsylvania. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 25, 687–696.
- Nartey, O. D., & Zhao, B. (2014). Biochar preparation, characterization, and adsorptive capacity and its effect on bioavailability of contaminants: An overview. *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering*, 2014, 715398, 12 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/715398>
- Navale, M. M., Kashyap, P. S., Singh, S. K., Kushwaha, D. P., Kumar, D., & Kumar, P. (2018). Estimation of deterministic component of monthly rainfall time series: A case study for Pantnagar. *Mausam*, 69(3), 449–458. <https://doi.org/10.54302/mausam.v69i3.338>
- Peng, X., Ye, L. L., Wang, C. H., & Bo, S. (2011). Temperature and duration dependent rice straw derived biochar: Characteristics and its effects on soil properties of an Ultisol in southern China. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 112(2), 159–166.
- Peng, X., Zhu, Q. H., Xie, Z. B., Darboux, F., & Holden, N. M. (2016). The impact of manure, straw and biochar amendments on aggregation and erosion in a hillslope Ultisol. *Catena*, 138, 30–37.
- Rafael, R. B. A., Fernandez-Marcos, M. L., Cocco, S., Ruello, M. L., Fornasier, F., & Corti, G. (2019). Benefits of biochars and NPK fertilizers for soil quality and growth of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) in an acid arenosol. *Pedosphere*, 29(3), 311–333.
- Rondon, M., Lehmann, J., Ramírez, J., & Hurtado, M. (2007). Biological nitrogen fixation by common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) increases with bio-char additions. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 43, 699–708.
- Ronsse, F., Van Hecke, S., Dickinson, D., & Prins, W. (2013). Production and characterization of slow pyrolysis biochar: Influence of feedstock type and pyrolysis conditions. *GCB Bioenergy*, 5, 104–115.
- Sadeghi, S. H., Panah, M. H. G., Younesi, H., & Kheirfam, H. (2018). Ameliorating some quality properties of an erosion-prone soil using biochar produced from dairy wastewater sludge. *Catena*, 171, 193–198.
- Singh, S. K., Kashyap, P. S., Kushwaha, D. P., & Tamta, S. (2020). Runoff and sediment reduction using hay mulch treatment at varying land slope and rainfall intensity under simulated rainfall condition. *International Archive of Applied Sciences and Technology*, 11(3), 144–155. <https://doi.org/10.15515/iaast.0976-4828.11.3.144155>
- Singh, S. V., Chaturvedi, S., Dhyani, V. C., & Datta, D. (2019). Biochar: An eco-friendly residue management approach. *Indian Farming*, 69(08), 27–29.
- Singh, S. V., Chaturvedi, S., Dhyani, V. C., & Kasivelu, G. (2020). Pyrolysis temperature influences the characteristics of rice straw and husk biochar and sorption/desorption behaviour of their biourea composite. *Bioresource Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.123674>
- Singh, S. V., Luthra, N., Mandal, S., Kushwaha, D. P., Pathak, S. O., Datta, D., Sharma, R., & Pramanick, B. (2023). Distinct behavior of biochar modulating biogeochemistry of salt-affected and acidic soil: A review. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-023-01370-9>
- Singh, V. K., Singh, B. P., Kisi, O., & Kushwaha, D. P. (2018). Spatial and multi-depth temporal soil temperature assessment by assimilating satellite imagery, artificial intelligence and regression based models in arid area. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 150, 205–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.04.019>
- Slapakova, B., Jerabkova, V., & Tejnecky, D. O. (2018). The biochar effect on soil respiration and nitrification. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, 64(3), 114–119.
- Stoyle, A. (2011). *Biochar production for carbon sequestration* [Master's thesis, Shanghai Jiao Tong University].
- Tamta, S., Kumar, A., & Kushwaha, D. P. (2023). Potential of roots and shoots of Napier grass for arresting soil erosion and runoff of Mollisols soils of Himalayas. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iswcr.2023.02.001>
- Tomczyk, A., Sokołowska, Z., & Boguta, P. (2020). Biochar physicochemical properties: Pyrolysis temperature and feedstock kind effects. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology*, 19(1), 191–215.
- Vuppaladadiyam, A. K., Vuppaladadiyam, S. S. V., Sahoo, A., Murugavelh, S., Anthony, E., Bhaskar, T., Zheng, Y., Zhao, M., Duan, H., Zhao, Y., Antunes, E., Sarmah,

- A. K., & Leu, S.-Y. (2023). Bio-oil and biochar from the pyrolytic conversion of biomass: A current and future perspective on the trade-off between economic, environmental, and technical indicators. *Science of The Total Environment*, 857, 159155.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159155>
- Wang, H., She, D., Fei, Y., & Tang, S. (2019). Synergic effects of biochar and polyacrylamide amendments on the mechanical properties of silt loam soil under coastal reclamation in China. *Catena*, 182, 104152.
- Xia, H., Riaz, M., Zhang, M., Liu, B., El-Desouki, Z., & Jiang, C. (2020). Biochar increases nitrogen use efficiency of maize by relieving aluminum toxicity and improving soil quality in acidic soil. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 196, 110531.
- Zama, E. F., Reid, B. J., Arp, H. P. H., Sun, G., Yuan, H., & Zhu, Y. (2018). Advances in research on the use of biochar in soil for remediation: A review. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-018-2000-9>
- Zhao, S., Ta, N., & Wang, X. (2017). Effect of temperature on the structural and physicochemical properties of biochar with apple tree branches as feedstock material. *Energies*, 10, 1293.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/en10091293>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/138098>