



Effect of FYM, Zn and Fe on Growth, Yield Attribute, Yield and Quality of *Kharif* Pearl Millet

Patel J. A. ^{a++*} and Viradiya M. B. ^{a#}

^a Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Anand Agricultural University, Anand – 388110, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2025/v37i95716>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/143501>

Short Research Article

Received: 13/07/2025
Published: 04/09/2025

ABSTRACT

A field experiment on a pearl millet–chickpea cropping sequence was conducted during *kharif* and *rabi* seasons of 2023–24 and 2024–25 at the Agronomy Farm, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Gujarat, on loamy sand (“Goradu”) soil. The study evaluated two FYM levels (0 and 10 t/ha), three zinc levels (0, 12.5 and 25 kg Zn/ha), and three iron levels (0, 25 and 50 kg Fe/ha) in a factorial randomized block design with three replications. Treatments were applied to pearl millet, and residual effects were studied on chickpea. Application of FYM (10 t/ha), ZnSO₄ (25 kg/ha), and FeSO₄ (50 kg/ha) with 100% RDF significantly increased pearl millet plant height, effective tillers, and yields, with grain yields of 3949, 3839 and 3819 kg/ha in 2023; 3980, 3880 and 3871 kg/ha in 2024 and pooled: 3965, 3859 and 3845 kg/ha.

The aim of this study is to find out how the FYM, Zn and Fe affect growth, yield attributes, yield and quality of *kharif* pearl millet.

⁺⁺ Ph.D. Scholar;

[#] Professor and Head;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: patelpratik8396@gmail.com;

Cite as: Patel J. A., and Viradiya M. B. 2025. “Effect of FYM, Zn and Fe on Growth, Yield Attribute, Yield and Quality of *Kharif* Pearl Millet”. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 37 (9):387–393. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2025/v37i95716>.

Keywords: FYM; zinc; iron; pearl millet.

1. INTRODUCTION

Pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) is a vital cereal crop grown extensively in arid and semi-arid regions due to its drought tolerance, short growth cycle, and adaptability to marginal soils (Bidarlord & Ghahremaninejad, 2022). In *kharif* cultivation, achieving higher productivity depends not only on genetic potential but also on improved nutrient management strategies that sustain soil fertility under intensive cropping systems. The integration of organic and inorganic nutrient sources has been recognized as an effective approach to enhance crop performance while maintaining soil health. FYM contributes to the improvement of soil physical, chemical, and biological properties, while micronutrients like Zn and Fe play critical roles in plant metabolic processes, enzyme activation and photosynthesis. Their adequate supply is essential for achieving optimum growth, yield attributes, and grain quality in pearl millet (Jhansi et al., 2023). The productivity of pearl millet, which is much lesser than its production potential, vary greatly with rainfall quantity, intensity and its distribution. Hence, the research effort should be diverted to overcome the constraints that are responsible for its productivity. To bring millets into mainstream for exploiting the nutritional rich properties and promoting their cultivation, the Government of India has declared Year 2018 as the “Year of Millets” and the Year 2021 was declared as “International Year of Millets” by FAO Committee on Agriculture (COAG) forum (Chaudhary et al., 2024a; Chaudhary et al., 2024).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment entitled “Effect of FYM, Zn and Fe on Pearl Millet Yield and its Residual Effect on Chickpea” was conducted during the *kharif* and *rabi* seasons of 2023–24 and 2024–25 at the Agronomy Farm, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand. The study was laid out in a Factorial Randomized Block Design (F-RBD) with 3 replications, comprising 18 treatment combinations and a total of 54 plots. Each gross plot measured 3.6 × 6.0 m, while the net plot size was maintained at 2.7 × 5.0 m. Pearl millet (variety GHB 1129) was sown using a seed rate of 3.75 kg/ha, and after its harvest, chickpea (variety GG 5) was grown at a seed rate of 60 kg/ha. Fertilizer applications were

made as per the treatment schedule and irrigations were provided as required. To assess the influence of treatments on crop growth and development, periodic observations were recorded and biometric parameters were measured at different growth stages as well as at harvest.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of FYM

Table 1 illustrated that application of FYM at different levels did not exert any significant effect on the plant population of pearl millet in either year or in the pooled results. Similar results were reported by Singh *et al.* (2020) and Singh & Chauhan (2021) in pearl millet, which support the current study.

Plant height at 30 DAS remained unaffected by FYM application; however, at harvest, a significant influence was observed. The treatment F₁ (10 t/ha FYM) produced the tallest plants, recording 169.95 cm, 172.03 cm and 170.10 cm during the first year, second year and pooled analysis, respectively (Table 1).

From the table 1, it can be inferred that number of effective tillers per plant was significantly enhanced by FYM application. Treatment F₁ (10 t/ha FYM) consistently recorded the maximum number of effective tillers, with values of 2.39 and 2.43 in the first and second year, respectively and 2.41 on a pooled basis. Similar result was observed by and Raja *et al.* (2012) and Sanwal *et al.* (2023).

According to the table 2, it is observed that Grain and straw yields of pearl millet were also significantly influenced by FYM levels. The application of 10 t/ha FYM (F₁) resulted in the highest grain yield of 3949 kg/ha in 2023, 3980 kg/ha in 2024 and 3965 kg/ha on a pooled basis. Similarly, straw yield was significantly higher under F₁, recording 6356 kg/ha, 6668 kg/ha and 6512 kg/ha in the respective years and pooled analysis. These findings are consistent with the research of Bhagchand & Gautam (2000), Kumar & Gautam (2004), Choudhary & Gautam (2007) and Rana & Prasad (2020). In contrast, FYM application had no significant effect on harvest index and test weight across both years and in the pooled data (Table 2).

Table 1. Effect of FYM, Zn and Fe on growth of pearl millet

Treatment	Plant Population at (per meter row length)			Plant height			Effective tillers per plant					
				At 30 DAS (cm)		At harvest (cm)						
	2023	2024	Pooled	2023	2024	Pooled	2023	2024	Pooled	2023	2024	Pooled
Level of FYM (t ha⁻¹)												
F ₀	8.57	8.83	8.70	46.30	46.64	46.47	136.08	135.53	135.81	2.10	2.14	2.12
F ₁	8.77	8.90	8.84	46.55	46.39	46.46	169.95	172.03	170.10	2.39	2.43	2.41
S. Em. ±	0.12	0.13	0.09	0.76	0.77	0.54	2.49	2.39	1.73	0.04	0.04	0.03
C. D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	7.16	6.87	4.87	0.11	0.11	0.08
Level of Zn (kg ha⁻¹)												
Zn ₀	8.61	8.79	8.70	46.69	46.15	46.42	144.67	147.50	146.08	2.08	2.18	2.13
Zn ₁	8.66	8.88	8.77	46.18	46.56	46.37	153.66	151.23	152.45	2.28	2.31	2.29
Zn ₂	8.75	8.92	8.84	46.41	46.81	46.61	158.86	162.62	161.66	2.37	2.38	2.38
S. Em. ±	0.14	0.18	0.10	0.93	0.94	0.66	3.05	2.93	2.44	0.05	0.05	0.03
C. D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	8.77	8.41	6.89	0.13	0.13	0.09
Level of Fe (kg ha⁻¹)												
Fe ₀	8.61	8.78	8.69	46.64	45.86	46.25	146.14	148.25	147.20	2.13	2.18	2.15
Fe ₁	8.69	8.85	8.77	47.25	46.63	46.94	154.04	153.78	153.91	2.24	2.32	2.28
Fe ₂	8.73	8.96	8.84	45.39	47.03	46.21	158.86	159.31	159.09	2.36	2.37	2.36
S. Em. ±	0.14	0.18	0.10	0.93	0.94	0.66	3.05	2.93	2.44	0.05	0.05	0.03
C. D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	8.77	8.41	6.89	0.13	0.13	0.09
Year	-	-	NS	-	-	NS	-	-	NS	-	-	NS
S. Em. ±	-	-	0.09	-	-	0.54	-	-	1.73	-	-	0.03
C. D. at 5%	-	-	NS	-	-	NS	-	-	4.87	-	-	0.08
Significant Interaction(s)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CV%	7.02	7.29	7.16	8.53	8.59	8.56	8.46	8.07	8.27	8.69	8.61	8.65

Table 2. Effect of FYM, Zn and Fe on yield, yield attributes and crude protein content (grain) of pearl millet

Treatment	Grain yield (kg/ha)			Straw yield (kg/ha)			Harvest index (%)			Test weight (g)			Crude protein content (grain) (%)		
	2023	2024	Pooled	2023	2024	Pooled	2023	2024	Pooled	2023	2024	Pooled	2023	2024	Pooled
Level of FYM (t ha⁻¹)															
F ₀	3384	3430	3407	6047	6159	6103	36.83	36.75	36.79	8.81	8.86	8.84	10.98	10.85	10.91
F ₁	3949	3980	3965	6356	6668	6512	38.31	37.36	37.83	9.09	8.95	9.00	11.36	11.30	11.33
S. Em. ±	70	61	46	95	110	73	0.57	0.53	0.39	0.11	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.13	0.08
C. D. at 5%	201	175	131	274	315	205	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.26	0.37	0.22
Level of Zn (kg ha⁻¹)															
Zn ₀	3529	3494	3512	6041	6103	6072	38.20	37.76	37.98	8.89	8.86	8.89	10.90	10.67	10.79
Zn ₁	3633	3741	3687	6046	6433	6239	37.49	36.73	37.11	8.94	9.00	8.97	11.15	11.25	11.20
Zn ₂	3839	3880	3859	6518	6705	6611	37.02	36.67	36.84	8.96	8.82	8.89	11.45	11.30	11.37
S. Em. ±	86	75	57	117	134	89	0.69	0.65	0.48	0.13	0.12	0.09	0.11	0.16	0.10
C. D. at 5%	246	215	160	335	386	251	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.32	0.46	0.27
Level of Fe (kg ha⁻¹)															
Fe ₀	3509	3524	3516	5950	6105	6027	37.53	36.99	37.26	8.92	8.69	8.81	10.96	10.80	10.88
Fe ₁	3672	3720	3696	6204	6472	6338	37.69	36.86	37.27	8.93	9.06	8.99	11.17	11.14	11.16
Fe ₂	3819	3871	3845	6450	6664	6557	37.49	37.32	37.41	8.93	8.97	8.95	11.37	11.29	11.33
S. Em. ±	86	75	57	117	134	89	0.69	0.65	0.48	0.13	0.12	0.09	0.11	0.16	0.10
C. D. at 5%	246	215	160	335	386	251	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.32	0.46	0.27
Year	-	-	NS	-	-	Sig.	-	-	NS	-	-	NS	-	-	NS
S. Em. ±	-	-	46	-	-	73	-	-	0.39	-	-	0.07	-	-	0.08
C. D. at 5%	-	-	NS	-	-	205	-	-	NS	-	-	NS	-	-	NS
Significant Interaction(s)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CV%	9.90	8.56	9.25	7.98	8.89	8.47	7.85	7.45	7.65	8.46	8.07	8.27	4.18	6.08	5.21

The Table 2 illustrates that crude protein content in pearl millet grain was significantly improved with FYM application, with the highest values observed under F₁ (10 t/ha FYM), recording 11.36% in 2023, 11.30% in 2024 and 11.33% on a pooled basis.

3.2 Effect of Zn

The Table 1 presents evidence that application of ZnSO₄ at different levels did not significantly affect the plant population of pearl millet in either year or in the pooled results (Maharana & Singh, 2021).

The data summarized in the Table 1 confirm that plant height at 30 DAS remained unaffected; however, at harvest, a significant effect was observed. The treatment Zn₂ (25 kg/ha ZnSO₄) recorded the tallest plants, with heights of 158.86 cm in 2023, 162.62 cm in 2024 and 161.66 cm on a pooled basis. Treatment Zn₂ found statistically at par with treatment Zn₁ (12.5 kg/ha ZnSO₄) in year 2023.

The number of effective tillers per plant was also significantly influenced by ZnSO₄ application (Pathak et al., 2017). The Zn₂ treatment (25 kg/ha ZnSO₄) produced the maximum number of effective tillers, recording 2.37 in 2023, 2.38 in 2024 and 2.38 on a pooled basis. Zn₂ was statistically at par with Zn₁ (12.5 kg/ha ZnSO₄) across both years and pooled basis (Table 1).

The data in the Table 2 indicate that grain yields of pearl millet were markedly enhanced by ZnSO₄ application. The Zn₂ treatment resulted in the highest grain yield of 3859 kg/ha in 2023, 3880 kg/ha in 2024 and 3859 kg/ha on a pooled basis. Zn₂ significantly enhanced pearl millet grain yield but was statistically at par with Zn₁ (12.5 kg/ha ZnSO₄) in both years (Vaja et al., 2020). Similarly, straw yield was significantly higher under Zn₂, with values of 6518 kg/ha, 6705 kg/ha and 6611 kg/ha in the respective years and pooled data. In 2024, the Zn₂ treatment was statistically at par with Zn₁.

On the other hand, harvest index and test weight of pearl millet were not significantly influenced by ZnSO₄ application across individual years or in the pooled analysis (Table 2).

The findings in the Table 2 suggest that crude protein content in grain was significantly improved, with the Zn₂ (25 kg/ha ZnSO₄)

treatment recording the maximum values of 11.45% in 2023, 11.30% in 2024 and 11.37% on a pooled basis. Zn₂ was statistically at par with Zn₁ (25 kg/ha FeSO₄) across all years.

3.3 Effect of Fe

The application of FeSO₄ at different levels did not produce any significant effect on the plant population of pearl millet in either of the individual years or in the pooled analysis (Table 1).

The data summarized in the Table 1 confirm that plant height at 30 days after sowing (DAS) was not significantly influenced; however, at harvest, FeSO₄ application had a significant impact. The treatment Fe₂ (50 kg/ha FeSO₄) recorded the tallest plants, with heights of 158.86 cm in 2023, 159.31 cm in 2024 and 159.09 cm in the pooled data. Fe₂ was statistically at par with Fe₁ (25 kg/ha FeSO₄) in both years and on a pooled basis.

The number of effective tillers per plant was also significantly enhanced by FeSO₄ application. The Fe₂ treatment (50 kg/ha FeSO₄) consistently produced the highest values, recording 2.36 in 2023, 2.37 in 2024 and 2.36 on a pooled basis. The Fe₂ treatment was statistically equivalent to Fe₁ (25 kg/ha FeSO₄) across both years and in the pooled data analysis (Table 1).

According to the table, it is observed that grain yields of pearl millet were significantly influenced by FeSO₄ application (Reddy et al., 2022). The Fe₂ treatment resulted in the maximum grain yield of 3819 kg/ha in 2023, 3871 kg/ha in 2024 and 3845 kg/ha on a pooled basis. The Fe₂ treatment was statistically at par with Fe₁ (25 kg/ha FeSO₄) across both years and on pooled basis, respectively. Similarly, straw yield was significantly higher under Fe₂, with 6450 kg/ha, 6664 kg/ha and 6557 kg/ha during the respective years and pooled analysis. Fe₂ was statistically at par with Fe₁ (25 kg/ha FeSO₄) in 2023, 2024 and the pooled analysis for the evaluated parameters.

In contrast, harvest index and test weight of pearl millet were not significantly affected by FeSO₄ levels across both years or in the pooled data (Table 2).

The data in the Table 2 indicate that crude protein application, with the Fe₂ treatment (50 kg/ha FeSO₄) registering 11.37% in 2023,

11.29% in 2024 and 11.33% in the pooled results. Fe₂ treatment remained statistically at par with Fe₁ (25 kg/ha FeSO₄) across both years and in the pooled data.

3.4 Interaction Effect

No significant impact of various levels of FYM, zinc and iron on growth, yield, yield and quality of *kharif* pearl millet in 2024, 2025 and pooled basis, respectively (Tables 1 & 2).

4. CONCLUSION

The integrated application of FYM 10 t/ha, ZnSO₄ 25 kg/ha and FeSO₄ 50 kg/ha along with 100% RDF (80:40:00 NPK) significantly enhanced the growth, yield attributes, yield, quality, nutrient content and its uptake in pearl millet (*kharif*) during 2023 and 2024.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that they have no known competing financial interests or non-financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

Bhagchand, & Gautam, R. C. (2000). Effect of organic manure, biofertilizer and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of rainfed pearl millet. *Annals of Agricultural Research*, 21(4), 459–464.

Bidarlord, M. & Ghahremaninejad, F. (2022). A checklist of Iranian Grasses. *Phytotaxa*, 574(1): 1-31

Chaudhary, K., Patel, N., Chauhan, A., & Joshi, R. (2024a). Effects of Organic Manures, Iron and Zinc Enriched FYM on Nutrients Content and Uptake by Pearlmillet in Sardarkrushinagar, India. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 36(12), 660-679.

Choudhary, R. S., & Gautam, R. C. (2007). Effect of nutrient-management practices on growth and yield of pearl millet

(*Pennisetum glaucum*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 52(1), 64–66.

Jhansi, K. S., Umesha, C., & Gurrappa, D. (2023). Effect of NPK and zinc levels on growth and yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). *Environment and Ecology*, 41(3C), 1891–1895.

Kinjal Chaudhary, N. I. Patel, Ankitkumar Chauhan, and R. V. Joshi. (2024). "Effects of Organic Manures, Iron and Zinc Enriched FYM on Nutrients Content and Uptake by Pearlmillet in Sardarkrushinagar, India". *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 36 (12):660–679. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i125242>.

Kumar, N., & Gautam, R. C. (2004). Effect of moisture conservation and nutrient management practices on growth and yield of pearl millet under rainfed conditions. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 49(3), 182–185.

Maharana, S., & Singh, S. (2021). Effect of iron and zinc on growth and yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 10(10), 546–550.

Pathak, A., Tiwari, A., Gupta, A., & Kumar, V. (2017). Measurement of effectiveness of zinc with and without FYM on protein production by pearl millet-wheat sequence. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(9), 2670–2678.

Raja, R., Ravisankar, N., Chaudhuri, S. G., Ambast, S. K., Chand, S., Din, M., Meena, B. L., Subramani, T. & Ahmed, Z. (2012). Effect of supplemental irrigation on yield and water productivity of dry season crops in Andaman and Nicobar Islands. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 82(1), 43–48.

Rana, R., & Prasad, S. K. (2020). Effect of farm yard manure and nitrogen on growth and yield of pearl millet under custard apple based agri-horti system. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, Special Issue-11*, 1794–1802.

Reddy, V. S. N., Singh, R., & Deepika, C. L. (2022). Effect of phosphorus and zinc on growth and yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(4), 542–545.

Sandeep, B., Pradhan, A., Singh, R., & Sreelekha, Y. (2024). Influence of planting

- density and zinc on growth and yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). *International Journal of Research in Agronomy*, 7(4), 656–658.
- Sanwal, P., Garhwal, R. S., Kumar, S., Kumar, S., & Kumar, S. (2023). Impact of FYM and micronutrients on nutrient content, uptake, yield and economic attributes of direct seeded basmati rice. *Indian Journal of Ecology*, 50(2), 332–337.
- Singh, S., & Chauhan, T. M. (2021). Effect of phosphorus and FYM on yield and uptake of nutrients in pearl millet. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 91(5), 753–756.
- Singh, S., Chauhan, T. M. & Singh, V. (2020). Influence of phosphorus and zinc nutrition on yield and nutrient uptake in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and their residual effect on green gram (*Vigna radiata*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 90(9): 1791-95.
- Vaja, R. P., Bhuva, H. M., Mokariya, L. K., & Jani, C. P. (2020). Effect of zinc and iron fortification on growth and yield of summer pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br. Emend. Stuntz). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 9(10), 2699–2704.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/143501>