



# Synergistic Effects of Silicon and Mycorrhiza on Sorghum Grown under Saline Water Irrigation

Bharath. D <sup>a\*</sup>, M. V. Sriramachandrasekharan <sup>a</sup>,  
P. Senthilvalavan <sup>a</sup> and B. Karthikeyan <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalaiagar-608002, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Agricultural Microbiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalaiagar-608002, India.

## Authors' contributions

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2025/v37i105769>

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/145260>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 26/07/2025**

**Accepted: 03/10/2025**

**Published: 07/10/2025**

## ABSTRACT

Salinity stress is a major constraint to crop productivity, affecting physiological processes such as photosynthesis and disrupting osmotic and ionic balance. Exogenous application of silicon has been shown to enhance plant tolerance to salinity by improving photosynthetic efficiency, metabolic activity and molecular responses. Similarly, mycorrhizal fungi help to mitigate salinity-induced stress by enhancing nutrient uptake. To investigate the combined effects of silicon and mycorrhiza on sorghum under saline irrigation, a field experiment was conducted in 2022 at farmer's field in Kuppuchipalayam village, Karur District, Tamil Nadu. The study included five silicon levels (0, 50, 100, 150, and 200 kg/ha) applied through diatomaceous earth and three mycorrhiza levels (0, 25,

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [bharathmahe28@gmail.com](mailto:bharathmahe28@gmail.com);

and 50 g/plant), under saline water irrigation with an electrical conductivity of 10 dS/m. The experiment was laid out in a factorial randomized block design (FRBD) with two replications, using sorghum var. K12 as the test crop. Results revealed that both silicon and mycorrhiza significantly alleviated the negative effects of salinity, improving plant growth and yield. Plant height, leaf area index (LAI), chlorophyll content (SPAD) and dry matter production (DMP) increased with higher silicon levels, peaking at 200 kg Si/ha, resulting in respective increases of 9.2%, 53.6%, 19.0%, and 25.1% over the control. Yield parameters such as number of ear heads/m<sup>2</sup>, no. of filled grains/ear head, grain yield and stover yield were also maximized at this level, with the highest grain and stover yield reaching 4286.3 kg/ha and 7096.7 kg/ha, showing 25.4% and 21.7% increases over control. Mycorrhiza application at 50 g/plant significantly enhanced all measured parameters over 25 g/plant and control, with grain and stover yields increasing by 10.3% and 10.7%, respectively. The interaction between silicon and mycorrhiza was significant, with the best performance observed at 200 kg Si/ha + 50 g VAM/plant, resulting in yield improvements of 40.5% (grain) and 33.4% (stover) compared to untreated control.

**Keywords:** Sorghum; salinity; silicon and mycorrhiza.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Salinity in agriculture is a global problem (Al-Karaki, 2006). "Saline stress can greatly impact the growth and productivity of crops. Salinity triggers ionic imbalance in plant tissue cells, leading to ion toxicity and osmotic stress, which ultimately adversely affects plant growth and yield" (Tester & Davenport, 2003). In recent years, the use of silicon and VAM has gained attention as potential remedies (Zhu et al., 2004).

"Silicon (Si), the second most abundant element in the Earth's crust, has been found to alleviate salt stress in rice, barley, wheat, and cucumber" (Gong et al., 2006; Liang et al., 2006; Saqib et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2004; Epstein, 1999). "Several studies have reported that Si supplementation mitigates the adverse effects of biotic stresses such as bacterial, fungal, and viral pathogens as well as infestation of insect pests" (Liang et al., 2015; Song et al., 2016) "and abiotic stresses such as toxicity of heavy metals, plant salinity and drought" (Ahmed et al., 2014; Keller et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2016; Maghsoudi et al., 2016). "It has been reported that ion accumulation is reduced by decreasing plant transpiration due to silica deposits in the leaves" (Matoh et al., 1986). "Si is taken up by plant roots from the soil in the form of silicic acid [Si (OH)<sub>4</sub>]" (Ma and Yamaji 2015) "where it is deposited within plant tissue as SiO<sub>2</sub>, commonly known as phytoliths or silica bodies. Past studies of Si application to maize plants have revealed that the application decreased leaf transpiration rates under water stress and thereby improved the plant's water status" (Gao et al., 2004, 2006).

"VAM is a type of beneficial fungi that forms a symbiotic relationship with plant roots. The role of AMF in enhancing plant tolerance to heavy metals depends on the AMF species, plant genotype and type of metal in the soil" (Sudova et al., 2008). "AM colonization enhances plant resistance to salinity, improves plant productivity, increases nutrient absorption" (Garg & Bhandari, 2016), "maintains ion equilibrium and facilitates water uptake" (Ruiz-Lozano et al., 2012). "AM have been reported to enhance Si uptake in mycorrhizal plants of Glycine max" (Yost & Fox, 1982) and Zea mays (Clark & Zeto 2000). "AM through extramatrical hyphae can help the absorption and translocation of more nutrients than without symbiosis with AM" (Guo et al., 2010) "especially phosphate ions (Sharda & Koide, 2010). Growth in saline soils was increased by inoculation with Glomus sp, with AM plants having increased phosphate and decreased Na concentrations in shoots compared to uninoculated controls" (Pfeiffer & Bloss, 1988; Giri & Mukerji, 2004).

"Millet is a group of small-grained cereal grown around the world for food and fodder. Among various millets, Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.) is the most important cereal crop after wheat, rice, maize and barley in the country. Sorghum species are native to tropical and subtropical regions of Africa and Asia that belongs to family Poaceae. Meanwhile, Si + PGPR can improve and promote the growth of mungbean, so it might be used in a farming system to reduce the effects of salinity stress" (Ahmed et al., 2020). Silicon has been shown to enhance plant tolerance to salinity, while VAM can improve nutrient uptake and plant resilience. This study aims to

investigate the effectiveness of silicon and VAM in alleviating saline stress in sorghum crop.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Experimental Site

The field experiment was conducted in field located at Kuppuchipalayam village, Manmangalam taluk in Karur district, Tamil Nadu, India to understand the response of sorghum to dual application of silicon and mycorrhiza under saline water irrigation. The experimental soil was loamy sand with the pH and EC of 7.62 and 2.83 dSm<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The irrigation water contains Ph and EC of 8.57 and 11.21 dSm<sup>-1</sup> respectively. It is geographically located at 11.02° N latitude and 78.11° E longitudes with an attitude of 121 meters above mean sea level (MSL). The weather of the experimental area during the cropping period was moderately warm with hot summer. The maximum temperature fluctuates between 27.84°C to 30.85°C with a mean of 29.19°C, while the minimum temperature ranges from 15.45°C to 22.93°C with a mean value of 19.96°C. The relative humidity ranges from 76 to 86 percent with a mean of 81 percent. The experiment consisted of fifteen treatments and was laid out in factorial randomized block design (FRBD) with two replications. M<sub>0</sub>-Non inoculated, M<sub>1</sub>- inoculated *Glomus mosseae* (25g per plant) and M<sub>2</sub>- inoculated *Glomus mosseae* (50g per plant) were tried along with different Silicon levels of Si<sub>0</sub>-0, Si<sub>1</sub>-25, Si<sub>2</sub>- 50, Si<sub>3</sub>-75 and Si<sub>4</sub>-100 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) through Diatomaceous earth. Recommended dose of 90:45:45 kg of NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied in the form of Urea, SSP and MOP respectively to all the treatments. Silicon and RDF were applied basally whereas VAM has been applied after 30 DAS. The VAM inoculum was applied near root zone of sorghum by placement method (Laing & Adandonon, 2005). Sorghum variety K12 was chosen for the study. The plant growth parameters like plant height, leaf area index (LAI), chlorophyll content and DMP and the yield parameters like no. of earheads/m<sup>2</sup>, no. of filled grains/earhead, stover yield and grain yield were recorded at vegetative, flowering and harvest stages.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Growth Attributes

The application of silicon 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with VAM inoculated plants (50 g per plant) showed significant increase in growth attributes over

other treatments. The application of silicon (200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and VAM (50 g per plant) recorded highest plant height of 58.45, 167.09 and 180.68 cm at vegetative, flowering and harvest stages respectively and the lowest was recorded in control where RDF alone applied (Table 1). Tripathi *et al.* (2013) reported that in sorghum, silicon had enhanced the crop quality, yield and growth and protected the plants from various biotic and abiotic hurdles. Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae (VAM) enhance plant growth through increased nutrient uptake, stress tolerance and disease resistance (Sharma *et al.*, 1992). Similarly, the highest LAI (Table 2) and chlorophyll content (Table 3) was recorded in the application of silicon 200kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and VAM 50 g per plant viz., 2.75 & 6.21 and 33.75 & 40.15 during vegetative and flowering stages respectively and this increases 40.36 % & 51.52 % and 79.97 % & 76.88 % of LAI and chlorophyll content during vegetative and flowering stages respectively over control. Liang (1998) stated that Si enhanced the growth of salt treated barley, by improving the chlorophyll content and photosynthetic activity of leaf cell organelles of barley. The highest dry matter content was recorded in the application of silicon (200 kg/ha) and VAM (50g per plant) as 2456 and 8798 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during vegetative and flowering stages respectively which increases 61.56 % and 72.42 % respectively over control (Table 4). Thus, increase in plant growth resulted in high dry matter content. As an integral part of the root system, VAM interact with other microorganisms in soil and result in increased root exudation approaching about 25% of the plant dry matter production (Sharma *et al.*, 1992).

### 3.2 Yield Attributes

The yield attributes were recorded highest in the application of silicon 200 kg/ha and VAM 50 g per plant over all other treatments. The number of earheads/m<sup>2</sup> and number of filled grains/earhead were recorded highest in the treatment where silicon and VAM were applied at the highest dosage level (silicon 200 kg/ha and 50g per plant) as 23.50 and 943.16 which increases over 64.08% and 76.58% respectively over control (Table 5). The grain and stover yield were recorded highest in the treatment 4507 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 7415 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively with the application of silicon 200 kg/ha and VAM 50 g per plant and this increases 70.59% and 74.95% respectively over control (Table 6). The increase in grain and stover yield might be due to the increase in crop growth and yield characteristics and Si that helps

**Table 1. Effect of graded levels of silicon and VAM on plant height (cm) of sorghum at different stages**

| VAM<br>Levels<br>Si levels | Vegetative stage |                   |                   |       | Flowering stage  |                   |                   |        | Harvest stage    |                   |                   |        |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|
|                            | VAM <sub>0</sub> | VAM <sub>25</sub> | VAM <sub>50</sub> | Mean  | VAM <sub>0</sub> | VAM <sub>25</sub> | VAM <sub>50</sub> | Mean   | VAM <sub>0</sub> | VAM <sub>25</sub> | VAM <sub>50</sub> | Mean   |
| Si <sub>0</sub>            | 38.45            | 41.48             | 42.94             | 40.99 | 144.99           | 146.78            | 150.37            | 147.38 | 158.05           | 161.51            | 165.09            | 161.55 |
| Si <sub>50</sub>           | 42.52            | 48.55             | 51.22             | 47.40 | 148.11           | 154.2             | 157.87            | 153.29 | 162.25           | 167.82            | 169.87            | 166.65 |
| Si <sub>100</sub>          | 49.64            | 51.22             | 53.57             | 51.48 | 152.99           | 156.69            | 159.04            | 156.24 | 167.43           | 169.62            | 171.02            | 169.36 |
| Si <sub>150</sub>          | 51.33            | 52.97             | 54.58             | 52.96 | 156.73           | 160.00            | 162.91            | 159.54 | 169.69           | 172.72            | 175.07            | 172.49 |
| Si <sub>200</sub>          | 53.11            | 55.6              | 58.45             | 55.78 | 160.51           | 165.12            | 167.09            | 164.24 | 172.5            | 175.8             | 180.68            | 176.33 |
| Mean                       | 47.05            | 49.96             | 52.15             |       | 152.66           | 156.79            | 159.25            |        | 165.98           | 169.49            | 172.35            |        |
|                            | Si               | VAM               | Si x VAM          |       | Si               | VAM               | Si x VAM          |        | Si               | VAM               | Si x VAM          |        |
| SE <sub>d</sub>            | 0.359            | 0.278             | 0.621             |       | 0.483            | 0.374             | 0.837             |        | 0.275            | 0.213             | 0.476             |        |
| CD (p 0.05)                | 0.718            | 0.556             | 1.243             |       | 0.967            | 0.749             | 1.674             |        | 0.550            | 0.426             | 0.952             |        |

**Table 2. Effect of graded levels of silicon and VAM on leaf area index (LAI) of sorghum at different stages**

| VAM<br>Levels<br>Si levels | Vegetative stage |                   |                   |      | Flowering stage  |                   |                   |      |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|
|                            | VAM <sub>0</sub> | VAM <sub>25</sub> | VAM <sub>50</sub> | Mean | VAM <sub>0</sub> | VAM <sub>25</sub> | VAM <sub>50</sub> | Mean |
| Si <sub>0</sub>            | 1.11             | 1.23              | 1.34              | 1.22 | 3.20             | 3.43              | 4.11              | 3.58 |
| Si <sub>50</sub>           | 1.24             | 1.57              | 2.02              | 1.61 | 3.64             | 4.22              | 4.46              | 4.10 |
| Si <sub>100</sub>          | 1.72             | 2.15              | 2.38              | 2.08 | 3.91             | 4.60              | 4.97              | 4.49 |
| Si <sub>150</sub>          | 2.06             | 2.27              | 2.48              | 2.26 | 4.20             | 5.15              | 5.60              | 4.98 |
| Si <sub>200</sub>          | 2.23             | 2.47              | 2.75              | 2.48 | 4.82             | 5.49              | 6.21              | 5.50 |
| Mean                       | 1.67             | 1.93              | 2.18              |      | 3.95             | 4.57              | 5.07              |      |
|                            | Si               | VAM               | Si x VAM          |      | Si               | VAM               | Si x VAM          |      |
| SE <sub>d</sub>            | 0.026            | 0.020             | 0.046             |      | 0.043            | 0.033             | 0.075             |      |
| CD (p 0.05)                | 0.053            | 0.041             | 0.092             |      | 0.087            | 0.067             | 0.150             |      |

in stimulating biotic and abiotic stress. Pati *et al.*, (2016) also reported a significant increase in grain and straw yields of rice with increasing Si level. VAM helps in increasing nutrient uptake and translocating these nutrients to reproductive parts that helps in increase grain yield. Stover yield is dependent on vegetative growth

as use of silicon and VAM increased plant height and dry matter production which resulted in higher stover yield. The increases in both grain and stover yields might be attributed to the beneficial effect of Si in increasing growth and yield attributes (Prakash *et al.*, 2011, Pati *et al.*, 2016).

**Table 3. Effect of graded levels of silicon and VAM on chlorophyll content (SPAD value) of sorghum at different stages**

| VAM<br>Levels<br>Si levels | Vegetative stage |                   |                   |       | Flowering stage  |                   |                   |       |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|
|                            | VAM <sub>0</sub> | VAM <sub>25</sub> | VAM <sub>50</sub> | Mean  | VAM <sub>0</sub> | VAM <sub>25</sub> | VAM <sub>50</sub> | Mean  |
| Si <sub>0</sub>            | 26.99            | 27.87             | 28.95             | 27.94 | 30.87            | 32.97             | 33.78             | 32.54 |
| Si <sub>50</sub>           | 27.87            | 28.54             | 29.58             | 28.66 | 33.59            | 34.04             | 35.65             | 34.43 |
| Si <sub>100</sub>          | 28.45            | 29.58             | 30.57             | 29.53 | 34.16            | 35.67             | 36.75             | 35.52 |
| Si <sub>150</sub>          | 28.62            | 30.33             | 32.05             | 30.32 | 35.30            | 37.02             | 38.10             | 36.81 |
| Si <sub>200</sub>          | 31.11            | 32.78             | 33.75             | 32.55 | 37.28            | 38.76             | 40.15             | 38.73 |
| Mean                       | 28.61            | 29.82             | 30.98             |       | 34.24            | 35.69             | 36.89             |       |
| SE <sub>d</sub>            | Si               | VAM               | Si x VAM          |       | Si               | VAM               | Si x VAM          |       |
| CD (p 0.05)                | 0.290            | 0.225             | 0.503             |       | 0.486            | 0.221             | 0.495             |       |
|                            | 0.581            | 0.450             | 1.006             |       | 0.572            | 0.443             | 0.991             |       |

**Table 4. Effect of graded levels of silicon and VAM on DMP (kg/ha) of sorghum at different stages**

| VAM<br>Levels<br>Si levels | Vegetative stage |                   |                   |        | Flowering stage  |                   |                   |        |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|
|                            | VAM <sub>0</sub> | VAM <sub>25</sub> | VAM <sub>50</sub> | Mean   | VAM <sub>0</sub> | VAM <sub>25</sub> | VAM <sub>50</sub> | Mean   |
| Si <sub>0</sub>            | 1512             | 1659              | 1748              | 1639.6 | 6372             | 6763              | 7117              | 6750.6 |
| Si <sub>50</sub>           | 1704             | 1807              | 1888              | 1799.6 | 6593             | 7279              | 7700              | 7190.6 |
| Si <sub>100</sub>          | 1822             | 2028              | 2058              | 1969.3 | 7264             | 7530              | 7869              | 7554.3 |
| Si <sub>150</sub>          | 2013             | 2117              | 2272              | 2134.0 | 7641             | 8054              | 8415              | 8036.6 |
| Si <sub>200</sub>          | 2154             | 2345              | 2456              | 2318.3 | 7987             | 8548              | 8798              | 8444.3 |
| Mean                       | 1841.0           | 1991.2            | 2084.4            |        | 7171.4           | 7634.8            | 7979.8            |        |
| SE <sub>d</sub>            | Si               | VAM               | Si x VAM          |        | Si               | VAM               | Si x VAM          |        |
| CD (p 0.05)                | 2.958            | 2.291             | 5.123             |        | 57.437           | 44.490            | 99.483            |        |
|                            | 5.916            | 4.582             | 10.247            |        | 114.874          | 88.981            | 198.967           |        |

**Table 5. Effect of graded levels of silicon and VAM on yield attributes of sorghum**

| VAM<br>Levels<br>Si levels | Number of ear heads/ m <sup>2</sup> |                   |                   |       | Number of filled grains/ ear head |                   |                   |        |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|
|                            | VAM <sub>0</sub>                    | VAM <sub>25</sub> | VAM <sub>50</sub> | Mean  | VAM <sub>0</sub>                  | VAM <sub>25</sub> | VAM <sub>50</sub> | Mean   |
| Si <sub>0</sub>            | 15.06                               | 15.34             | 15.76             | 15.39 | 722.33                            | 765.99            | 775.16            | 754.99 |
| Si <sub>50</sub>           | 16.43                               | 17.82             | 18.74             | 17.66 | 782.16                            | 810.45            | 835.10            | 809.23 |
| Si <sub>100</sub>          | 17.06                               | 18.00             | 19.25             | 18.10 | 798.16                            | 825.60            | 861.45            | 828.40 |
| Si <sub>150</sub>          | 18.71                               | 20.12             | 21.75             | 20.19 | 832.66                            | 856.10            | 900.60            | 863.12 |
| Si <sub>200</sub>          | 20.45                               | 22.15             | 23.50             | 22.03 | 848.83                            | 883.16            | 943.16            | 891.72 |
| Mean                       | 17.54                               | 18.68             | 19.80             |       | 796.8                             | 828.26            | 862.49            |        |
| SE <sub>d</sub>            | Si                                  | VAM               | Si x VAM          |       | Si                                | VAM               | Si x VAM          |        |
| CD (p 0.05)                | 1.075                               | 0.832             | 1.861             |       | 6.336                             | 4.908             | 10.975            |        |
|                            | 2.150                               | 1.665             | 3.723             |       | 12.673                            | 9.816             | 21.950            |        |

**Table 6. Effect of graded levels of silicon and VAM on grain yield and stover yield of sorghum**

| VAM<br>Levels<br>Si levels | Grain yield (kg/ha) |                   |                   |        | Stover yield (kg/ha) |                   |                   |        |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|
|                            | VAM <sub>0</sub>    | VAM <sub>25</sub> | VAM <sub>50</sub> | Mean   | VAM <sub>0</sub>     | VAM <sub>25</sub> | VAM <sub>50</sub> | Mean   |
| Si <sub>0</sub>            | 3198                | 3427              | 3630              | 3418.3 | 5557.9               | 5869.16           | 6067.1            | 5831.4 |
| Si <sub>50</sub>           | 3474                | 3715              | 3764              | 3651.0 | 5750.6               | 6227.5            | 6462.1            | 6146.7 |
| Si <sub>100</sub>          | 3665                | 3825              | 3959              | 3822.3 | 6131.15              | 6450.6            | 6807.1            | 6462.9 |
| Si <sub>150</sub>          | 3846                | 4169              | 4218              | 4077.6 | 6424.0               | 6760.5            | 7067              | 6783.8 |
| Si <sub>200</sub>          | 4002                | 4350              | 4507              | 4286.3 | 6800.5               | 7074.6            | 7415.0            | 7096.7 |
| Mean                       | 3640.6              | 3897.2            | 4015.6            |        | 6132.8               | 6476.5            | 6786.6            |        |
|                            | Si                  | VAM               | Si x VAM          |        | Si                   | VAM               | Si x VAM          |        |
| SE <sub>d</sub>            | 55.802              | 43.224            | 96.65             |        | 56.213               | 43.543            | 97.364            |        |
| CD (p 0.05)                | 111.604             | 86.448            | 193.303           |        | 112.427              | 87.086            | 194.729           |        |

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In the farmer's field, growth of the sorghum crop was severely affected by salinity stress. From the field experiment carried out in the farmer's field, it can be concluded that the application of silicon 200 kg/ha and VAM 50 g per plant increased the growth and yield of sorghum crop under saline stress condition. In future aspects, the application of silicon (200 kg/ha) along with VAM (50g per plant) can be recommended to alleviate saline stress condition.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

I hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that they have no known competing financial interests or non-financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmed, M., Asif, M., & Hassan, F. U. (2014). Augmenting drought tolerance in sorghum by silicon nutrition. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, 36(2), 473–483.
- Ahmed, N., Ahsen, S., Ali, M. A., Hussain, M. B., Hussain, S. B., Rasheed, M. K., & Danish, S. (2020). Rhizobacteria and silicon synergy modulates the growth, nutrition and yield of mungbean under saline soil. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 52(1), 9–15.
- Al-Karaki, G. N. (2006). Nursery inoculation of tomato with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and subsequent performance under irrigation with saline water. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 109, 1–7.
- Clark, R. Á., & Zeto, S. K. (2000). Mineral acquisition by arbuscular mycorrhizal plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 23(7), 867–902.
- Gao, X., Zou, C., Wang, L., & Zhang, F. (2005). Silicon improves water use efficiency in maize plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 27(8), 1457–1470.
- Gao, X., Zou, C., Wang, L., & Zhang, F. (2006). Silicon decreases transpiration rate and conductance from stomata of maize plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 29(9), 1637–1647.
- Garg, N., & Bhandari, P. (2016). Silicon nutrition and mycorrhizal inoculations improve growth, nutrient status, K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio and yield of *Cicer arietinum* L. genotypes under salinity stress. *Plant Growth Regulation*, 78, 371–387.
- Giri, B., & Mukerji, K. G. (2004). Mycorrhizal inoculant alleviates salt stress in *Sesbania aegyptiaca* and *Sesbania grandiflora* under field conditions: Evidence for reduced sodium and improved magnesium uptake. *Mycorrhiza*, 14, 307–312.
- Gong, H. J., Randall, D. P., & Flowers, T. J. (2006). Silicon deposition in the root reduces sodium uptake in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seedlings by reducing bypass flow. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 29(10), 1970–1979.
- Guo, Y., Ni, Y., & Huang, J. (2010). Effects of rhizobium, arbuscular mycorrhiza and lime on nodulation, growth and nutrient uptake of lucerne in acid purplish soil in China. *Tropical Grasslands*, 44(2), 109–114.

- Keller, C., Rizwan, M., Davidian, J. C., Pokrovsky, O. S., Bovet, N., Chaurand, P., & Meunier, J. D. (2015). Effect of silicon on wheat seedlings (*Triticum turgidum* L.) grown in hydroponics and exposed to 0 to 30  $\mu$ M Cu. *Planta*, 241, 847–860.
- Laing, M., & Adandonon, A. (2005, October). Silicon and insect management–review. In *Proceedings of the III Silicon in Agriculture Conference* (pp. 22–26).
- Liang, Y., Nikolic, M., Bélanger, R., Gong, H., & Song, A. (2015). *Silicon in agriculture* (Vol. 10). Springer, Dordrecht. ISBN: 9789401799773
- Liang, Y., Zhang, W., Chen, Q., Liu, Y., & Ding, R. (2006). Effect of exogenous silicon (Si) on H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity, phospholipids and fluidity of plasma membrane in leaves of salt-stressed barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 57(3), 212–219.
- Ma, D., Sun, D., Wang, C., Qin, H., Ding, H., Li, Y., & Guo, T. (2016). Silicon application alleviates drought stress in wheat through transcriptional regulation of multiple antioxidant defense pathways. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 35, 1–10.
- Ma, J. F., & Yamaji, N. (2015). A cooperative system of silicon transport in plants. *Trends in plant science*, 20(7), 435–442.
- Maghsoudi, K., Emam, Y., & Pessarakli, M. (2016). Effect of silicon on photosynthetic gas exchange, photosynthetic pigments, cell membrane stability and relative water content of different wheat cultivars under drought stress conditions. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 39(7), 1001–1015.
- Matoh, T., Kairusmee, P., & Takahashi, E. (1986). Salt-induced damage to rice plants and alleviation effect of silicate. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 32(2), 295–304.
- Pati, S., Pal, B., Badole, S., Hazra, G. C., & Mandal, B. (2016). Effect of silicon fertilization on growth, yield, and nutrient uptake of rice. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 47(3), 284–290.
- Pfeffer, C. M., & Bloss, H. E. (1988). Growth and nutrition of guayule (*Parthenium argentatum*) in a saline soil as influenced by vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhiza and phosphorus fertilization. *New Phytologist*, 108(3), 315–321.
- Prakash, N. B., Chandrashekar, N., Mahendra, C., Patil, S. U., Thippeshappa, G. N., & Laane, H. M. (2011). Effect of foliar spray of soluble silicic acid on growth and yield parameters of wetland rice in hilly and coastal zone soils of Karnataka, South India. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 34(12), 1883–1893.
- Ruiz-Lozano, J. M., Porcel, R., Azcon, C., & Aroca, R. (2012). Regulation by arbuscular mycorrhizae of the integrated physiological response to salinity in plants: New challenges in physiological and molecular studies. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 63(11), 4033–4044.
- Saqib, M., Zörb, C., & Schubert, S. (2008). Silicon-mediated improvement in the salt resistance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) results from increased sodium exclusion and resistance to oxidative stress. *Functional Plant Biology*, 35(7), 633–639.
- Sharda, J. N., & Koide, R. T. (2010). Exploring the role of root anatomy in P-mediated control of colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Botany*, 88(2), 165–173.
- Sharma, A. K., Johri, B. N., & Gianinazzi, S. (1992). Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae in relation to plant disease. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 8, 559–563.
- Song, A., Xue, G., Cui, P., Fan, F., Liu, H., Yin, C., & Liang, Y. (2016). The role of silicon in enhancing resistance to bacterial blight of hydroponic- and soil-cultured rice. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 24640.
- Sudová, R., Doubková, P., & Vosátka, M. (2008). Mycorrhizal association of *Agrostis capillaris* and *Glomus intraradices* under heavy metal stress: Combination of plant clones and fungal isolates from contaminated and uncontaminated substrates. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 40(1), 19–29.
- Tester, M., & Davenport, R. (2003). Na<sup>+</sup> tolerance and Na<sup>+</sup> transport in higher plants. *Annals of Botany*, 91(5), 503–527.
- Tripathi, D. K., Mishra, S., Chauhan, D. K., Tiwan, S. P., & Kumar, C. (2013). Typological and frequency-based study of opaline silica (phytolith) deposition in two common Indian sorghum. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section B*, 83(1), 97–104.
- Yongchao, L. (1998). Effect of silicon on leaf ultrastructure, chlorophyll content and photosynthetic activity of barley under salt stress. *Pedosphere*, 8(4), 289–296.
- Yost, R. S., & Fox, R. L. (1982). Influence of mycorrhizae on the mineral contents of

- cowpea and soybean grown in an Oxisol. *Agronomy Journal*, 74(3), 475–481.
- Zhu, Z., Wei, G., Li, J., Qian, Q., & Yu, J. (2004). Silicon alleviates salt stress and increases antioxidant enzymes activity in leaves of salt-stressed cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Plant Science*, 167(3), 527–533.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:  
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/145260>