



# Impact of Application of Biofertilizers and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methylootrophs (PPFM) Bacteria on the Soil Properties under Rabi Sorghum Cultivation

S. Anjali <sup>a\*</sup>, S. Jaffar Basha <sup>b++</sup>, S. Prathibha Sree <sup>c++</sup>,  
T.V. Sridhar <sup>d#</sup> and R. Lakshmi Pathy <sup>e†</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Bapatla (ANGRAU-Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University), India.

<sup>b</sup> Planning & Monitoring Cell, (ANGRAU-Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University), Administrative Office, Lam, Guntur, India.

<sup>c</sup> IWMU, RARS, Lam, Guntur (ANGRAU-Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University), India.

<sup>d</sup> ANGRAU-Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, India.

<sup>e</sup> Department of Microbiology, Agricultural College, Pulivendula (ANGRAU-Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University), India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2025/v37i105775>

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/143018>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 30/06/2025**  
**Published: 14/10/2025**

\*\* Principal Scientist;

# Professor (Soil Science);

† Professor & Head;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [salikitianjali9659@gmail.com](mailto:salikitianjali9659@gmail.com);

**Cite as:** S. Anjali, S. Jaffar Basha, S. Prathibha Sree, T.V. Sridhar, and R. Lakshmi Pathy. 2025. "Impact of Application of Biofertilizers and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methylootrophs (PPFM) Bacteria on the Soil Properties under Rabi Sorghum Cultivation". *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 37 (10):194–204. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2025/v37i105775>.

## ABSTRACT

**Aim:** A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of application of biofertilizers and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methyloprophs (PPFM) bacteria on the soil properties.

**Study Design:** The experiment was laid out in randomised block design with seven treatments that are allocated randomly and replicated thrice.

**Place and Duration of Study:** Rabi, 2023-24 at Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla.

**Methodology:** The treatments were  $T_1$  - Control (100 % RDF - 100 : 60 : 40 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_2$  -  $T_1$  + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_3$  -  $T_2$  + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $T_4$  -  $T_2$  + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> for each application,  $T_5$  - 75 % RDF (75: 45: 30 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, PSB and KRB each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_6$  -  $T_5$  + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $T_7$  -  $T_5$  + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> for each application.

**Results:** The data on soil pH, EC and OC as influenced by application of Biofertilizers and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methyloprophs (PPFM) bacteria after harvest of sorghum crop was found to be non-significant. Sorghum crop grown on the treatment  $T_3$  ( $T_2$  + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) showed higher soil available N (265 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (81.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and K<sub>2</sub>O (490 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) status after harvest of the crop. The treatment  $T_4$  ( $T_2$  + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> for each application) showed higher Nitrogen (Grain - 59.7, Stover - 39.2 and Total - 98.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) Phosphorus (Grain - 16.9, Stover - 10.3 and Total - 27.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Potassium (Grain - 26.7, Stover - 60.5 and Total - 87.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) uptakes by sorghum at harvest.

**Conclusion:** Sorghum crop grown on the treatment  $T_3$  ( $T_2$  + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) showed higher soil available N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O status after harvest of the crop. The treatment  $T_4$  ( $T_2$  + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> for each application) showed higher Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium uptakes by sorghum at harvest.

**Keywords:** Biofertilizers; foliar application; PPFM bacteria; sorghum.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

“Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) is an important grain and feed crop in the world. It is ranked fifth among the most important grain crops in the world after wheat, rice, maize and barley” (FAOSTAT, 2021). This crop is distinctive due to its drought tolerance capacity, improved water and nutrient use efficiency. It is the main food for the inhabitants of the arid and semi-arid regions of the world. The sorghum is characterized by its tolerance of environmental conditions that are not suitable for the production of other summer crops, especially extreme temperatures, drought and soil salinization. Sorghum is considered as the crop of choice for dry regions and areas with unreliable rainfall because of its drought resistance and wide range of ecological adaptation. Recently, sorghum has been used in the production of biofuels and has become the second largest after maize despite its various uses for food and manufacturing. However, the average production per unit area is very low due to the farmers reluctance to cultivate it and the tendency to grow more cash-profitable crops.

“The inorganic fertilizer could supply only one, two or three nutrients but integrated use of inorganic fertilizers, biocompost and biofertilizer would provide macro and micronutrient to plant, soil and resist occurrence of multiple deficiencies. Bio-fertilizers are also one of the modern methods that lead to increasing crop productivity and improving their quality” (Taey et al., 2019). “Living microbes are used as biofertilizers, inoculants, which are soil amendments intended to promote plant development. They boost crop output by 10-30 % and are incredibly economical. They can partially replace or complement chemical fertilizers by upto 25 %. They promote soil biological activity and plant growth. In addition to protecting against certain soil-borne illnesses, they replenish the natural fertility of the soil” (Yazeid et al., 2007). “Among biofertilizers, *Azospirillum* and phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) are commonly used in crops like sorghum. *Azospirillum* is known to fix considerable quantity of nitrogen in range of 20 - 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in the rhizosphere in non-leguminous plants such as cereals, millets, oil seeds, cotton etc. The potassium in the soil was either complexed or chelated to insoluble form as in mica or illite

which could be solubilized by potassium releasing bacteria by the secretion of organic acids and convert the insoluble potassium (K) into a soluble form which can be readily available to the plants” (Aleksandrov et al., 1967).

“Plant growth-promoting microorganisms (PGPMs) supports plant to survive extreme environmental condition moreover to improving plant growth by establishing beneficially interaction with plants. Pink Pigmented Facultative Methylophs (PPFM) bacteria are responsible for diverse beneficial effects on plant includes enhance seed germination and seedling growth, accelerate vegetative growth by producing phytohormones, increase leaf area index and chlorophyll content, earliness in flowering, fruit set, maturation, improves fruit quality, colour, seed weight, yield increase of up to 10 % and mitigate drought. PPFMs promote plant growth by producing an enzyme 1-aminocyclopropane - 1 - carboxylate (ACC) deaminase is responsible for drought management during the beneficial interaction with plants” (Chinnadurai et al., 2009).

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during *rabi*, 2023-24 at Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla under Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University. The experimental site was situated at an altitude of 5.49 meters above mean sea level (MSL), 15° 54' North latitude, 80° 25' East longitude and about 7 km away from the Bay of Bengal in the Krishna Agro Climatic Zone of Andhra Pradesh state of India. The experimental soil was sandy clay in texture, slightly alkaline in reaction, EC was non saline in nature and below the critical point, Soil is medium in organic carbon, low available nitrogen (220 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), high available phosphorous (62.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and available potassium contents (460 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The experiment was laid out in randomised block design with seven treatments and replicated three times. The treatments include T<sub>1</sub> - Control (100 % RDF - 100 : 60 : 40 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>2</sub> - T<sub>1</sub> + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> - T<sub>2</sub> + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>4</sub> - T<sub>2</sub> + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> for each application, T<sub>5</sub> - 75 % RDF (75: 45: 30 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, PSB and KRB each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>6</sub> - T<sub>5</sub> + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @

2500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>7</sub> - T<sub>5</sub> + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> for each application. The recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF) was in two different doses they are 100 % RDF (100:60:40 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 75 % RDF (75:45:30 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In case of biofertilizers *viz.*, *Azospirillum*, PSB (Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria), KRB (Potassium Releasing Bacteria) and PPFM (Pink Pigmented Facultative Methylophs) bacteria were thoroughly mixed with FYM and applied in experimental plots as per the treatments which was done one day prior to sowing and was thoroughly mixed in the soil with the help of spade to form a homogeneous blend with the soil. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were supplied through urea, Single Super Phosphate (SSP) and Muriate of Potash (MoP). Nitrogen was applied in two equal split doses *viz.*, first dose applied at basal and second dose applied at 30 DAS. Entire quantity of phosphorus and potassium was applied at basal as per the treatments.

Soil samples were collected initially and after harvest of the crop up to 30 cm depth and were shade dried, grounded with a wooden pestle and mortar, passed through 2 mm sieve and finally stored in labelled cloth bags for laboratory analysis. Processed soil samples were used for analyzing various parameters and various nutrients. Soil reaction from the experimental plot was determined in 1:2.5 soil, water suspension using combined glass electrode method (Jackson, 1973). The soluble salt content of soil samples was determined in 1:2.5 soil, water suspension using digital electrical conductivity meter (Jackson, 1973). A known weight (0.5 g) of 0.2 mm sieved soil was treated with a known volume of chromic acid (K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). After oxidation of organic carbon, the unreacted K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> left in the contents was back titrated against standard ferrous ammonium sulphate solution using diphenylamine indicator (Walkley and Black, 1934). Soil available N content in the soil was determined by alkaline potassium permanganate method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956). Soil available phosphorus in the soil samples was estimated with 0.5 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> of pH 8.5 and the phosphorus in the extract was estimated calorimetrically with ascorbic acid method by using spectrophotometer at 660 nm by Olsen's method (Olsen et al., 1954). Per cent P was multiplied with 2.29 and expressed as P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. Soil available potassium in the soil was estimated by using neutral normal ammonium acetate method (Jackson, 1973) and potassium

in the extract was determined using flame photometer. Per cent K value was multiplied with 1.21 and presented as K<sub>2</sub>O.

For uptake the plant samples collected at harvest were washed with dilute 0.1 N HCl and then with distilled water. The samples were shade dried initially and then oven-dried at 65 °C temperature and powdered in Willey mill. The nitrogen content in sorghum plants was estimated by the micro Kjeldahl distillation method (Piper, 1966) and the results are expressed in percentage. Preparation of acid extract by wet digestion - Take one gram of powdered plant sample was taken in 150 mL Erlenmeyer flask and digested with di-acid mixture of HNO<sub>3</sub> and HClO<sub>4</sub> (9:4 ratio). The sample digest was filtered through Whatman No.42 filter paper by washing the residue with double-distilled water till chloride-free and made up to 100 mL volume and the clear extract was used for the determination of P and K. Phosphorus in the di-acid extract of plant samples was estimated by Vanadomolybdo phosphoric yellow colour method using a spectrophotometer at 420 nm wavelengths as described by Tandon (2009) and the results expressed in percentage. Potassium in the di-acid extract of plant samples was determined using a flame photometer as per the method described by Tandon (2009) and Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium contents were expressed as percentages on a dry weight basis.

Nutrient uptake - From the chemical analytical data, uptake of the macronutrients at harvest of the crop were calculated and expressed by using the formulae. Nutrients uptake was expressed as kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

$$\text{Nutrient Uptake (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Nutrient concentration (\%)} \times \text{Dry weight of grains/stover (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{100}$$

The data obtained on the different parameters were analyzed statistically by the method of analysis of variance as per the procedure outlined for randomised block design given by Gomez and Gomez (1984). Statistical significance was tested by F value at 0.05 level of probability and critical difference was worked out where ever the effects were significant.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Soil pH

The effect of biofertilizers and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methylootrophs (PPFM) bacteria on

soil pH after harvest was found to be non-significant. Similar findings were documented by Mohan and Sharma (2013), Goutami et al. (2015) and Sharma and Thakur (2016).

#### 3.2 Soil EC (dS m<sup>-1</sup>)

The effect of biofertilizers and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methylootrophs (PPFM) bacteria on soil EC after harvest was found to be non-significant. These findings are in accordance with those of Pothare et al. (2007) and Goutami et al. (2015).

#### 3.3 Soil OC (%)

An increase in soil organic carbon content over the initial soil status was observed. However, the influence of biofertilizers and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methylootrophs (PPFM) bacteria on soil organic carbon after harvest was found to be non-significant. The results were in conformity with the findings of Kumar et al. (2023).

#### 3.4 Available Soil N (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Higher available soil nitrogen (265 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found with the application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>3</sub>), but it was at par with treatments supplied with 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> for each application (T<sub>4</sub>) (254 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>2</sub>) (248 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Significantly lower soil nitrogen (228 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with the application of 75 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, PSB and KRB each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>5</sub>).

It might be due to microbes harbouring rhizosphere of crops provide benefits to crops through better nutrient availability by way of atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> fixation or solubilizing fixed mineral forms of nutrients (Pandey et al., 2010). *Azospirillum* and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methylootrophs (PPFM) bacteria both are can fixed the nitrogen from atmospheric nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>)

into ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) through the process of biological nitrogen fixation. The results were in conformity with the findings of Sy et al. (2001), Patidar and Mali (2004) and Reddy et al. (2023).

### 3.5 Available Soil P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Significantly higher available soil P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (81.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found with the application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>3</sub>), but it was at par with treatments supplied with 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> for each application (T<sub>4</sub>) (79.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>2</sub>) (75.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Significantly lower soil phosphorus (65.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with the application of 75 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, PSB and KRB each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>5</sub>).

The increased in available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content in soil due to application of P fertilizer, phosphate solubilizing bacteria application and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methylophs (PPFM) bacteria might be contributed to direct P addition as well as native P solubilization via release of various organic acids during microbial process. Organic acids and CO<sub>2</sub> liberated during decomposition may have formed complex substances with metal ions, increasing the phosphorus concentration in soil solution. Similar results were reported by Rather and Sharma (2009), Bhavya et al. (2018), Jakhar et al. (2018) and Kumar et al. (2023).

### 3.6 Available Soil K<sub>2</sub>O (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Significantly higher available soil K<sub>2</sub>O (490 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found with the application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>3</sub>), but it was at par with treatments supplied with 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing

Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> for each application (T<sub>4</sub>) (488 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatments (T<sub>2</sub>) (484 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 100 % RDF (T<sub>1</sub>) (469 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Significantly lower soil potassium (428 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with the application of 75 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, PSB and KRB each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>5</sub>). Aleksandrov et al. (1967) and Bennet et al. (1988) stated that the potassium in the soil was either complexed or chelated to insoluble form as in mica or illite which could be solubilized by potassium releasing bacteria by the secretion of citric acid and oxalic acids both can convert the insoluble potassium (K) into a soluble form which can be readily available to the plants. Similar findings were reported by Vijayalakshmi et al. (2020).

### 3.7 Nitrogen Uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Significantly higher nitrogen uptake in grain, stover and total (59.7, 39.2 and 98.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) was found with the application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> for each application (T<sub>4</sub>). However, it was statistically at par with application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>3</sub>) (55.2, 35.6 and 90.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) and 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>2</sub>) (52.0, 34.1 and 86.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Significantly lower nitrogen uptake in grain, stover and grain (39.2, 23.0 and 62.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) was recorded with the application of 75 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, PSB and KRB each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>5</sub>). The higher nitrogen uptake of nutrient was noticed at harvest, it might be due to increase in biomass production. It is well emphasized that with increasing rate of nitrogen improved over all growth of crop in terms of dry matter production. Bio inoculant application enhances the root growth coupled with increased

availability of nutrients in the rhizosphere by N<sub>2</sub> fixation which leads to increased N uptake by the plants (Rekha et al., 2018). Furthermore, enhancing the production of higher stover yield and higher nutrient availability and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methyloprophs (PPFM) bacteria can increased the phytohormones production which in turn increased root growth

and proliferation leads to higher nutrient uptake. Holland and Polacco (1992) reported that foliar spraying of PPFM enhanced N and P uptake in blackgram, as they also produced Cytokinin, Indole acetic acid and Gibberellic Acid. These findings are in accordance with those of Jat et al. (2013), Kushwaha et al. (2014) and Bhumika et al. (2020).

**Table 1. Soil pH, EC (ds m<sup>-1</sup>) and OC (%) of sorghum influenced by the application of biofertilizers and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methyloprophs (PPFM) bacteria**

Treatments	pH	EC (ds m <sup>-1</sup> )	OC (%)
T <sub>1</sub> - Control (100 % RDF 100: 60: 40 NPK kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	7.47	0.41	0.63
T <sub>2</sub> - T <sub>1</sub> + Soil application of Biofertilizers ( <i>Azospirillum</i> , Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	7.42	0.39	0.65
T <sub>3</sub> - T <sub>2</sub> + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha <sup>-1</sup>	7.37	0.37	0.66
T <sub>4</sub> - T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> for each application	7.39	0.38	0.67
T <sub>5</sub> - 75 % RDF (75: 45: 30 NPK kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + Soil application of Biofertilizers ( <i>Azospirillum</i> , PSB and KRB each @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	7.34	0.36	0.60
T <sub>6</sub> - T <sub>5</sub> + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha <sup>-1</sup>	7.28	0.35	0.61
T <sub>7</sub> - T <sub>5</sub> + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> for each application	7.31	0.35	0.62
SEm±	0.28	0.02	0.03
CD (p = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS
CV (%)	6.70	7.33	7.60
Initial	7.69	0.41	0.59

**Table 2. Soil available N (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and K<sub>2</sub>O (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) status after harvest as influenced by application of biofertilizers and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methyloprophs (PPFM) bacteria**

Treatment	N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	K <sub>2</sub> O (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub> - Control (100 % RDF 100: 60: 40 NPK kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	236	70.4	469
T <sub>2</sub> - T <sub>1</sub> + Soil application of Biofertilizers ( <i>Azospirillum</i> , Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	248	75.8	484
T <sub>3</sub> - T <sub>2</sub> + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha <sup>-1</sup>	265	81.4	490
T <sub>4</sub> - T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> for each application	254	79.5	488
T <sub>5</sub> - 75 % RDF (75: 45: 30 NPK kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + Soil application of Biofertilizers ( <i>Azospirillum</i> , PSB and KRB each @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	228	65.5	428
T <sub>6</sub> - T <sub>5</sub> + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha <sup>-1</sup>	231	69.8	444
T <sub>7</sub> - T <sub>5</sub> + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> for each application	229	68.0	443
SEm±	7.7	3.4	14.3
CD (p = 0.05)	23.6	10.6	44.2
CV (%)	5.5	8.2	5.4
Initial	220	62.1	460

**Table 3. Nitrogen uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by sorghum at harvest as influenced by application of biofertilizers and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methyloprophs (PPFM) bacteria**

Treatments	Grain	Stover	Total
T <sub>1</sub> - Control (100 % RDF 100: 60: 40 NPK kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	47.7	28.3	76.0
T <sub>2</sub> - T <sub>1</sub> + Soil application of Biofertilizers ( <i>Azospirillum</i> , Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	52.0	34.1	86.1
T <sub>3</sub> - T <sub>2</sub> + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha <sup>-1</sup>	55.2	35.6	90.8
T <sub>4</sub> - T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> for each application	59.7	39.2	98.9
T <sub>5</sub> - 75 % RDF (75: 45: 30 NPK kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + Soil application of Biofertilizers ( <i>Azospirillum</i> , PSB and KRB each @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	39.2	23.0	62.2
T <sub>6</sub> - T <sub>5</sub> + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha <sup>-1</sup>	41.8	24.8	66.6
T <sub>7</sub> - T <sub>5</sub> + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> for each application	45.2	26.1	71.3
SEm±	2.6	1.8	3.1
CD (p = 0.05)	8.1	5.5	9.8
CV (%)	9.3	10.2	7.0

### 3.8 Phosphorus Uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Significantly higher phosphorus uptake in grain, stover and total (16.9, 10.3 and 27.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) was found with the application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> for each application (T<sub>4</sub>). However, it was statistically at par with application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>3</sub>) (15.1, 9.7 and 24.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) and application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>2</sub>) (14.3, 9.3 and 23.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Significantly lower phosphorus uptake in grain, stover and total (10.4, 5.7 and 16.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) was recorded with the application of 75 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, PSB and KRB each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>5</sub>). Sharma et al. (2012) found that significant increase of phosphorus uptake by wheat with increasing levels of phosphorus up to 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>. Application of phosphate solubilizing bacteria and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methyloprophs (PPFM) bacteria might have improved the soil environment, which encouraged proliferous root system resulting in better absorption of water and nutrients from

lower layers and thus resulting in higher yield and nutrient uptake and greater mobilization of insoluble inorganic phosphate and mineralization of organic phosphorus and thus stimulated better root growth and favoured absorption of phosphorus. Similar findings were documented by Dadheech, (2001), Roul and Sarawgi (2005), Abdullahi et al. (2014), Kumar et al. (2016) and Vijayalakshmi et al. (2020).

### 3.9 Potassium Uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Significantly higher potassium uptake in grain, stover and total (26.7, 60.5 and 87.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) was found with the application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> for each application (T<sub>4</sub>). However, it was statistically at par with application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>3</sub>) (24.4, 55.6 and 80.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) and application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>2</sub>) (23.5, 53.0 and 76.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Significantly lower potassium uptake in grain, stover and total (13.9, 33.0 and 46.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) was recorded with the application of 75 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, PSB

**Table 4. Phosphorus uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by sorghum at harvest as influenced by application of biofertilizers and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methyloprophs (PPFM) bacteria**

Treatments	Grain	Stover	Total
T <sub>1</sub> - Control (100 % RDF 100: 60: 40 NPK kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	13.2	8.1	21.2
T <sub>2</sub> - T <sub>1</sub> + Soil application of Biofertilizers ( <i>Azospirillum</i> , Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	14.3	9.3	23.6
T <sub>3</sub> - T <sub>2</sub> + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha <sup>-1</sup>	15.1	9.7	24.8
T <sub>4</sub> - T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> for each application	16.9	10.3	27.2
T <sub>5</sub> - 75 % RDF (75: 45: 30 NPK kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + Soil application of Biofertilizers ( <i>Azospirillum</i> , PSB and KRB each @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	10.4	5.7	16.1
T <sub>6</sub> - T <sub>5</sub> + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha <sup>-1</sup>	11.9	6.3	18.2
T <sub>7</sub> - T <sub>5</sub> + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> for each application	12.2	7.0	19.2
SEm±	0.9	0.4	0.9
CD (p = 0.05)	2.7	1.2	2.9
CV (%)	11.2	8.7	7.6

**Table 5. Potassium uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by sorghum at harvest as influenced by application of biofertilizers and Pink Pigmented Facultative Methyloprophs (PPFM) bacteria**

Treatments	Grain	Stover	Total
T <sub>1</sub> - Control (100 % RDF 100: 60: 40 NPK kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	19.4	45.6	65.1
T <sub>2</sub> - T <sub>1</sub> + Soil application of Biofertilizers ( <i>Azospirillum</i> , Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	23.5	53.0	76.5
T <sub>3</sub> - T <sub>2</sub> + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha <sup>-1</sup>	24.4	55.6	80.0
T <sub>4</sub> - T <sub>2</sub> + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> for each application	26.7	60.5	87.1
T <sub>5</sub> - 75 % RDF (75: 45: 30 NPK kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + Soil application of Biofertilizers ( <i>Azospirillum</i> , PSB and KRB each @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> )	13.9	33.0	46.9
T <sub>6</sub> - T <sub>5</sub> + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha <sup>-1</sup>	14.4	36.3	50.7
T <sub>7</sub> - T <sub>5</sub> + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> for each application	16.4	40.2	56.6
SEm±	1.0	2.5	2.5
CD (p = 0.05)	3.2	7.6	7.7
CV (%)	9.1	9.3	6.6

and KRB each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>5</sub>). This might be due to potassium releasing bacteria can forms the biofilms on root surfaces, that can increase the contact between plant roots and solubilized potassium. Pink Pigmented Facultative Methyloprophs (PPFM) bacteria increases the gibberellic acid this acid can increased the root growth leads to increased nutrient uptake. Jeyajothi and Pazhanivelan (2017) concluded that application of 125% RDF through WSF + *Azophosmet* under drip irrigation and foliar spray of 1% PPFM with variety of Co (Rg)7 can be recommended for higher yield and nutrient uptake of pigeonpea. Similar results were reported by Jat et al. (2013), Kushwaha et al. (2014), Kumar et al. (2016) and Vijayalakshmi et al. (2020).

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Significantly higher N (265 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (81.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and K<sub>2</sub>O (490 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) status of the soil recorded with the application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>3</sub>), but it wssas at par with the application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> for each application (T<sub>4</sub>) (N-254 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> -79.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and K<sub>2</sub>O-488kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and with application of

100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) (N-248 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> -75.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and K<sub>2</sub>O-484 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>2</sub>).

Significantly higher nitrogen uptake in grain, stover and total (59.7, 39.2 and 98.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) was found with the application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Potassium Releasing Bacteria each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Foliar application of PPFM bacteria at 30 and 45 DAS @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> for each application (T<sub>4</sub>), but it was at par with application of 100 % RDF + Soil application of Biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, PSB and KRB each @ 1250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Soil application of PPFM bacteria @ 2500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>3</sub>) (55.2, 35.6 and 90.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Almost similar trend was observed in respect to uptakes of P and K.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My deep sense of gratitude to my chairman, Dr. S. Jaffar Basha and all my advisory committee members for the constant guidance throughout my research work.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that they have no known competing financial interests or non-financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdullahi, R., Sheriff, H. H., & Buba, A. (2014). Effect of bio-fertilizer and organic manure on growth and nutrients content of pearl millet. *Asian Research Publishing Network Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 9(10), 351-355.
- Aleksandrov, V. G., Blagody, R. N., & Llev, I. P. (1967). Phosphorus acid isolation from apatite produced by silicate bacteria.

- Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 29(2), 111-114.
- Anjali, S., Basha, S. J., Sree, S. P., & Sridhar, T. V. Growth and yield of sorghum as influenced by application of biofertilizers and pink pigmented facultative methylotroph (PPFM) bacteria.
- Bennet, P. C., Choi, W. J., & Rogers, J. R. (1988). Microbial destruction of feldspars. *Mineralogical Magazine*, 8(62), 149-150.
- Bhavya, G., Shaker, K. C., Jayasree, G., & Reddy, M. M. (2018). Effect of integrated use of phosphorus, biofertilizers and organic manures on soil available nutrient status and yield of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *An Asian Journal of Soil Science*, 13(1), 45-49.
- Bhumika, B., Tandel, R. M., Pankhaniya., & Thanki, J. D. (2020). Response of fodder sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) varieties to biofertilizer and nitrogen levels. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 6, 49-52.
- Chinnadurai, C., Balachandar, D., & Sundaram, S. P. (2009). "Characterization of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase producing methylobacteria from phyllosphere of rice and their role in ethylene regulation. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 25(8), 1403-1411.
- Dadheech, R. C. (2001). Influence of graded doses of phosphorus and indigenous plant growth regulators on N and P content and uptake of black gram. 66th Annual Convention, National Seminar on Development in Soil Science. 139.
- FAOSTAT. (2021). production volume of pulses worldwide from 2010 to 2019. (Accessed: 6/11/2021):<https://www.statista.com/statistics/721945/pulses-production-volume-worldwide/>.
- Gomez, K. A., & Gomez, A. (1984). *Statistical procedures for agricultural research*, 1st ed. John Wiley Sons, New York.
- Goutami, N., Rani, P. P., Pathy, R. L., & Babu, P. R. (2015). Soil properties and biological activity as influenced by nutrient management in rice - fallow sorghum. *International Journal of Agricultural Research, Innovation and Technology*, 5(1), 10-14.
- Holland, M. A., & Polacco, J. C. (1992). Urease-null and hydrogenase-null phenotypes of a phylloplane bacterium reveal altered nickel metabolism in two soybean mutants. *Plant Physiology*, 98(3), 942-948.

- Jackson, M. L. (1973). *Soil chemical analysis*, Prentice Hall of India Private Ltd., New Delhi. 134-182.
- Jakhar, R. R., Shekhawat, P. S., Yadav, R. S., Amit, K., & Singh, S. P. (2018). Integrated nutrient management in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) in north-western Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 63(2), 192-196.
- Jat, M. K., Purohit, H. S., Bahadur, S., Garhwal, R. S., & Mukesh, C. (2013). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, nutrient uptake in sorghum. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 58(4), 543- 547.
- Jeyajothi, R., & Pazhanivelan, S. (2017). Dry matter, nutrient uptake and yield of short duration pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.). Varieties under Drip Fertigation. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(11), 3958-3965.
- Kumar, G. A., Rao, P. M., Suvarnalatha, A. J., & Sreenivasulu, K. N. (2023). Effect of Biofertilizers in Combination with Inorganic Phosphorus on Yield and Yield Attributes of Sorghum. *The Andhra Agricultural Journal*, 70(2), 202-208.
- Kumar, M., Tomar, R. S., Lade, H., & Paul, D. (2016). Methylophilic bacteria in sustainable agriculture. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 32(7), 120.
- Kushwaha, B. B., Thakur, N. S., Usha Saxena, Shrivastava, V. P., Upadhyay, S. N., & Chodhary, R. K. (2014). Effect of fertility levels, farmyard manure and bioinoculants on growth, yield and uptake of nutrients by sorghum. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*, 16(2), 139-142.
- Mohan, L., & Sharma, S. K. (2013). Response of upland paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.) under integrated nutrient management in South Rajasthan conditions. *Environment and Ecology*, 31(2), 1158-1161.
- Olsen, S. R., Code, C. L., Watanabe, F. S., & Dean, D. A. (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *United States Development Agency*. 939.
- Pandey, M. P., Verulkar, S. B., & Sharma, D. (2010). Rice research: past achievements, present scenario and future thrust. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 80(6), 447-69.
- Patidar, M., & Mali, A. L. (2004). Effect of farmyard manure, fertility levels and bio-fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 49(2), 117-120.
- Piper, C. S. (1966). *Soil and plant analysis*, Hans Publishers, Bombay. 368- 369.
- Pothare, S., Rathod, P. K., Ravankar, H. N., Patil, Y. G., Yewale, A. C., & Pothare, D. (2007). Effect of long-term fertilization in vertisols on soil properties and yield of sorghum wheat sequence. *Asian Journal of Soil Science*, 2(1), 74-78.
- Rather, S. A., & Sharma, N. L. (2009). Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity and nutrient uptake in wheat and soil fertility. *Asian Journal of Soil Science*, 4(2), 208-210.
- Reddy, K. S., Rao, Ch. P., Luther, M. M., & Prasad, P.R.K. (2023). Evaluating the efficacy of inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizer consortium for enhanced crop yield and nutrient uptake in sorghum. *Emergent Life Science Research*, 9(2), 332-339.
- Rekha, D. L. M., Lakshmipathy, R., & Gopal, V. A. (2018). Effect of microbial consortium and organic manure on growth and nutrients uptake in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*, 7(6), 2256-2261.
- Roul, P. K., & Sarawgi, S. K. (2005). Effect of organic blended single superphosphate on bacterial population, soil P availability, P uptake and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *The Andhra Agricultural Journal*, 52(3), 317-321.
- Sharma, A., Rawat, U.S., & Yadav, B. K. (2012). Influence of phosphorus levels and phosphorus solubilizing fungi on yield and nutrient uptake by wheat under sub-humid region of Rajasthan, India. *International Scholarly Research Network*, 1-9.
- Sharma, N., & Thakur, K. S. (2016). Effect of integrated nutrient management on soil properties and nutrient content in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *The Bioscan*, 11(1), 455-458.
- Subbiah, B. V., & Asija, C. L. (1956). A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science*, 25, 259-260.
- Sy, A., Girud, E., Journad, P., Garcia, N., Willems, A., Delajudie, P., Prin, Y., Neyra, M., Gills, M., Catherin, B. M., & Dreyful, B. (2001). Methylophilic methylobacterium bacteria nodulate and fix atmospheric

- nitrogen in symbiosis with legumes. *Journal of Bacteriology and Parasitology*, 183, 214-220.
- Taey, D. K. A., Shareefi, M. J. H., MijweL, A. K. A. R. Z., Tawaha, A. R. M., & Tawaha. (2019). The beneficial effects of bio-fertilizers combinations and humic acid on growth, yield parameters and nitrogen content of broccoli grown under drip irrigation system. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 25(5), 959 - 966.
- Tandon, H. L. S. (2009). Methods of analysis of soils plants, waters, fertilizers and organic manures. *Fertiliser development and consultation organisation*, New Delhi. India.
- Vijayalakshmi, V., Pradeep, S., Manjunatha, H., Krishna, V., & Jyothi, V. (2020). The impact of nitrogen fixers and phosphate solubilizing microbes on sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) yield. *Current Biotechnology*, 9, 198-208.
- Walkley, A. J., & Black, I. A. (1934). Estimation of organic carbon by the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*, 37, 29-34.
- Yazeid, A. A., Aly, H. E., May, M. A., & Moussa, S. A. M. (2007). Enhancing growth, productivity and quality of squash plants using phosphate dissolving microorganisms (Bio phos-phor) combined with boron foliar spray. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 3(4), 274-286.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/143018>