



# **Evaluation of the Impact of Herbicides on Soil Characteristics and Grain Yield of Transplanted Rainfed and Irrigated Rice Farming Systems in Makurdi Benue State, Nigeria**

**Akor, E <sup>a</sup>, Agber, P. I <sup>a\*</sup> and Jimin, A. A <sup>b</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Soil Science, College of Agronomy, Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University Makurdi, Nigeria.*

<sup>b</sup> *Department of Crop and Environmental Protection, College of Agronomy, Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University Makurdi, Nigeria.*

## **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author API designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors JAA and AE managed the analyses of the study. Author AE managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## **Article Information**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2025/v37i125869>

## **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/146344>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 26/08/2025**

**Accepted: 31/10/2025**

**Published: 06/12/2025**

## **ABSTRACT**

Herbicides play a vital role in rice production by managing weed growth that competes with crops for essential resources such as nutrients, water, and light. Understanding how herbicide application influences soil properties and rice productivity in these systems is crucial for sustainable agriculture

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [agber.philip@uam.edu.ng](mailto:agber.philip@uam.edu.ng);

and informed management decisions aimed at boosting rice yield while conserving soil health. This study evaluated the impact of integrated pre- and post-emergence herbicide applications on soil physicochemical properties and grain yield in transplanted rainfed and irrigated rice farming systems in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. The experiment was conducted during the 2024 cropping season using a randomised complete block design with eight treatments, including controls, manual weeding, and combinations of pendimethalin, Solito 320 EC, and Patriarc herbicides at varying rates. Soil samples and yield data were collected from plots under both rainfed (wet season) and irrigated (dry season) conditions. Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Genstat Release 3.1 and R statistical software. Results showed herbicide treatments significantly influenced soil texture, bulk density, porosity, water holding capacity, and chemical properties such as pH, organic carbon, total nitrogen, and exchangeable bases. High herbicide rates generally reduced organic carbon and nutrient availability, while moderate applications and manual weeding improved soil porosity and aggregation. Irrigated soils exhibited lower bulk density and higher nutrient contents compared to rainfed soils, consistent with enhanced moisture regimes supporting better soil conditions. Grain yield was significantly higher in plots treated with combined herbicides, exceeding 6 t/ha, compared to controls (~0.5 t/ha) and manual weeding (~4.5 t/ha), indicating effective weed control enhanced productivity in both systems. Correlation analyses highlighted contrasting soil compaction effects under irrigation versus rainfed environments, emphasising the need to balance herbicide use to preserve soil health while maximising yield. This study underscores the importance of optimising herbicide regimes tailored to local soil and water management conditions to sustain rice production and soil fertility in tropical agro-ecosystems like Makurdi.

**Keywords:** *Herbicides; soil properties; grain yield; transplanted rice; rainfed; irrigated.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Herbicides play a crucial role in modern agriculture by controlling weeds and ensuring sustainable crop productivity. However, the use of herbicides has raised concerns regarding their contamination, posing a serious threat to the environment, biodiversity, and food safety (Parven *et al.*, 2025). It also play a vital role in rice production by managing weed growth that competes with crops for essential resources such as nutrients, water, and light. In transplanted rainfed and irrigated rice systems, herbicides contribute significantly to yield stability and efficiency, but their effects on soil characteristics and grain yield require detailed evaluation, especially within local contexts like Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. Understanding how herbicide application influences soil properties and rice productivity in these systems is crucial for sustainable agriculture and informed management decisions aimed at boosting rice yield while conserving soil health.

Rice cultivation globally faces challenges related to weed infestation, which can reduce grain yield substantially if not properly managed. Herbicides, including pre-emergence and post-emergence chemicals, are widely applied to

address this issue; however, their interactions with soil attributes vary based on the farming system, environmental conditions, and herbicide formulation. The main chemical agents, known as herbicides, usually target numerous weed species, each of which has varied degrees of efficiency. In agriculturally advanced nations, herbicides are extensively used to effectively manage weed populations and maintain sustainable agricultural practices (Pervaiz *et al.*, 2024; Jin *et al.*, 2022). Research shows that herbicides can alter soil physicochemical properties, such as pH, organic carbon, nutrient content, and microbial populations, which in turn impact the soil's capacity to support healthy crop growth (Singh *et al.*, 2024). Differences in water management between rainfed and irrigated rice systems further complicate these interactions as soil moisture influences herbicide behaviour and soil microbial activity.

In Makurdi, rainfed rice farming depends exclusively on seasonal rainfall, exposing soils to intermittent wetting and drying cycles. In contrast, irrigated rice fields are subject to continuous water availability controlled through irrigation systems. These divergent moisture regimes lead to distinct soil physical and chemical conditions that

potentially influence how herbicides affect soil properties and consequent grain yield outcomes. For instance, irrigated systems often maintain higher soil moisture, which can enhance herbicide mobility and degradation but may also alter the soil microbial community differently compared to rainfed systems (Jiang et al., 2017). Therefore, evaluating the differential impact of herbicides in these two systems is critical to optimising their use for maximum productivity and minimal environmental degradation.

Herbicide efficacy is also closely linked to improvements in rice yield components such as panicle number, grain weight, and overall grain yield. Studies in similar agro-ecological zones have demonstrated that appropriate herbicide application can increase rice grain yield by reducing weed competition, with some herbicide combinations showing yield improvements exceeding 300% over untreated fields (Zhang et al., 2002). Despite these benefits, repeated and improper herbicide use can reduce soil fertility by negatively impacting beneficial soil microbes, organic matter content, and nutrient availability, threatening long-term sustainability. Consequently, the interplay between herbicide effects on soil properties and rice yield needs a comprehensive assessment tailored to the Makurdi environment.

This study's primary objective is to evaluate the impact of herbicides on soil characteristics and grain yield in transplanted rainfed and irrigated rice farming systems in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. The objectives of the study are to: (1) assess the influence of herbicides on essential soil physicochemical properties in rainfed and irrigated rice fields; and (2) determine the effects of herbicide treatment on rice grain yield in both farming systems.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted during the 2024 cropping season at the Teaching and Research Farms of Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University, Makurdi, Nigeria (7°43'50"N, 8°32'10"E), situated in the Southern Guinea Savannah agro-ecological zone. The site features Typic Ultisols soil, gentle slopes (~3%), and an elevation of 96.3 m above sea level. Makurdi experiences a tropical climate with distinct wet (April–October) and dry (November–March)

seasons influenced by southwest maritime and northeast Harmattan winds, respectively. Average annual rainfall is about 1250 mm, mainly between June and September, providing approximately 210 rainy days annually. Temperatures range from 29–38 °C (max) and 15–26 °C (min), with relative humidity varying seasonally between 38–86%. (Gani et al., 2022).

### 2.1 Experimental Treatments and Design

The experiment employed an integrated herbicide treatment scheme combining pre-emergence application of pendimethalin (Pe) with varying rates of the post-emergence herbicides Patriarc (Pa) and Solito 320 EC (So). Treatments included: - Control (No application), Hand Weeding, So (0.75 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha), So (1.5 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha), So (2.25 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha), Pa (0.15 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha), Pa (0.30 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha) and Pa (0.45 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha).

The treatments were arranged in a Randomised Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replicates per treatment under both irrigated (dry season) and rainfed (wet season) conditions. Each experimental plot measured 5 m by 4 m, separated by 2 m alleyways between blocks and 1 m between replicates. Rice seeds were procured from the National Cereals Research Institute (NCRI), Badeggi, and herbicides were sourced from SYNGENTA.

### 2.2 Agronomic Practices

- **Land Preparation:** The experimental fields were cleared of vegetation, ploughed to a depth of 15–20 cm using tractor-drawn implements, and levelled manually to ensure uniform topography and proper water management. Plot boundaries were demarcated with measuring tools, and bunds were constructed to regulate water flow and prevent waterlogging.
- **Nursery Preparation:** Seedlings were raised in 2 m × 2 m nursery plots near the experimental sites. Two kilograms of seed were treated with Apron Star (1 g metalaxy to 3 kg seed) to prevent seed pests. Seeds were planted during the second week of July (rainfed) and the first week of November (irrigated). Watering was

done daily for four weeks before transplanting.

- **Herbicide Application:** Pre-emergence pendimethalin was applied immediately prior to transplanting, while post-emergence Patriarc and Solito were applied two weeks after transplanting, using a calibrated 3-L hand sprayer.
- **Weeding and Control:** Manual weeding was performed at 0, 4, 8, and 12 weeks after sowing on designated plots. Control plots received no herbicide or manual weeding.
- **Fertiliser Application:** Recommended rates of NPK (20:10:10) fertiliser were uniformly broadcast at three weeks after transplanting at 100 kg N/ha, 75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha, and 50 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha (Yin et al., 2019).
- **Harvesting:** Manual harvesting was done at physiological maturity by cutting tillers approximately 10 cm above ground level when panicles hardened and browned.

### 2.3 Soil Sampling and Laboratory Analysis

Composite soil samples (0–15 cm depth) were collected pre-planting and post-harvest for both seasons, totalling 48 composite samples. Key analyses included particle size distribution (hydrometer method), pH and electrical conductivity (soil-water suspension method), organic carbon (Walkley-Black), total nitrogen (Kjeldahl), available phosphorus (Olsen), sulfur (turbidimetric), exchangeable bases (atomic absorption and flame photometry), exchangeable acidity, cation exchange capacity, and base. Soil cores were also taken for physical property analysis, including bulk density, hydraulic conductivity, porosity, and available water content. Samples were air-dried, sieved, and analysed at the Advanced Analytical Soil Science Laboratory, Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University using standard protocols (Gee and Bauder, 1986).

### 2.4 Statistical Analysis

Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Genstat Release 3.1 and R statistical software. Significant treatment effects were separated using Least Significant Difference (LSD) tests.

Regression and correlation analyses were performed between selected soil properties and yield. A t-test compared rice performance across rainfed and irrigated systems.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Soil Properties of the Study Site

The physical and chemical properties of soil at the study site at the experiment's inception in 2024 showed a sandy clay loam texture, characterised by 74% sand, 5.4% silt, and 20.6% clay in the top 0–30 cm layer (Table 1). The soil bulk density was measured at 1.72 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, with a porosity of 35%, available water content of 23.8 g/m<sup>3</sup>, and hydraulic conductivity (K<sub>sat</sub>) of 10.20, reflecting moderate permeability. The soil pH was mildly acidic at 6.5, with organic matter at 4.3%, total nitrogen at 0.35%, and available phosphorus at 4.88 mg/kg. Exchangeable bases such as potassium, sodium, magnesium, and calcium were 0.19, 0.07, 0.92, and 1.60 cmol/kg, respectively. Exchangeable acidity and base saturation were 0.83 cmol/kg and 77%, respectively, and the cation exchange capacity (CEC) was moderate at 3.61 cmol/kg. These initial values indicate a soil with moderate fertility but with potential to respond positively to fertilisation (Brady & Weil, 2016).

The weather during the crop growing periods displayed significant differences across seasons (Table 2). The first season (July to October 2024) experienced maximum temperatures ranging between 26.3–30.1 °C and minimum temperatures between 18.7–22.3 °C, with substantial rainfall peaking at 2,948 mm in July. The subsequent season (November 2024 to February 2025) recorded higher maximum temperatures of 29.6–33.8 °C and lower minimum temperatures of 15.4–19.7 °C, but no rainfall occurred, necessitating full irrigation. These climatic conditions directly influence soil moisture dynamics and, consequently, nutrient availability and crop growth (FAO, 2017).

### 3.2 Effect of Different Integrated Rates of Pre- and Post-Emergence Herbicides on Physical and Chemical Properties of Soils

The effects of varied integrated rates of pre- and post-emergence herbicides on soil physical and

chemical properties under both irrigation and rainfed conditions are presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. Physical analyses revealed that sand content was higher in treatments involving Solito at 2.25 L/ha (81.67%) and hand weeding, in contrast to lower values under control and Patriarc treatments. Clay content decreased correspondingly, while silt content remained statistically constant, emphasising the selective impact of treatments on soil particle distribution. Bulk density was found to be lower under irrigation (1.57–1.70 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) compared to rainfed plots (1.64–1.76 g/cm<sup>3</sup>), consistent with enhanced soil moisture promoting better aggregation and reduced compaction (Salem et al., 2015). Similarly, porosity increased with moderate herbicide applications and manual weeding, reaching maximum values in Patriarc 0.15 L/ha + Pendimethalin 1.5 L/ha treatments. Water holding capacity and hydraulic conductivity showed significant treatment effects, with higher bulk densities and lower conductivities noted under higher herbicide rates, indicating soil structure impairment likely linked to herbicide-induced binding of soil particles or microbial activity reduction.

Chemically, soil pH showed a decrease under higher herbicide rates, with the control displaying more neutral pH values, reflecting potential acidification linked to intensive chemical inputs. Organic carbon and total nitrogen were highest in control plots, declining with increasing herbicide application—especially at 0.45 L/ha of Patriarc combined with 1.5 L/ha Pendimethalin—indicating detrimental effects on soil organic matter and nutrient cycling (Singh & Ghoshal, 2013). Available phosphorus remained statistically unchanged across treatments but showed a slight reduction under elevated herbicide applications, possibly due to altered microbial activity affecting phosphorus mineralisation. Base saturation and exchangeable cations (Ca, Mg, K, Na) mirrored this trend, with control plots maintaining higher concentrations than treated soils, hinting at the negative impact of excessive herbicide use on essential nutrient retention (Das et al., 2012).

The differences between irrigated and rainfed systems were also significant. Irrigated soils demonstrated lower bulk density and higher pH compared to rainfed soils,

consistent with improved moisture regimes fostering microbial processes that enhance aggregation and nutrient availability (Majumdar et al., 2023). Nitrogen and potassium contents were elevated under irrigation, likely due to reduced leaching and enhanced mineralisation processes. However, parameters such as porosity, water holding capacity, organic carbon, phosphorus, and grain yield did not differ significantly between water management systems, suggesting that intrinsic soil properties and crop genetics also strongly influence these factors (Pascual & Wang, 2016).

Rice grain yield (Table 4) responded markedly to herbicide treatments with superior performance in plots receiving combined herbicide applications (Patriarc + Pendimethalin and Solito + Pendimethalin) in both irrigation scenarios. Yields under these treatments reached over 6 t/ha, significantly higher than the control (0.52–0.57 t/ha) and even surpassing hand weeding (around 4.5 t/ha). This aligns with findings by Chauhan et al. (2015), where integrated chemical weed control optimised canopy development and resource capture, enhancing photosynthesis and yield components. Correlation analyses (Tables 5, 6, 7) revealed that in irrigated conditions, high bulk density negatively correlated with porosity, nutrient levels, and yield, indicating soil compaction restricts root penetration and nutrient uptake despite adequate moisture. In contrast, rainfed conditions showed positive correlations, suggesting that moderate compaction may promote soil aggregation and moisture retention advantageous under variable rainfall (Javaid & Ali, 2022).

The distinct impacts of herbicide rates on soil properties elucidate the balance needed for sustainable weed management. Moderate rates preserving microbial integrity can improve soil structure and fertility, while excessive use exacerbates compaction, reduces soil biochemical activity, and lowers nutrient availability, impeding crop performance (Adeux et al., 2019). Overall, managing soil physical and chemical health under differing irrigation regimes with integrated herbicide applications is crucial for optimising rice production and sustaining soil quality in tropical agricultural systems.

**Table 1. Physical and Chemical Properties of the Soil of the Study Site at the Start of the Experiment**

| Soil Properties                              | Value |
|----------------------------------------------|-------|
| Sand (%)                                     | 74    |
| Silt (%)                                     | 5.4   |
| Clay (%)                                     | 20.6  |
| Textural Class                               | SCL   |
| Soil pH                                      | 6.50  |
| Electrical Conductivity (dSm <sup>-1</sup> ) | 0.05  |
| Organic Carbon (gkg <sup>-1</sup> )          | 2.50  |
| Organic Matter                               | 4.30  |
| Total nitrogen (gkg <sup>-1</sup> )          | 0.37  |
| Available Phosphorus (mg/kg)                 | 4.88  |
| Exchangeable bases (cmol/kg):                |       |
| K                                            | 0.19  |
| Na                                           | 0.07  |
| Ca                                           | 1.60  |
| Mg                                           | 0.92  |
| AL <sup>2+</sup> +H <sup>+</sup> (cmol/kg)   | 0.83  |
| ECEC (cmol/kg)                               | 3.61  |
| Base Saturation (%)                          | 77    |
| Bulk Density (Mgm-3)                         | 1.72  |
| Porosity (%)                                 | 35    |
| AW (gm-3)                                    | 23.8  |
| HC (Ksat)                                    | 10.20 |

Key: SCL = Sandy Clay Loam, HC = Hydraulic Conductivity, AW = Available Water

**Table 2. Effect of different integrated rates of pre- and post-emergence herbicides on soil physical properties**

| Soil physical properties       |          |        |          |              |                  |          |                        |                        |
|--------------------------------|----------|--------|----------|--------------|------------------|----------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Irrigated                      |          |        |          |              |                  |          |                        |                        |
| Treatment                      | Sand     | Silt   | Clay     | Bulk Density | Particle Density | Porosity | Water Holding Capacity | Hydraulic Conductivity |
| Control (No application)       | 78.33a   | 5.4a   | 16.57d   | 1.58ab       | 2.57b            | 39.07c   | 10.04d                 | 0.1                    |
| Hand Weeding                   | 81.33de  | 5.4a   | 13.27ab  | 1.59b        | 2.467a           | 35.85a   | 10.06e                 | 0.08                   |
| Pa (0.15 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 79.67abc | 5.4a   | 14.27bc  | 1.67e        | 2.76d            | 41.23e   | 11.92f                 | 0.04                   |
| Pa (0.30 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha) | 81.00bcd | 5.4a   | 13.57abc | 1.69f        | 2.8e             | 39.18c   | 9.82c                  | 0.04                   |
| Pa (0.45 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha) | 78.67ab  | 5.067a | 16.27d   | 1.7f         | 2.663c           | 36.4b    | 15.16h                 | 0.03                   |
| So (0.75 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 80.67cde | 5.4a   | 13.93abc | 1.57a        | 2.667c           | 39.47c   | 14.25g                 | 0.08                   |
| So (1.5 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)   | 80.00bcd | 5.4a   | 14.6c    | 1.61c        | 2.673d           | 39.42c   | 9.53b                  | 0.07                   |
| So (2.25 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 81.67e   | 5.4a   | 12.93a   | 1.64d        | 2.753d           | 40.38d   | 9.5a                   | 0.06                   |
| Rainfed                        |          |        |          |              |                  |          |                        |                        |
| Control (No application)       | 78.33a   | 5.4a   | 16.57d   | 1.653ab      | 2.633b           | 38.06c   | 9.95c                  | 0.1                    |
| Hand Weeding                   | 81.33de  | 5.4a   | 13.27ab  | 1.67bc       | 2.547a           | 35.35a   | 10.02d                 | 0.08                   |
| Pa (0.15 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 79.67abc | 5.4a   | 14.27bc  | 1.743de      | 2.78e            | 39.41d   | 11.87e                 | 0.04                   |
| Pa (0.30 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha) | 81cde    | 5.4a   | 13.57abc | 1.727d       | 2.8e             | 39.15d   | 9.77b                  | 0.04                   |
| Pa (0.45 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha) | 78.67ab  | 5.067a | 16.27d   | 1.75de       | 2.663c           | 36.35b   | 15.11g                 | 0.03                   |
| So (0.75 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 80.67cde | 5.4a   | 13.93abc | 1.64a        | 2.733d           | 41.18f   | 14.22f                 | 0.08                   |
| So (1.5 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)   | 80bcd    | 5.4a   | 14.6c    | 1.687c       | 2.73b            | 39.37d   | 9.48a                  | 0.07                   |
| So (2.25 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 81.67e   | 5.4a   | 12.93a   | 1.763e       | 2.773e           | 40.33e   | 9.45a                  | 0.06                   |

**Table 3. The Effect of Different Integrated Rates of Pre- and Post-Emergence Herbicides on Soil Chemical Properties**

| Irrigated                      |          |          |         |          |        |         |        |            |         |          |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|--------|---------|--------|------------|---------|----------|
| Treatment                      | pH       | OC       | OM      | N        | AP     | BS      | Ca     | Mg         | K       | Na       |
| Control (No application)       | 6.633d   | 2.633d   | 4.427ab | 0.3733c  | 5.023a | 79.67c  | 1.61d  | 1.2333d    | 0.26c   | 0.17333c |
| Hand Weeding                   | 6.4b     | 2.453bc  | 4.22ab  | 0.3267bc | 4.723a | 75.33bc | 1.383c | 1.1767cd   | 0.2133b | 0.12b    |
| Pa (0.15 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 6.533cd  | 2.5c     | 4.697b  | 0.2867ab | 4.263a | 71.67ab | 1.36c  | 0.7767ab   | 0.18a   | 0.07667a |
| Pa (0.30 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha) | 6.433bc  | 2.5c     | 4.483ab | 0.2467a  | 4.323a | 69a     | 1.317b | 0.9567abcd | 0.16a   | 0.07a    |
| Pa (0.45 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha) | 6.267a   | 2.41abc  | 4.383ab | 0.2267a  | 4.183a | 67.33a  | 1.273a | 0.6667a    | 0.1567a | 0.06667a |
| So (0.75 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 6.367ab  | 2.333ab  | 4.017a  | 0.2567ab | 4.29a  | 72ab    | 1.36c  | 1.0933bcd  | 0.18a   | 0.07667a |
| So (1.5 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)   | 6.333ab  | 2.283a   | 4.443ab | 0.2467a  | 4.323a | 70.67ab | 1.317b | 0.9567abcd | 0.16a   | 0.07a    |
| So (2.25 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 6.267a   | 2.3a     | 4.3ab   | 0.24a    | 4.207a | 67.33a  | 1.273a | 0.8333abc  | 0.1567a | 0.06667a |
| Rainfed                        |          |          |         |          |        |         |        |            |         |          |
| Control (No application)       | 6.333e   | 2.573e   | 4.377ab | 0.3267b  | 4.983c | 76.33c  | 1.59d  | 1.2333d    | 0.26c   | 0.15333c |
| Hand Weeding                   | 6.1bcd   | 2.403cd  | 4.173ab | 0.2933b  | 4.69b  | 73.67bc | 1.363c | 1.1767cd   | 0.2133b | 0.11b    |
| Pa (0.15 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 6.233de  | 2.45d    | 4.647b  | 0.22a    | 4.213a | 69.67ab | 1.34c  | 0.7767ab   | 0.18a   | 0.07a    |
| Pa (0.30 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha) | 6.133cd  | 2.45d    | 4.433ab | 0.2a     | 4.273a | 67a     | 1.297b | 0.9567abcd | 0.16a   | 0.07a    |
| Pa (0.45 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha) | 5.967ab  | 2.363bcd | 4.333ab | 0.199a   | 4.133a | 66.67a  | 1.253a | 0.6667a    | 0.1567a | 0.06667a |
| So (0.75 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 6.067abc | 2.283abc | 3.97a   | 0.2133a  | 4.24a  | 70ab    | 1.34c  | 1.0933bcd  | 0.18a   | 0.07a    |
| So (1.5 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)   | 6.067abc | 2.233a   | 4.393ab | 0.2033a  | 4.273a | 68.33a  | 1.297b | 0.9567abcd | 0.16a   | 0.07a    |
| So (2.25 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 5.933a   | 2.25ab   | 4.25ab  | 0.21a    | 4.16a  | 66a     | 1.253a | 0.8333abc  | 0.1567a | 0.06333a |

**Table 4. Effect of different integrated rates of pre- and post-emergence herbicides on Grain Yield of Maize**

|                                | Grain yield (t/ha) | Panicle Number | Panicle weight (g) | Plant Height(cm) | PLT DM   | Number of Tillers | Panicle length (cm) | 1000 seed weight(g) | Number of Grains per panicle | Leaf Area index | NU   | NFG   | Days to 50% Maturity | D5H    | D5B    |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|------|-------|----------------------|--------|--------|
| <b>Irrigated</b>               |                    |                |                    |                  |          |                   |                     |                     |                              |                 |      |       |                      |        |        |
| Control (No application)       | 0.57a              | 194.7a         | 2.583a             | 58a              | 2.02a    | 45a               | 14.45a              | 154a                | 31.33a                       | 0.65a           | 35e  | 109a  | 86c                  | 72a    | 65.33a |
| Hand Weeding                   | 4.5b               | 313b           | 2.73ab             | 62a              | 3.93bc   | 57b               | 18.69b              | 163ab               | 37.67b                       | 0.7b            | 25b  | 121bc | 86c                  | 72a    | 64.67a |
| Pa (0.15 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 5.647bc            | 314b           | 2.8abc             | 66a              | 3.43bc   | 64cd              | 20.11d              | 167abc              | 40.67bc                      | 0.7b            | 25b  | 121bc | 85bc                 | 71.33a | 63.33a |
| Pa (0.30 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha) | 6.22c              | 331b           | 2.95abc            | 68a              | 3.96bc   | 68cde             | 20.17d              | 175bc               | 46de                         | 0.73bc          | 22a  | 130e  | 83a                  | 70.67a | 63a    |
| Pa (0.45 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha) | 6.3c               | 337b           | 3.21c              | 69a              | 4.21c    | 71e               | 22.16e              | 180c                | 47e                          | 0.77d           | 21a  | 127d  | 84ab                 | 70a    | 63a    |
| So (0.75 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 5.573bc            | 310b           | 2.78abc            | 64a              | 2.8ab    | 63c               | 20.09d              | 165abc              | 40bc                         | 0.7b            | 28d  | 121bc | 85bc                 | 71a    | 63.33a |
| So (1.5 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)   | 6.207c             | 320b           | 2.84abc            | 65a              | 3.23abc  | 67cde             | 19.19c              | 170bc               | 43cd                         | 0.71bc          | 27cd | 122c  | 83a                  | 70.67a | 63.67a |
| So (2.25 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 6.36c              | 327b           | 3.11bc             | 68a              | 3.263abc | 70de              | 22.06e              | 178bc               | 46de                         | 0.74cd          | 26bc | 120b  | 83.67ab              | 70.67a | 63.33a |
| <b>Rainfed</b>                 |                    |                |                    |                  |          |                   |                     |                     |                              |                 |      |       |                      |        |        |
| Control (No application)       | 0.52a              | 14.4a          | 2.533a             | 56a              | 2a       | 41.67a            | 150a                | 191.3a              | 30a                          | 0.6367a         | 35e  | 109a  | 88c                  | 74a    | 67a    |
| Hand Weeding                   | 4.45b              | 18.64b         | 2.68ab             | 60ab             | 3.91bc   | 55b               | 160ab               | 309b                | 35.67b                       | 0.69b           | 25b  | 121bc | 88c                  | 74a    | 66a    |
| Pa (0.15 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 5.597b             | 20.09d         | 2.75abc            | 64ab             | 3.41bc   | 62cd              | 162.3ab             | 310.3b              | 37.67bc                      | 0.6867b         | 25b  | 121bc | 87bc                 | 73a    | 65a    |
| Pa (0.30 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha) | 6.02b              | 20.12d         | 2.9abc             | 66ab             | 3.95bc   | 66cde             | 170b                | 326b                | 44de                         | 0.7133bc        | 22a  | 130e  | 86ab                 | 72a    | 65a    |
| Pa (0.45 L/Ha) + Pe (1.5 L/Ha) | 6.1b               | 22.12e         | 3.16c              | 67.67ab          | 4.19c    | 69e               | 175b                | 332b                | 45e                          | 0.74c           | 21a  | 127d  | 85a                  | 71.33a | 65a    |
| So (0.75 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 5.373b             | 20.03d         | 2.73abc            | 61.67ab          | 2.78ab   | 61c               | 162ab               | 305b                | 38bc                         | 0.6833b         | 28d  | 121bc | 87bc                 | 73a    | 65a    |
| So (1.5 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)   | 6.153b             | 19.14c         | 2.757abc           | 66ab             | 3.21abc  | 65cde             | 165ab               | 316.7b              | 41cd                         | 0.7067bc        | 27cd | 122c  | 85a                  | 72a    | 66a    |
| So (2.25 L/Ha) + Pe(1.5 L/Ha)  | 6.16b              | 22.01e         | 3.06bc             | 66.33ab          | 3.247abc | 68de              | 173b                | 323.7b              | 44de                         | 0.73c           | 26bc | 120b  | 85.67ab              | 72a    | 65a    |

**Table 5. Correlation of Rice Yield and Soil Properties Under Irrigated Rice System**

|                        |                     | Bulk density | Porosity | Water Holding Capacity | Ph    | Organic carbon | Nitrogen | Available Phosphorus | Potassium | Grain yield (t/ha) |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------|----------|------------------------|-------|----------------|----------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Bulk density           | Pearson Correlation | 1            |          |                        |       |                |          |                      |           |                    |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     |              |          |                        |       |                |          |                      |           |                    |
|                        | N                   | 24           |          |                        |       |                |          |                      |           |                    |
| Porosity               | Pearson Correlation | -.926**      | 1        |                        |       |                |          |                      |           |                    |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000         |          |                        |       |                |          |                      |           |                    |
|                        | N                   | 24           | 24       |                        |       |                |          |                      |           |                    |
| Water holding capacity | Pearson Correlation | -.848**      | .774**   | 1                      |       |                |          |                      |           |                    |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000         | .000     |                        |       |                |          |                      |           |                    |
|                        | N                   | 24           | 24       | 24                     |       |                |          |                      |           |                    |
| pH                     | Pearson Correlation | .012         | -.011    | -.059                  | 1     |                |          |                      |           |                    |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .954         | .961     | .785                   |       |                |          |                      |           |                    |
|                        | N                   | 24           | 24       | 24                     | 24    |                |          |                      |           |                    |
| Organic carbon         | Pearson Correlation | -.943**      | .865**   | .948**                 | -.063 | 1              |          |                      |           |                    |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000         | .000     | .000                   | .771  |                |          |                      |           |                    |
|                        | N                   | 24           | 24       | 24                     | 24    | 24             |          |                      |           |                    |
| Nitrogen               | Pearson Correlation | -.914**      | .847**   | .930**                 | -.120 | .967**         | 1        |                      |           |                    |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000         | .000     | .000                   | .575  | .000           |          |                      |           |                    |
|                        | N                   | 24           | 24       | 24                     | 24    | 24             | 24       |                      |           |                    |
| Available Phosphorus   | Pearson Correlation | -.805**      | .783**   | .909**                 | -.088 | .923**         | .923**   | 1                    |           |                    |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000         | .000     | .000                   | .681  | .000           | .000     |                      |           |                    |
|                        | N                   | 24           | 24       | 24                     | 24    | 24             | 24       | 24                   |           |                    |
| potassium              | Pearson Correlation | -.849**      | .815**   | .932**                 | -.047 | .944**         | .939**   | .965**               | 1         |                    |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000         | .000     | .000                   | .826  | .000           | .000     | .000                 |           |                    |
|                        | N                   | 24           | 24       | 24                     | 24    | 24             | 24       | 24                   | 24        |                    |
| Grain yield (t/ha)     | Pearson Correlation | -.818**      | .885**   | .564**                 | .031  | .700**         | .697**   | .610**               | .660**    | 1                  |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000         | .000     | .004                   | .884  | .000           | .000     | .002                 | .000      |                    |
|                        | N                   | 24           | 24       | 24                     | 24    | 24             | 24       | 24                   | 24        | 24                 |

\*\* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

**Table 6. Correlation of Rice Yield and Soil Properties Under Rainfed Rice System**

|                        |                     | <b>Bulk Density</b> | <b>Porosity</b> | <b>WHC</b> | <b>Ph</b> | <b>Nitrogen</b> | <b>AP</b> | <b>OC</b> | <b>Potassium</b> | <b>GY(t/ha)</b> |
|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|
| Bulk Density           | Pearson Correlation | 1                   |                 |            |           |                 |           |           |                  |                 |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     |                     |                 |            |           |                 |           |           |                  |                 |
|                        | N                   | 24                  |                 |            |           |                 |           |           |                  |                 |
| Porosity               | Pearson Correlation | .955**              | 1               |            |           |                 |           |           |                  |                 |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000                |                 |            |           |                 |           |           |                  |                 |
|                        | N                   | 24                  | 24              |            |           |                 |           |           |                  |                 |
| Water Holding Capacity | Pearson Correlation | .873**              | .795**          | 1          |           |                 |           |           |                  |                 |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000                | .000            |            |           |                 |           |           |                  |                 |
|                        | N                   | 24                  | 24              | 24         |           |                 |           |           |                  |                 |
| pH                     | Pearson Correlation | -.236               | -.107           | -.376      | 1         |                 |           |           |                  |                 |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .266                | .619            | .070       |           |                 |           |           |                  |                 |
|                        | N                   | 24                  | 24              | 24         | 24        |                 |           |           |                  |                 |
| Nitrogen               | Pearson Correlation | .916**              | .883**          | .908**     | -.278     | 1               |           |           |                  |                 |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000                | .000            | .000       | .189      |                 |           |           |                  |                 |
|                        | N                   | 24                  | 24              | 24         | 24        | 24              |           |           |                  |                 |
| Available Phosphorus   | Pearson Correlation | .831**              | .793**          | .911**     | -.285     | .901**          | 1         |           |                  |                 |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000                | .000            | .000       | .176      | .000            |           |           |                  |                 |
|                        | N                   | 24                  | 24              | 24         | 24        | 24              | 24        |           |                  |                 |
| Organic carbon         | Pearson Correlation | .958**              | .906**          | .948**     | -.294     | .956**          | .915**    | 1         |                  |                 |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000                | .000            | .000       | .163      | .000            | .000      |           |                  |                 |
|                        | N                   | 24                  | 24              | 24         | 24        | 24              | 24        | 24        |                  |                 |
| potassium              | Pearson Correlation | .869**              | .833**          | .932**     | -.232     | .934**          | .966**    | .938**    | 1                |                 |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000                | .000            | .000       | .276      | .000            | .000      | .000      |                  |                 |
|                        | N                   | 24                  | 24              | 24         | 24        | 24              | 24        | 24        | 24               |                 |
| Grain yield (t/ha)     | Pearson Correlation | .827**              | .907**          | .582**     | .095      | .747**          | .620**    | .729**    | .676**           | 1               |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000                | .000            | .003       | .660      | .000            | .001      | .000      | .000             |                 |
|                        | N                   | 24                  | 24              | 24         | 24        | 24              | 24        | 24        | 24               | 24              |

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.051 level (2-tailed).

**Table 7. T-Test of Soil Properties and Seasons**

| Variable                      | Season    | Mean   | T- stat | P-value |
|-------------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| <b>Bulk Density</b>           | Irrigated | 1.631  | -5.251  | 0.000   |
|                               | Rainfed   | 1.704  |         |         |
| <b>Porosity</b>               | Irrigated | 38.876 | 0.426   | 0.672   |
|                               | Rainfed   | 38.651 |         |         |
| <b>Water Holding Capacity</b> | Irrigated | 11.286 | 0.083   | 0.934   |
|                               | Rainfed   | 11.234 |         |         |
| <b>Hydraulic Conductivity</b> | Irrigated | 0.061  | 0.000   | 1.000   |
|                               | Rainfed   | 0.061  |         |         |
| <b>Ph</b>                     | Irrigated | 6.404  | 7.604   | 0.000   |
|                               | Rainfed   | 6.104  |         |         |
| <b>Organic Carbon</b>         | Irrigated | 2.427  | 1.389   | 0.172   |
|                               | Rainfed   | 2.376  |         |         |
| <b>Nitrogen</b>               | Irrigated | 0.275  | 2.533   | 0.015   |
|                               | Rainfed   | 0.233  |         |         |
| <b>Available Phosphorus</b>   | Irrigated | 4.417  | 0.515   | 0.609   |
|                               | Rainfed   | 4.371  |         |         |
| <b>Potassium</b>              | Irrigated | 0.278  | 6.746   | 0.000   |
|                               | Rainfed   | 0.183  |         |         |
| <b>Grain Yield</b>            | Irrigated | 5.172  | 0.219   | 0.828   |
|                               | Rainfed   | 5.047  |         |         |

#### 4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The study demonstrated that integrated herbicide applications significantly influence soil physicochemical properties and rice grain yield in transplanted rainfed and irrigated systems in Makurdi. Moderate herbicide rates improved soil porosity and nutrient availability, enhancing grain yields above 6 t/ha, outperforming control and manual weeding. However, excessive herbicide use reduced soil organic carbon, nutrient levels, and increased compaction, adversely affecting soil health and yield. Irrigated soils showed better moisture regimes and nutrient status than rainfed soils, highlighting water management's role in mediating herbicide effects.

It is recommended to adopt moderate integrated herbicide regimes tailored to local soil and water conditions, combining chemical and cultural weed control to optimise rice productivity while preserving soil fertility and structure for sustainable farming in Makurdi.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- Adeux, G., Munier-Jolain, N., Meunier, D., Farcy, P., Carlesi, S., Barberi, P., & Cordeau, S. (2019). Diversified grain-based cropping systems provide long-term weed control while limiting herbicide use and yield losses. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 39(4), 42.
- Brady, N. C., & Weil, R. R. (2016). *The nature and properties of soils* (15th ed.). Pearson.
- Chauhan, B. S., Ahmed, S., Awan, T. H., Jabran, K., & Manalil, S. (2015). Integrated weed management approach to improve weed control efficiencies for sustainable rice production in dry-seeded systems. *Crop Protection*, 71, 19–24.
- Das, A. C., Das, S. J., & Dey, S. (2012). Effect of herbicides on microbial biomass in relation to availability of plant nutrients in soil. *Journal of Crop and Weed*, 8(1), 129–132.
- FAO. (2017). *Climate-smart agriculture sourcebook*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Gani, A. T., Usman, M., Agber, P. I., & Jatau, A. (2022). Assessment of climatic water balance and soil moisture storage in southern guinea savanna zone of Nigeria.

- Journal of the Science of Agriculture, Food Technology and the Environment*, 2(2), 1–9.
- Gee, G. W., & Bauder, J. W. (1986). Particle-size analysis. In A. Klute (Ed.), *Methods of soil analysis: Part 1—Physical and mineralogical methods* (pp. 383–411). American Society of Agronomy.
- Javaid, A., & Ali, S. (2022). Effect of soil compaction on soil properties and crop yield under different moisture conditions. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 2022, 8874589.
- Jiang, Y., Lin, D., Guan, X., Wang, J., Cao, G., Zhu, D., & Peng, C. (2017). Effect of herbicide used with years (8+ 1) on soil enzymic activity and microbial population diversity. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 17(10), 2490–2499.
- Jin, M., Chen, L., Deng, X. W., & Tang, X. (2022). Development of herbicide resistance genes and their application in rice. *The Crop Journal*, 10(1), 26–35.
- Majumdar, A., Dubey, P. K., Giri, B., Moullick, D., Srivastava, A. K., Roychowdhury, T., ... & Jaiswal, M. K. (2023). Combined effects of dry-wet irrigation, redox changes and microbial diversity on soil nutrient bioavailability in the rice field. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 232, 105752.
- Parven, A., Meftaul, I. M., Venkateswarlu, K., & Megharaj, M. (2025). Herbicides in modern sustainable agriculture: Environmental fate, ecological implications, and human health concerns. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 22(2), 1181–1202.
- Pascual, V. J., & Wang, Y. M. (2016). Impact of water management on rice varieties, yield, and water productivity under the system of rice intensification in Southern Taiwan. *Water*, 9(1), 3.
- Pervaiz, R., Baloch, R., Arshad, M. A., Abbas, R. N., Shahzad, N., Hamid, M., ... & Akbar, M. M. (2024). Herbicide strategies for weed control in rice cultivation: Current practices and future directions. *Haya: Saudi Journal of Life Sciences*, 9(4), 114–129.
- Salem, H. M., Valero, C., Muñoz, M. Á., Rodríguez, M. G., & Silva, L. L. (2015). Short-term effects of four tillage practices on soil physical properties, soil water potential, and maize yield. *Geoderma*, 237, 60–70.
- Singh, A., & Ghoshal, N. (2013). Impact of herbicide and various soil amendments on soil enzyme activities in a tropical rainfed agroecosystem. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 54, 56–62.
- Singh, R., Sharma, P., & Kumar, V. (2024). Effects of herbicides on soil physicochemical properties and microbial biomass: A meta-analysis. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 172, 108745.
- Yin, Y., Ying, H., Zheng, H., Zhang, Q., Xue, Y., & Cui, Z. (2019). Estimation of NPK requirements for rice production in diverse Chinese environments under optimal fertilization rates. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 279, 107756.
- Zhang, W., Webster, E. P., & Braverman, M. P. (2002). Rice (*Oryza sativa*) response to rotational crop and rice herbicide combinations. *Weed Technology*, 16(2), 340–345.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/146344>