



Distribution of Primary Nutrients (NPK) to Crop Production in Profiles of Soils Derived from Coastal Plain Sand in Ikot Ekpo, Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria

M. O. Eyong^{1*} and E. A. Akpa¹

¹*Department of Soil Science, University of Calabar, P.M.B. 1115, Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author MOE designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft manuscript. Author EAA managed the analyses of the study and managed the literature searches. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2019/v28i530123

Editor(s):

- (1) Dr. Hon H. Ho, Biology, State University of New York, New York.
(2) Asist. Prof. Hakan Sevik, Faculty of Engineering and Architecture, Kastamonu University, Turkey.

Reviewers:

- (1) Nkwoada Amarachi Udoka, Federal University of Technology, Nigeria.
(2) Siva Rami Reddy, Tanta University, India.
(3) Cristiane Ramos Vieira, University of Cuiabá, Brazil.
Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49173>

Original Research Article

Received 03 May 2019
Accepted 09 June 2019
Published 15 July 2019

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to evaluate the distribution of primary nutrients (NPK) in profiles of the coastal plain soils of Ikot Ekpo, Calabar, as well as evaluate other soil properties critical to agricultural productivity, in a bid to generate data that will serve as a guide to effective land use and management of the soils for arable crop production. Three (3) Profile pits were dug on the crest, middle slope and valley bottom, and soil samples were collected from their pedogenetic horizons for analysis. Analytical results showed the three profiles of coastal plain soils studied had predominantly sandy particle sizes (ranged from 770 - 910 gkg⁻¹ sand across the three profiles) and mostly loamy sand in texture; especially at the topsoil level. The soils were also acidic (pH 4.7 to 5.1) and low in organic matter (1.0 mg kg⁻¹ to 16.0 mg kg⁻¹) as expected. Generally, the soils were found to be low in total nitrogen content (0.1 to 1.3 mg kg⁻¹) and exchangeable potassium (0.08 to

*Corresponding author: E-mail: michaeleyong87@yahoo.com;

0.10 cmolc kg⁻¹); however, they were high in available phosphorus (17.20 to 29.75 mg kg⁻¹). NPK distribution charts showed that N and P decreased consecutively with increasing depth for the crest profile. The middle-slope and valley bottom profile showed no definite pattern of distribution. However, the concentration of NPK was highest at topsoil level across most profiles. N had the shallowest intra-profile distribution with significantly higher levels of topsoil concentration indicated by the high percentages of intra-profile CV (94%, 85% & 97% for CUF, MUF & VUF respectively). P showed a shallow intra-profile distribution across the three profiles but did not vary significantly from the intra-profile mean (12.5%, 12.0% & 2.6% for CUF, MUF & VUF respectively). On the other hand K was more evenly distributed within all three profiles (CV of 9.4%, 5.3% & 8.6% for CUF, MUF & VUF respective) compared to N and P. Inter-profile distribution of NPK showed that N and P had higher concentrations at crest level, with P showing consecutive decrease in concentration down the slope. This study therefore recommends adoption of different NPK fertilizer recommendations for different soil depths and topographic locations for optimal productivity.

Keywords: Primary nutrients; coastal plain soils; inherent limitations; productivity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The challenge for agriculture over the coming decades is to meet the world's increasing demand for food and sustaining optimal production. Declining soil fertility and mismanagement of available soil nutrients have made this task more difficult. As long as agriculture remains a soil-based industry, major increases in productivity are unlikely to be attained without ensuring that plants have an adequate and balanced supply of nutrients required for optimum productivity. This calls for efficient analysis of agricultural soils to determine soil quality in terms of nutrient composition, and to maintain or enhance soil quality when necessary in order to effectively manage plant nutrient and soil fertility [1].

Coastal plain soils are mainly unconsolidated sediments defined by unique geological substrates which consist of wind worked quaternary sand [2]. They are characterized by acidic conditions, low cation exchange capacity, and multiple nutrient deficiencies due to factors such as intensive weathering, leaching and inappropriate agricultural activities [3,4]. The clay fraction of the soil is rich in kaolinitic clay minerals [4,5]. Coastal plain sands cover an area of 480 km² in Cross River State, 3,470.32 km² in Benue State, 42.20 km² in Lagos State, 213.16 km² in Akwa Ibom State, 12.18 km² in Ogun State, 40.62 km² in Ondo State; and 5.435.92 km² in River State. In Cross River State, coastal plain soils are found mostly in Akpabuyo, Bakassi, Calabar and Odukpani Local Government Areas [6].

Coastal plain soils have good agricultural potentials because they have moderate inherent

fertility and availability of water during the dry season. In crop production and land use, the evaluation of soils' chemical properties is important because properties such as pH, organic matter, nitrogen, phosphorus exchangeable bases, cation exchange capacity and base saturation affect plant growth and development [7]. To increase crop performance, there is always the need to establish relationships between soil chemical properties and soil capacity to produce food crops, that indeed the basis of yield predictions and could be considered as the most useful expression of soil productivity [7].

Soil characterization in relation to evaluation of fertility status of the soils of an area or region is an important aspect in context of sustainable agricultural production. Because of imbalanced and inadequate fertilizer use coupled with low efficiency of other inputs, the production efficiency of chemical fertilizer nutrients has declined tremendously under intensive agriculture in recent years. Introduction of high yielding varieties to agriculture in the mid-sixties compelled the farmers to use high doses of NPK (Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium) fertilizers along with micronutrient fertilizers. NPK are among the nutrients required by plants because they are primarily required for plants to complete their life cycle. They are the most critical plant nutrients in agriculture because they are mobile and not easily lost by leaching due to its adsorption to the clays. The deficiencies of these nutrients have become major constraints to productivity, stability and sustainability of soils. Soils with finer particles and with higher organic matter can generally provide a greater reserve of nutrients whereas, coarse textured soils such as sand have fewer

reserves and tend to get depleted rather quickly.

Plants take up nitrogen from the soil solution mainly as nitrate (NO_3^-) and ammonium ions (NH_4^+). Nitrogen is responsible for rapid growth and its content in surface soils ranges from 0.02 to 0.5%, which is below the critical level of plant nutrient of 2.0% as reported by Espinoza et al. [8]. The mineral forms of nitrogen are soluble in water and are easily lost from soils through leaching and volatilization. Minimization of environmentally damaging impact from soil plant system and regulation of soluble forms of nitrogen through split application and slow release fertilizer is therefore imperative in order to maintain adequate supply in the soil as reported by Espinoza et al. [8].

Phosphorus has been identified as one of the most limited nutrient elements in tropical soils. The total phosphorus level of soils is low and is mostly unavailable for plant uptake because they are highly insoluble. When soluble forms are added to the soil, they are also fixed and in time form unavailable forms, leaving a very small percentage for plant utilization. Low availability of phosphorus in tropical soils is attributed to the nature of chemical forms of the soils phosphorus and high content of oxides of iron and aluminum, which are associated with high phosphorus fixation [9]. Phosphorus promotes root formation, affects quality of seeds, fruit and flowers, and increases disease resistance on the plants [10].

Potassium is the third most important plant element after nitrogen and phosphorus. It is essential for plant growth, useful for helping plants overcome drought stress and increases diseases resistance. Potassium is generally high in most mineral soils but very large portion is unavailable to plants, it is also subject to leaching and removal by plants. Problem associated with potassium use by plants is rarely of its total supply but rather of adequate supply of available forms at depths below plough layer as reported by Espinoza et al. [8].

It is well recognized that soils are the storehouse of most of the plant nutrients essential for plant growth and that the way in which soils are managed will have a major impact on soil fertility, plant growth and agricultural sustainability. Since soils are dynamic systems it is expedient to ascertain levels of primary nutrients in soils and to establish management practices for the soils. This is necessary to maintain and improve agricultural productivity and sustainability.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Location of Study Area

Ikot-Ekpo, Calabar is located between latitude $008^{\circ}20.030'E$ and longitude $05^{\circ}38.45'N$ in Cross River State. Cross River State is located in the South Eastern part of the Federal Republic of Nigeria. Geographically, it lies between latitude $5^{\circ}32'$ and $4^{\circ}27'$ North of the equator and $7^{\circ}50'$ and $0^{\circ}25'$ East of the Greenwich Meridian. It is bounded by Ebonyi and Abia State in the West, Benue State in the North, Akwa Ibom State in the South, and Atlantic Ocean in the South-East and in the East by the Cameroon Republic. The total land mass of Cross River State is about 23,074,245 square kilometer. Cross River State has a population of 4.6 million people living in the state. The state has thick rainforest vegetation and very rich soils [3].

2.2 Climate

The climate of the study area is marked by a rainy season and a dry season. The rainy season lasts from March to July with heavy downpours, and strong wind storm, and the dry season last from November to early March which climaxes between December and January during harmattan. The area has rainfall range of 2000 mm to 2739 mm per annum and a temperature of 25°C in the rainy season and 28°C in the dry season. The area has a relative humidity range of 70 to 80%.

2.3 Geology

The area is dominated by coastal plain sands belonging to the tertiary deposit and alluvial deposit on low lying swampy areas.

2.4 Vegetation

The area is mainly used for arable cropping and rubber plantation. The plantation is more than 15 years and contains other vegetable crops like *Telferia occidentalis*, *Talinum triangulare* and *Manihot esculentus*.

2.5 Field Studies

Three (3) Profile pits were dug on the crest, middle slope and valley bottom. A full range of environmental conditions, selected soils morphological properties and soil physico-chemical properties were recorded on soil

description sheets. Each profile pit measured 1.5 m x 2 m x 2 m. The profile pits were dug to impenetrable layer or water level depending on whichever is shallower. Each

profile pit was described in the moist state for their full ranges of morphological characteristics. Description was done according to guidelines [46].




Fig. 1. Map of Calabar metropolis showing location of Ikot Ekpo
 Source: Cartographic unit, Department of Geography, University of Calabar, Calabar

2.6 Laboratory Analysis

In the laboratory, the soil samples were air dried at room temperature for 48 hours and then gently crushed with pestle and mortar and sieved through 2 mm sieve to obtain fine earth fractions for the analysis. The physico-chemical properties of the soils were determined using standard procedures, as outlined by Udo et al. [11]. Bulk cores were taken using cylindrical cores, later oven dried to constant weight and then the bulk density was calculated [12]. The particle size distribution was determined by the Hydrometer method using sodium hexametaphosphate (calgon) as dispersant [13]. The soil texture was determined using the textural triangle. The soil pH was determined potentiometrically after equilibration with water and 0.01 M CaCl₂ in a 1:2.5 soils to solution ratio using glass electrode pH meter as outlined by Isirimah et al. [14]. Organic carbon was determined by the Walkley-Black wet oxidation method and the value multiplied by Van Bemmelen factor of 1.724 to obtain organic matter value [15]. The method involves the digestion of the soil organic matter with potassium dichromate (K₂Cr₂O₇) using concentrated sulphuric acid to increase the temperature and hasten the reaction. The total N was determined by the salicylic acid-thiosulphate digestion method followed by the distillation method using modified micro-kjeldhal method [16]. Available P was extracted by the Bray No. 1 method and 2 mL of the extract was used to determine P in solution colorimetrically by the ascorbic acid method [17], after 2 mL of the extract is made up to 50 mL with distilled water; it is then kept for some time for colour development before taking reading in a spectrophotometer. Exchangeable Cations (Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺, Na⁺) were determined with ammonium acetate (pH 7.0) using 1:10 soil-liquid ratio. Calcium (Ca) and Magnesium (Mg) in the filtrate were then determined with atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS) while sodium and potassium were determined by flame photometer analyzer [18]. Exchangeable acidity was determined by successive leaching of soils with neutral unbuffered K chloride (KCl) using 1:10 soil to liquid ratio. Exchangeable hydrogen (H) and aluminum (Al) were determined by titration method [19], for this, 1 mL of KCl was used as an extracting agent, after adding 5 drops of phenolphthalein indicator. It is titrated with 0.01 mL of NaOH until permanent pink coloration is reached. The solution is titrated against 0.01 mL HCl until a colourless solution is obtained. Cation Exchange capacity was determined by neutral

ammonium acetate (pH 7.0) saturation method described by Udo et al. [11]. Percentage base saturation was determined by the sum of exchangeable cations to effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC) multiply by 100.

2.7 Statistical Analysis

Variability in soil properties NPK within the soil profiles were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). Linear regression was used to explore the relationship between NPK and depth. A table of the physical and chemical properties showing relationship of nutrient with depth was made. Also, a table showing variation of NPK with soil depth in the profiles was made.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Morphological Properties

The morphological properties of soil derived from coastal plain sand in Ikot Ekpo, Calabar are presented in Table 1.

3.2 Soil Colour

The hue values were mostly 10YR, with 2.5YR at AP horizon (0 – 30 cm depth) of the crest. The hue value 2.5YR for surface soil (0-30 cm) crest, and 10YR value, with colour range from dark grayish brown to dark yellowish brown conform to [20]. The dark grayish colour may be related to the organic matter content of the soil. However, the yellowish colour may be an indication of the presence of iron (iii) oxide. This in line with [21], who stated that coastal plain soils merge into deep permeable red-earth or yellow earth strata derived from tertiary sediments, an indication of iron (iii) oxide.

3.3 Soils Structure

Soil structure is the aggregation of primary soil particles (sand, silt and clay) and provide good health to plant growth. One group of structure was observed in the surface soil namely weak fine granular. The subsurface had two groups and were dominated by moderate to medium sub-angular blocky structure. According to [22], soils derived from coastal plain sands have medium sub-angular blocky subsurface. The structure of these soils is influenced basically by their particle size distribution.

3.4 Soil Texture

The texture of the surface and subsurface soils varied from loamy sand surface to sandy clay

loam subsurface soils and this agrees with Shaw *et al.* [23], who stated that soils derived from coastal plain sands have sand or loamy sand surface and sandy loam or sandy clay loam subsurface. Such textural classes could have serious implications on hydrological processes such as erosion, aeration and water holding capacity as reported by Schoenholtz *et al.* [24].

3.5 Soil Consistency

Soil consistency indicates the resistance of soil to deformation. The soil consistency was very friable at surface level. The subsurface level were both firm and friable but were largely dominated by firm consistency. [22] described the consistency of coastal plain soils as none or slightly stick or plastic when wet, and loose when dry.

3.6 Physical Properties

Table 2 shows the physical properties of soils derived from coastal plain sands under arable crop production in Ikot Ekpo, Calabar.

3.7 Particle Size Distribution

Particle size distribution of the soil showed that sand ranged from 860 gkg^{-1} to 910 gkg^{-1} , silt ranged from 60 gkg^{-1} to 120 gkg^{-1} while that of clay ranged from 30 gkg^{-1} to 110 gkg^{-1} . Surface soils had a mean of 893.3 gkg^{-1} , 76.6 gkg^{-1} and 30 gkg^{-1} for sand, silt and clay respectively while the subsurface soil had a mean of 803 gkg^{-1} , 101.1 gkg^{-1} and 970 gkg^{-1} for sand silt and clay respectively. Sand was the dominant particles size fraction at both surface and subsurface soils, placing the textural classes of all the soils as loamy sand for top soils and sandy clay loam to sandy clay for sub soils. The sandy nature of the soils at both levels could be attributed largely to the parent material. The predominantly sandy nature of the soils was an indication of low fertility arising from physical causes. Sandy soils have loosed particles and hold little organic matter as well as nutrients [25].

3.8 Bulk Density

The bulk density of the soils ranged from 1.4 gcm^{-3} to 1.8 gcm^{-3} at all depths. The surface soils had a range of 1.6 gcm^{-3} to 1.8 gcm^{-3} with a mean value of 1.7 gcm^{-3} . The subsurface values ranged from 1.4 gcm^{-3} to 1.8 gcm^{-3} with a mean value of 1.5 gcm^{-3} . The mean value for surface

bulk density is higher than that of the subsurface, this agrees with Donahue *et al.* [26] who stated that soils derived from coastal plain sands have higher bulk density in the surface than the subsurface. This higher surface bulk density is likely due to higher levels of organic matter in surface profile of the soils. It could also be attributed to soil compaction as a result of continuous and intensive cultivation of the soils. High levels of bulk density are capable of impeding root penetration and development thereby limiting crop yield.

3.9 Particle Density

The particle density ranged between 2.30 gcm^{-3} and 2.81 gcm^{-3} at all depths. The surface soils for the three locations ranged 2.30 gcm^{-3} to 2.57 gcm^{-3} with a mean of 2.49 gcm^{-3} . The subsurface soils ranged from 2.45 gcm^{-3} to 2.81 gcm^{-3} with a mean of 2.67 gcm^{-3} . This result is closely ranged with that obtained by [27]. The particle density is higher than the bulk density as expected and is considered moderate to high compare with the generally accepted range of 2.6 to 2.7 mg m^{-3} [28]. The relatively high values obtained is likely due to the low level of organic matter observe in the soils because high organic matter tend to lower particle density of associated soils.

3.10 Total Porosity

The total porosity varied between 7% and 90% at all depths. The surface soils porosity ranged from 61% to 90% with a mean value of 79.3%. The subsurface had values ranging from 7% to 90% with a mean value of 46.6%. This indicates that the soils have more porous surface than subsurface. According to [29], top soils form from coastal plain sands had more bulk density porous than subsoil. The highly porous surface soil values reflect typical sandy soils; with porosity that ensures even circulation of air and growth of microorganisms.

3.11 Chemical Properties

Table 3 shows the chemical properties of soils derived from coastal plain sands under arable crop production in Ikot Ekpo, Calabar.

3.12 Soil pH

The pH values ranged from 4.7 to 5.1 at all depths. The surface soils pH ranged from 4.7 to

Table 1. The morphological properties of soils derived from coastal plain sands under arable crop production in Ikot Ekpo, Calabar, Cross River State

Profile location	Horizon	Depth(cm)	Munsell colour (wet)	Texture	Structure	Consistence	Boundary	Other features
CUF E008° 19.91' N05° 03.65'	AP	0 - 30	2.5YR ⁴ / ₂	Loamy sand	1 fgr	Vfr	Cs	Many fine roots
	B	30 – 70	10YR ⁴ / ₄	Sandy clay loam	2 msbk	Fi	Cs	Common fine roots
	BC	70 – 120	10YR ⁴ / ₄	Sandy clay	2 msbk	Fi	Cs	Few fine roots
	C	120 – 150	10YR ³ / ₆	Sandy clay	2 msbk	Fi	Cs	Few fine roots
MUF E008° 19.95' N05° 03.87'	AP	0 – 26	10YR ⁴ / ₃	Loamy sand	1 fgr	Vfr	Cg	Few fine roots, many ants
	AB	26 - 57	10YR ⁶ / ₆	Sandy loam	1 sbk	Fr	Cs	Few fine roots
	B	57 – 110	10YR ⁵ / ₄	Sandy clay	2 msbk	Fi	Cs	Few fine roots
	C	110 – 150	10YR ⁶ / ₈	Sandy clay	2 msbk	Fi	Cs	Few fine roots
VUF E008° 9.09' N05° 03.65'	AP	0 – 20	10YR ³ / ₂	Loamy sand	1 fgr	Vfr	Cs	Many roots
	B	20 - 60	10YR ⁴ / ₄	Sandy loam	1 sbk	Fr	Cs	Few medium roots
	BC	60 - 115	10YR ⁴ / ₄	Sandy clay loam	2 msbk	Fi	Sg	Few fine roots
	C	115 – 150	10YR ⁵ / ₆	Sandy clay loam	2 msbk	Fi	Sg	Few fine roots

Structure: 1 = weak; 2 = moderate; 3 = strong; f = fine; m = medium; gr = granular; cr = crumb; sbk = sub-angular blocky.

Consistence: Vfr = very friable; Fi = firm; Fr = friable.

Boundary: C = clear; S = smooth; g = gradual; w = wavy.

CUF = Crest Unical Farm

MUF = Middle-slope Unical Farm

VUF = Valley-Bottom Unical Farm

Table 2. The physical properties of soils derived from coastal plain sands under arable crop production in Ikot Ekpo, Calabar, Cross River State

Profile location	Horizon	Depth(cm)	Particle size distribution			Particle density (gcm ⁻³)	Bulk density (g cm ⁻³)	Total porosity (%)
			Sand (g kg ⁻¹)	Silt(g kg ⁻¹)	Clay (g kg ⁻¹)			
CUF	AP	0 - 30	860	110	30	2.30	1.5	61
E008 ⁰ 19.91'	B	30 - 70	840	80	80	2.80	1.5	29
N05 ⁰ 03.65'	BC	70 - 120	790	100	110	2.81	1.7	67
	C	120 - 150	790	120	90	2.63	1.7	68
MUF	AP	0 - 26	910	60	30	2.61	1.4	87
E008 ⁰ 19.95'	AB	26 - 57	810	110	80	2.53	1.4	27
N05 ⁰ 03.87'	B	57 - 110	800	120	80	2.54	1.7	49
	C	110 - 150	770	120	110	2.64	1.8	70
VUF	AP	0 - 20	910	60	30	2.56	1.6	90
E008 ⁰ 9.09'	B	20 - 60	840	70	100	2.45	1.6	70
N05 ⁰ 03.65	BC	60 - 115	790	100	110	2.62	1.7	90
	C	115 - 150	800	90	110	2.71	1.8	10
Surface Range			860 – 910	60 – 110	30 – 30	2.30 – 2.57	1.6 – 1.8	61 – 90
Surface Mean			893.3	76.6	30	2.49	1.7	79.30
Subsurface Range			770 – 840	70 – 110	80 – 110	2.45 – 8.10	1.4 – 1.8	7 – 90
Subsurface Mean			8030	101.1	970	2.67	1.5	46.60

CUF = Crest Unical Farm; MUF = Middle-slope Unical Farm; VUF = Valley-Bottom Unical Farm

5.1 with a mean value of 4.9. The subsurface had values ranging from 4.9 to 5.1 with a mean value of 5.0. From the result, the soils at both surface and subsurface depths are strongly acidic. This pH range does not fall within the optimal pH range of 6.0 - 7.5 for the growth of higher plants and microorganisms as reported by Havlin et al. [30]. And the texture was due to the high level of rainfall in the region. High level of rainfall causes the basic cations in the soils to leach away from the profile [20]. According to [31], the soil pH of soils in Calabar had values ranging from 4.8 to 5.5, indicating moderate to strong acidity and this agrees with the findings of this research.

3.13 Organic Carbon (O/C)

The organic carbon content of the soils ranged from 1.0 mg kg⁻¹ to 16.0 mg kg⁻¹. The top soil values ranged from 7.0 to 16.0 mg kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 10.80 mg kg⁻¹. The subsurface organic carbon values ranged from 1.0 to 5.0 mg kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 2.0 mg kg⁻¹. This result shows that the OC level was significantly higher in the soil surface than in the subsurface depth. This is due to accumulation of organic matter at the top soil. However, the organic matter content at both depths was generally low, as all the values were below the critical level of 40 mg kg⁻¹ [32]. This may be due to intensive use of the land for agricultural activities without return of plant residue to the soils as reported by Bunemann et al. [33].

3.14 Total Nitrogen (N_T)

The total nitrogen content of the soils is shown in Table 4. It ranged from 0.1 to 1.3 mg kg⁻¹ at both surface and subsurface soils. The surface soils ranged from 0.5 to 1.3 mg kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 0.8 mg kg⁻¹ while the subsurface soil ranged from 0.1 to 0.4 mg kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 0.2 mg kg⁻¹. This result compared with the critical value of 0.35 mg kg⁻¹ [32] show that the total nitrogen content of the soil is low as observed by [34] for acid sands of Eastern Nigeria. Such levels of N_T in soils might have serious negative implications on soil and crop productivity. These low values may be as a result of low organic matter content as well as leaching of nitrates from the soil; as is common in coastal plain soils and the texture.

3.15 Available Phosphorus (P_A)

The available phosphorus content of the soils as shown in Table 4 ranged from 17.20 to 29.75 mg kg⁻¹ at both depths. The values for surface soils ranged from 21.12 to 29.75 mg kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 25.33 mg kg⁻¹ while the subsurface soil ranged from 17.20 to 23.50 mg kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 20.15 mg kg⁻¹. This result shows that the soils are generally high in available P; as it exceeds the critical value of 15 mg kg⁻¹ [34]. This high in available P might be attributed to fertilizer application used for crop growth in the area. None of the profiles was observed to fall below the critical value. Furthermore, [7] estimated an average value of 20 mg kg⁻¹ of available P for the soils of coastal plain sands and this conforms to these findings.

3.16 Exchangeable Bases

Exchangeable K⁺: The exchangeable K⁺ content of the soils ranged from 0.08 cmolc kg⁻¹ to 0.10 cmolc kg⁻¹ at both depths. The top soil values ranged from 0.08 to 0.10 cmolc kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 0.09 cmolc kg⁻¹ while the subsurface values ranged from 0.08 to 0.10 cmolc kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 0.09 cmolc kg⁻¹. This result indicates that level of exchangeable K⁺ is generally very low as observed by Holland et al. [35]. This contrasts with the mean value of 0.16 obtained by Ewulo et al. [36] for the coastal plain soils of Uyo. The low value of K⁺ which is below critical level of 0.2 cmolc kg⁻¹ as reported by Kyuma et al. [37] might be attributed to the high rainfall and leaching intensity normally often encountered in coastal plain soil and the sandy texture.

Exchangeable Ca²⁺: The exchangeable Ca²⁺ content of the soils varied from 2.2 cmolc kg⁻¹ to 4.4 cmolc kg⁻¹ at both depths. The top soil values ranged from 2.2 to 3.0 cmolc kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 2.7 cmolc kg⁻¹ while the subsurface values ranged from 2.2 to 4.4 cmolc kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 3.2 cmolc kg⁻¹. This result shows that level of exchangeable Ca²⁺ is generally low to moderate Holland et al. [35].

Exchangeable Mg²⁺: The exchangeable Mg²⁺ content of the soils varied from 0.8 cmolc kg⁻¹ to 2.8 cmolc kg⁻¹ at both depths. The top soil values ranged from 1.2 to 2.4 cmolc kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 1.7 cmolc kg⁻¹ while the subsurface values ranged from 0.8 to 2.8 cmolc kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 1.9 cmolc kg⁻¹. This result shows that level of exchangeable Mg²⁺ is generally moderate [38] to high as reported by Holland et al. [35].

Exchangeable Na⁺: The exchangeable Na⁺ content of the soils varied from 0.05 cmolc kg⁻¹ to 0.08 cmolc kg⁻¹ at both depths. The top soil values ranged from 0.05 to 0.08 cmolc kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 0.06 cmolc kg⁻¹ while the subsurface values ranged from 0.06 to 0.08 cmolc kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 0.06 cmolc kg⁻¹. This result shows that level of exchangeable Na is rated very low compared to similar soils in the region as reported by Ewulo et al. [36].

3.17 Exchangeable Acidity

Exchangeable H⁺: The exchangeable H⁺ content of the soils varied from 0.2 cmolc kg⁻¹ to 0.88 cmolc kg⁻¹ at both depths. The top soil values ranged from 0.2 to 0.40 cmolc kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 0.28 cmolc kg⁻¹ while the subsurface values ranged from 0.12 to 0.88 cmolc kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 0.39 cmolc kg⁻¹. This result shows that the subsurface soil had higher exchangeable H⁺ values than the surface soil. The soil is generally low in exchangeable H⁺ as reported by Holland et al. [35].

Exchangeable Al³⁺: The exchangeable Al³⁺ content of the soils varied from 0.24 cmolc kg⁻¹ to 1.04 cmolc kg⁻¹ at both depths. The top soil values ranged from 0.32 to 0.96 cmolc kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 0.53 cmolc kg⁻¹ while the subsurface values ranged from 0.24 to 1.04 cmolc kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 0.57 cmolc kg⁻¹. This result shows that exchangeable H⁺ values of the soils is

generally low as reported by Holland et al. [35]. The low levels of exchangeable acidity is solely attributed to leaching away of the basic cations (Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , K^+ , Na^+) from the surface soil, which leaves the surface soil acidic.

3.18 Effective Cation Exchange Capacity (ECEC)

The ECEC content of the soils ranged between 4.85 cmolc kg^{-1} to 7.06 cmolc kg^{-1} at both depths. The top soil values ranged from 4.85 to 6.30 cmolc kg^{-1} with a mean value of 5.44 cmolc kg^{-1} while the subsurface values ranged from 5.24 to 7.06 cmolc kg^{-1} with a mean value of 6.32 cmolc kg^{-1} . This result shows that level of ECEC is rated very low and regarded as unsuitable for crop production [6].

3.19 Base Saturation (BS)

The base saturation of the soils ranged from 76 % to 115% at both depths. The top soil values ranged from 76 to 115% with a mean value of 93 % while the subsurface values ranged from 80 to 9 % with a mean value of 83%. These values indicate the availability of the basic cations and it shows a higher value than that obtained by [31] for the Utisols of Cross River State and so could be regarded as being moderate to high.

3.20 Distribution of NPK in the Soils

The intra-profile and inter-profile distribution of total N, available P and exchangeable K were discussed intensively with respect to Tables 4 and 5.

3.21 Total Nitrogen

Intra-profile distribution of total N as shown in Table 4 indicates a value of 1.3 mg kg^{-1} for top soil of crest profile (CUF). The values ranged from 0.1 to 1.3 mg kg^{-1} throughout CUF profile depth with a mean of 0.5 mg kg^{-1} , SD of 0.47 mg kg^{-1} and CV of 94 %. The middle slope profile (MUF) had a topsoil value of 0.5 mg kg^{-1} and ranged from 0.1 to 0.5 mg kg^{-1} with a mean value of 0.2 mg kg^{-1} , SD of 0.17 and CV of 85%. While the valley bottom profile (VUF) had a top soil value of 0.8 mg kg^{-1} and ranged from 0.1 to 0.8 mg kg^{-1} with a mean of 0.3 mg kg^{-1} , SD of 0.29 mg kg^{-1} and CV of 97%.

The inter-profile distribution of total N as shown in Table 4 ranged from 0.2 to 0.5 mg kg^{-1} across the three profiles (using intra-profile means), with a mean value of 0.33 mg kg^{-1} , SD of 0.125 mg kg^{-1} and CV of 38.0%.

These values indicate higher concentration of N in top soil and lower concentration in sub soils below critical value [34]. Total N in CUF decreased consistently with increase in profile depth (Fig. 2a) while MUF and VUF showed inconsistent patterns (Figs. 3a & 4a). However, the topsoil had a significantly higher N concentration for all three profiles (Figs. 2a, 3a & 4a) and this accounts for the high CV observed within the profiles; 94%, 85% and 97% for CUF, MUF and VUF respectively (Table 5). This agrees with the findings of [39], who stated that N concentration was significantly higher

in topsoil. The high levels of total N in the topsoil could be attributed to the high natural organic matter returns and mineralization of plant residue as well as N fertilizer application. According to [40], biological cycling generally moves nutrients upwards because some proportion of the nutrients absorbed by plants are transported aboveground and then recycled to the soil surface.

Generally, inter-profile distribution chart shows that the upper slope (CUF) had the highest nitrogen concentration and the lowest concentration was observed in the middle slope (CUF > VUF > MUF) (Fig. 5a). The level of significance of its inter-profile variation is visible in the percentage of its coefficient of variation (38 %). According to [41], soils on lower slope are more prone to nitrogen runoff because they become saturated with excess precipitation.

3.22 Available Phosphorus

Intra-profile distribution of available P as shown in Table 4 shows a topsoil value of 29.80 mg kg^{-1} for crest profile (CUF) and the values ranged from 17.20 to 29.80 mg kg^{-1} throughout the profile depth with a mean of 22.44 mg kg^{-1} , SD of 4.82 mg kg^{-1} and CV 21.5 %. The middle slope profile (MUF) had a topsoil value of 25.10 mg kg^{-1} and ranged from 18.50 to 25.10 mg kg^{-1} with a mean value of 21.13 mg kg^{-1} , SD of 2.54 and CV of 12 %. While the valley bottom profile (VUF) had a top soil value of 21.10 mg kg^{-1} and ranged from 20.10 to 21.50 mg kg^{-1} with a mean of 20.80 mg kg^{-1} , SD of 0.54 mg kg^{-1} and CV of 2.6 %.

The inter-profile distribution of available P as shown in Table 4 ranged from 20.80 to 22.44 mg kg^{-1} across the three slopes (using intra-profile means) with a mean value of 21.46 mg kg^{-1} , SD of 0.71 mg kg^{-1} and CV of 3.3 %.

These values show that available P is generally high across all the profiles. This is indicated by the low inter-profile coefficient of variation (Table 5). However, in CUF profile it has a significantly higher concentration at surface level and decreased consistently with increased profile depth (Fig. 2b), with a relatively high intra-profile coefficient of variation (Table 5). This is suggested to be due to vertical and lateral movement of P in the subsurface soil which could be a characteristic of soils of sand stone parent materials as reported by Salminen et al. [42]. MUF and VUF (Figs. 3b&4b) showed no definite pattern of distribution which could be due to variation in clay content and organic matter of these soils as reported by Yadav et al. [43]. However, the top soil (0-26 cm) had the highest P concentration in the MUF profile as observed in the CUF profile. This may be a result of biological cycling as stated by [40].

Inter-profile distribution chart showed consistent decrease in P concentration down the slope; CUF had the highest concentration and VUF had the lowest concentration (CUF > MUF > VUF) (Fig. 5b). This may be due to higher rate of runoff associated with down slope as stated by [41].

Table 3. The chemical properties of soils of coastal plain sands under arable crop production in Ikot Ekpo, Calabar, Cross River State

Profile location	Horizon	Depth(cm)	pH	O/C (mgkg ⁻¹)	N _T (mgkg ⁻¹)	P _A (mgkg ⁻¹)	Exchangeable bases (cmolc kg ⁻¹)				Exchangeable acidity (cmolc kg ⁻¹)		ECEC (cmolc kg ⁻¹)	BS(%)
							Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	K ⁺	Na ⁺	H ⁺	Al ³⁺		
CUF	AP	0 - 30	5.1	16.0	1.3	29.80	3.0	1.2	0.08	0.05	0.20	0.32	4.85	89
E008 ⁰ 19.91'	B	30 – 70	5.1	5.0	0.4	23.50	4.4	0.8	0.10	0.07	0.90	0.24	6.49	82
N05 ⁰ 03.65'	BC	70 – 120	5.2	3.0	0.2	19.25	3.2	2.4	0.09	0.06	0.40	0.83	6.99	82
	C	120 –150	5.2	1.0	0.1	17.20	3.8	2.0	0.08	0.06	0.12	0.56	6.62	89
MUF	AP	0 – 26	4.9	7.0	0.5	25.10	3.0	2.4	0.10	0.08	0.40	0.32	6.30	88
E008 ⁰ 19.95'	AB	26 - 57	5.0	2.0	0.1	19.40	3.5	2.8	0.10	0.08	0.24	0.24	7.06	93
N05 ⁰ 03.87'	B	57 – 110	4.9	2.0	0.1	21.50	3.4	2.2	0.09	0.06	0.48	0.40	6.63	86
	C	110 –150	4.9	2.0	0.1	18.50	2.2	2.6	0.09	0.06	0.85	0.32	6.15	80
VUF	AP	0 – 20	4.7	9.40	0.8	21.10	2.2	1.5	0.10	0.07	0.24	0.96	5.17	76
E008 ⁰ 9.09'	B	20 - 60	4.9	0.40	0.1	21.50	2.6	1.2	0.09	0.07	0.24	1.04	5.24	75
N05 ⁰ 03.65	BC	60 - 115	4.9	1.0	0.1	20.10	2.8	1.8	0.08	0.06	0.24	0.72	5.70	83
	C	115 –150	4.9	3.0	0.2	20.50	3.0	2.0	0.10	0.07	0.80	0.80	6.05	85
Topsoil Range			4.7- 5.1	7.0 - 16	0.5 - 1.3	21.12- 29.75	2.2 -3.0	1.2-2.4	0.08 -0.1	0.05-0.08	0.2-0.40	0.32-0.96	4.85-6.30	76 -89
Subsoil Range			4.9- 5.2	1.0 -5.0	0.1 - 0.4	17.20 -23.50	2.2 -4.4	0.8- 2.8	0.08 -0.1	0.06-0.08	0.12-0.90	0.24-1.04	5.24-7.06	80 – 93
Topsoil Mean			4.9	10.60	0.8	25.33	2.7	1.7	0.09	0.06	0.28	0.53	5.44	93
Subsoil Mean			4.8	2.1	0.2	20.15	3.2	1.9	0.09	0.06	0.39	0.57	6.32	83

Table 4. Intra-Profile distribution of NPK in soils of coastal plain sands under arable crop production in Ikot Ekpo, Calabar, Cross River State


Profile location	Horizon	Depth(cm)	N _T (mgkg ⁻¹)	Mean	SD	CV(%)	P _A (mgkg ⁻¹)	Mean	SD	CV(%)	K ⁺ (cmolc kg ⁻¹)	Mean	SD	CV(%)
CUF E008 ⁰ 19.91' N05 ⁰ 03.65'	AP	0 - 30	1.3				29.80				0.08			
	B	30 – 70	0.4	0.5	0.47	94	23.50	22.44	4.82	21.5	0.10	0.088	0.008	9.4
	BC	70 – 120	0.2				19.25				0.09			
	C	120 – 150	0.1				17.20				0.08			
MUF E008 ⁰ 19.95' N05 ⁰ 03.87'	AP	0 – 26	0.5				25.10				0.10			
	AB	26 - 57	0.1	0.2	0.17	85	19.40	21.13	2.54	12.0	0.10	0.095	0.005	5.3
	B	57 – 110	0.1				21.50				0.09			
	C	110 – 150	0.1				18.50				0.09			
VUF E008 ⁰ 9.09' N05 ⁰ 03.65	AP	0 – 20	0.8				21.10				0.10			
	B	20 - 60	0.1	0.3	0.29	97	21.50	20.80	0.54	2.6	0.09	0.093	0.008	8.6
	BC	60 - 115	0.1				20.10				0.08			
	C	115 – 150	0.2				20.50				0.10			

SD = Standard deviation; CV = Coefficient of variation; CUF = Crest Unical Farm; MUF = Middle-slope Unical Farm; VUF = Valley-Bottom Unical Farm

Table 5. Inter-profile distribution of NPK in soils of coastal plain sands under arable crop production in Ikot Ekpo, Calabar, Cross River State

Profile location	N _T (mgkg ⁻¹)	Mean	SD	CV(%)	P _A (mgkg ⁻¹)	Mean	SD	CV(%)	K ⁺ (cmolc kg ⁻¹)	Mean	SD	CV(%)
CUF E008 ⁰ 19.91' N05 ⁰ 03.65'	0.5				22.44				0.088			
MUF E008 ⁰ 19.95' N05 ⁰ 03.87'	0.2	0.33	0.125	38.0	21.13	21.46	0.71	3.3	0.095	0.092	0.0029	3.2
VUF E008 ⁰ 9.09' N05 ⁰ 03.65'	0.3				20.80				0.093			

SD = Standard deviation ; CV = Coefficient of variation; CUF = Crest Unical Farm; MUF = Middle-slope Unical Farm; VUF = Valley-Bottom Unical Farm




N-CUF = Nitrogen in the crest uncial farm; P-CUF = Phosphorus in crest uncial farm; K-CUF = Potassium in crest uncial farm

Fig. 2. Intra-profile distribution of primary nutrients (NPK) in crest uncial farm (CUF) soil profile

3.23 Exchangeable Potassium

The intra-profile distribution of exchangeable K as shown in Table 4 ranged from 0.08 to 0.10 cmolc kg⁻¹ for crest profile (CUF) with a mean of 0.088 cmolc kg⁻¹, SD of 0.008 cmolc kg⁻¹ and CV 9.4 %.

The middle slope profile (MUF) ranged from 0.09 to 0.10 cmolc kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 0.095 cmolc kg⁻¹, SD of 0.005 cmolc kg⁻¹ and CV 5.3 %. While the valley bottom profile (VUF) ranged from 0.08 to 0.10 cmolc kg⁻¹ with a mean of 0.093 cmolc kg⁻¹, SD of 0.008 cmolc kg⁻¹ and CV of 8.6 %.



N-MUF = Nitrogen in middle-slope uncial farm; P-MUF = Phosphorus in middle-slope uncial farm; K-MUF = Potassium in middle-slope uncial farm

Fig. 3. Intra-profile distribution of primary nutrients (NPK) in Middle-slope Uncial Farm (MUF) soil profile

Inter-profile distribution of exchangeable K as shown in Table 5 ranged from 0.088 to 0.095 cmolc kg⁻¹ across the three slopes (using intra-profile means) with a mean value of 0.092 cmolc kg⁻¹, SD of 0.0029 cmolc kg⁻¹ and CV of 3.2 %.


These values show low exchangeable K at all depths and profiles; as indicated by the low intra and inter profile CV (Table 4&5). Furthermore, exchangeable K showed no definite distribution pattern down the profile depth of CUF and VUF (Figs. 2c&4c). However, MUF showed a slightly higher level of K in shallower part of profile depth (Fig. 3c). The VUF profile showed that K was

higher in the surface though it did not decrease regularly with soil depths; as its concentration increased at lower profile depth of 116-150 cm (Fig. 4c). This could be due to the effect of K cycling by the crops from bottom to surface horizons as reported by Singh *et al.* [44] and leaching respectively. According to [45], leaching moves nutrients downward and may increase nutrient concentrations with depth due to the effect of annual water Table fluctuations causing leaching of nutrients down the profile, a characteristic of coastal sands. The distribution of K was shallowest in MUF (Fig. 3c) where its abundance was highest (Fig. 5c) this contrasts with


the predictions of [39] who stated that a high ratio of plant uptake to soil supply should result in higher rates of upward transport by plants and, hence, shallower vertical distributions.

Inter-profile distribution reveals that K was higher in valley bottom than crest and highest in the middle-slope (MUF > VUF > CUF) (Fig. 5c), this may be due to deposition of K at valley bottom from upper slope [45].


a.



b.




c.



N-VUF = Nitrogen in valley bottom uncial farm
 P-VUF = Phosphorus in valley bottom uncial farm
 K-VUF = Potassium in valley bottom uncial farm

Fig. 4. Intra-profile distribution of primary nutrients (NPK) in Valley bottom Uncial Farm (VUF) soil profile



c.






Fig. 5. Inter-profile distribution of primary nutrients (NPK) along the toposequence: a, b & c for N, P & K respectively

4. CONCLUSION

This study affirmed that the three profiles studied had predominantly sandy particle size distributions and mostly loamy sand in texture; especially at the topsoil level. The study also determined that the soils had very friable consistency at topsoil levels but were less friable at subsurface depths. They had weak fine granular structure at topsoil level but had mostly moderate sub-angular blocky structure at subsurface depths. The chemical properties analyzed showed that the soils were of low fertility status because their total N, exchangeable K and organic matter contents were below established critical levels. However, N was high at topsoil level but decreased consistently with increased depth especially at the crest profile. These, among other noticeable limitations including low contents of organic matter and high acidity were determined.

Furthermore, the NPK distribution bar charts showed that N and P decreased consecutively with increased depth for the crest profile. The middle-slope and valley bottom profile showed no definite pattern of distribution. However, the concentration of NPK was highest at topsoil level across almost all profiles. N had the shallowest intra-profile distribution with significantly higher level of topsoil concentration indicated by the high percentage of intra-profile coefficient of variation. P which had the highest concentration across all profiles also showed a shallow intra-profile distribution across the three profiles but did not vary significantly from the intra-profile mean as much as N. On the other hand K was more evenly distributed within all three profiles compared to N and P. Inter-profile distribution of NPK showed that N and P had higher concentrations at crest level, with P showing consecutive decrease in concentration down the slope. Furthermore, N had its lowest concentration in middle-slope profile while K was highest in the middle slope profile.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of the research, the following recommendations are made.

- i. Conventional NPK fertilizer should be used to replenish inadequate contents of primary nutrients on the soils for crop production.
- ii. Slow release fertilizers can be used or split applications should be practiced.
- iii. Adoption of different NPK fertilizer recommendation with due considerations for different soil depths and topographic locations should be practiced to effectively tackle poor nutrient distribution.
- iv. The soils' acidity can be reduced by liming to replenish lost cations, which will increase the soil pH above the acid range for better crop production.
- v. Planting of acid tolerant crops is recommended for easier soil acidity management.
- vi. Artificial and live mulching in the form of cover crops are also recommended to reduce erosion, runoff and leaching, and provide organic matter content for better supply of macro nutrients in the soil.

- vii. Application of organic manure can improve the soil structure and bring about the formation of soil aggregates that will withstand the high rainfall associated with the region and minimize water erosion.
- viii. Crop rotation is also recommended to enable natural replacement of nutrients removed from the soil by plants.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Fairhurst T. Handbook for integrated soil fertility management. Africa soil health consortium. Manabí; 2012.
2. Kogbe CA. Early tertiary bio-stratigraphy of the Southern Nigeria Sedimentary Basin. Bull De' IFAN, T 37. 1975;517-537.
3. Bulktrade ICL. Main report on soil and land use survey of Cross River State. Calabar Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources. 1989;376.
4. Fertilizer Procurement and Distribution Division (FPDD). Literature review on soil fertility investigation in Nigeria. Lagos. Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources; 1990.
5. Mokwunye U, Bationo A. Meeting the phosphorus needs of the soils and crops of West Africa: The role of indigenous phosphate rocks. In Vanlauwe et al. (Eds) Integrated Plant Nutrient Management in Sub-sahara Africa. Cabi publishing, USA. 2002:352.
6. FAO. Soil map of the World. Revised legend World Soil Resource; 1996. Report No.60.Rome.
7. Enwezor EG. Fertility status and productivity of acid sands. In acid soils of Southern Nigeria, monograph No. 1, Soil Science Society of Nigeria, 1981;56-73.
8. Espinoza L, Norman R, Slaton N, Daniel M. The nitrogen and phosphorus cycle in soils. Agriculture and natural resources, University of Arkansas Cooperative Extension Service Printing Services, United States. 2005;1-4.
9. Ibia TO, Obi JC, Udoh EJ. Phosphorus forms and fixation of representative soils of Akwa Ibom state of Nigeria. Geoderma. 1993;58: 95-112.
10. Hall GF. Pedology and geomorphology. L.P. Amsterdam Netherlands, 1993;140.
11. Udo EJ, Ibia O, Ano AO, Esu, IE. Manual of soil, plant and water analysis. Sibon Books. 2009;183
12. Blake GR. Bulk density: In methods of Soil Analysis, In C. A. Black (Ed) Agronomy monograph, American Society of Agronomy. 1965;9:374-390.
13. Gee AW. Bauder J particle size analysis. In Method of soil analysis. Part 1 agronomy 9, American society of agronomy Madison W. I. 1986;383-411.
14. Isirimah NO, Dickson AA, Igwe CA. Introductory soil chemistry and biology for

- agricultural and biotechnology. Osia Int'l Publisher Ltd, 2003;270.
15. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the digital method for determining soil organic matter and proposed modification of the chronic acid titration method. *Soil Science*. 1934;37:29-38.
 16. Juo ASR. Selected methods of soil Analysis. IITA manual. 1979;3(58).
 17. Murphy J, Rilley JP. A modified simple solution for the determination of phosphorus in natural water, *Anai. Chim. Acta*. 1962;27: 31-36
 18. Thomas GW. Exchangeable cation in: AL, Page, RN Miller, DR Keoney (ed). *Method of soil analysis. Part 2* 2nd editions, ASA Madison. 1982;159-164.
 19. Juo ASR. Mineralogical characteristics of alfisols and utisols with variable charge. In BKG Theng (Ed). *Soils with variable charge*. New Zealand Society of Soil Science. Lower Hutt; 1981.
 20. Ibanga IJ. Guidelines of soil survey, classification and land use, De-Rio Press Nig. Ltd, Calabar. 2003;67.
 21. Vine H. Latisols of Nigeria and Some Related Soils. *Proceedings of 2nd Inter-Africa Soil Conference*. 1970;295-308.
 22. Ibanga IJ, Eyong MO. Report on land evaluation for pineapple production at Akpabuyo, Cross River State Pineapple Project (CRSPAP). Governor's Office Calabar, 2002;43.
 23. Shaw JN, Truman CC, Reeves DW. Mineralogy of eroded sediments derived from highly weathered soils. Auburn University Press, USA. 2003;81-96.
 24. Schoenholtz SH, Miegroet HV, Burger JA. Physical and chemical properties as indicators of forest soil quality: Challenges and opportunities. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 2000;138:335–356.
 25. Lal R. Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. *Soil Science*. 2004;304(5677):1623-1627.
 26. Danuhue LR. Miller WRS, Kickcluna CJ. An introduction on soils and plant growth. 5th Edition, John Willey and Sons, London, 1990;46-64.
 27. Eyong MO, Akpa EA Effect of topo-position on the morphological and physicochemical properties of soils in Odukpani local government area of Cross River State, *Nigeria Journal of Soil and Tillage Research*. 2018;5:74-90.
 28. Landon JR. *Booker tropical soil manual A. Hand Book for Soil Survey and Agricultural Land Evaluation in the Tropics and Subtropics*; 1991
 29. Ahn PM. *West African soils*; Oxford University Press, London. 1970;28-34.
 30. Havlin JL, Beaton JD, Tisdale, SL, Nelson WL. *Soil fertility and fertilizers: An introduction to nutrient management*. 7th Ed. Pearson Education Inc., Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, U.S.A; 2009.
 31. Esu IE. Soil characterization, classification and management problems of the major soil orders in Nigeria. Idea Investment and Printing Co. Ltd. Calabar. 2005;75.
 32. Metson AJ. *Methods of chemical analysis for soil survey samples*. New Zealand DSIR Soil Bur Bull. 12, Guvt Printer Wellington, New Zealand; 1961.
 33. Bunemann EK, Schwenka GD, Van-Zwiten I. Impact of agricultural inputs on soil organism. A review. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*. 2006;44:379-408.
 34. Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources, (FMANR) *Fertilizer Use Series*. 1990;1191.
 35. Holland MD, Allen RKG, Barken D, Murphy ST. Land evaluation and agricultural recommendation for cross river national park, Oban Division. Report by the overseas development resources institute in Collaboration with WWF for the Federal Republic of Nigeria and the Cross River State Government; 1989.
 36. Ewulo BS, Ojanuga AG Ojeniyi SO. Nature and properties of soils with Kandic Horizons in Humid South Western Nigeria. In *Management of the Resources of Nigeria for Sustainable Agriculture*. 2002;144-199.
 37. Kyuma K, Losaki T, Juo ASR. Evaluation of the soils. In ASR Juo and Loe (ed) *the wetland soil and rice*. In sub-Sahara Africa. *Proceedings of international conference of wetland soil utilization for rice production in sub-Sahara Africa*; 1986.
 38. Babalola O. Spatial variability of soil water properties in tropical soils in Nigeria. *Journal of Soil Science*. 1999;126(5):269-279.27-54.
 39. Jobbágy EG, Jackson RB. The distribution of soil nutrients with depth: Global patterns and the imprint of plants, *Biogeochemistry*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands. 2001;53:51–77.
 40. Stark JM. Causes of soil nutrient heterogeneity at different scales. In: Caldwell MM, Pearcy RW. (Eds) *Exploitation of Environmental Heterogeneity by Plants*. Academic Press, San Diego, United States; 1994.
 41. Easton ZM, Petrovic AM. Effect of hill slope nutrient runoff from turf. *The Research*. 2005;109-113.
 42. Salminen R, Batista MJ, Bidovec M, Demetriades A, De Vivo B, De Vos W, Duris M, Gilucis A, Gregorauskiene, V, Halamic J, Heitzmann, P, Lima A, Jordan G, Klaver G, Klein P, Lis J, Locutura J, Marsina K., Mazreku A, O'Connor PJ, Olsson SA, Ottesen RT, Petersell V, Plant JA, Reeder S, Salpeteur I, Sandström H, Siewers U, Steenfelt A, Tarvainen T. *Foregs geochemical atlas of Europe, Part 1: Background Information, Methodology and Maps*. Geological Survey of Finland, Espoo. Available:<http://weppi.gtk.fi/publ/foregsatlas/> 2005; 26
 43. Yadav NS, Verma RS, Trivedi SK. Vertical distribution of forms of potassium in some soil series of vertisols

- Madhya Pradesh. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science. 1999;47:431–436.
44. Singh K, Malik RVS, Sing V. Distribution of forms of potassium in alluvial soils. Journal of Potassium Resources. 2002;7:116–118.
45. Kirby MJ. A basis for soil profile modelling in a geomorphic context. Journal of Soil Science. 1985;36:97–121.
46. FAO. World reference base for soil resources, World Soil Resources; 2014. Report No. 103.Rome.

© 2019 Eyong and Akpa; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49173>